

## **Biblical matters**

Prophecy is about interpretations of dreams. If you believe in determinism, then these things link up. Each little thing gives way to other things, and having a dream sets in motion certain events for the dreamer. When they get a feeling this 'sheep' or 'ram' is a kingdom maybe that is because they dwell in the king's entourage?

Or, maybe if we left the prophecies out of the picture, we would approach things without prejudice? If we are looking for short people we will find short people. In looking for things that are warned against, it is only for the king it is delivered to that matters, I think.

The bible was founded upon much faith and belief. It is not fear, but courage that makes you believe. Love, patience as this new idea takes hold. The people of today are afraid of making the supreme authority angry, but instead of classing them as being afraid, maybe you should consider yourself a rebel?

## **Yemen**

When one fights for something, there is a difference between making someone see it your way with or without a threat.

A more effective way of getting what you want would be to go on hunger strike. Imagine a lot of people on television, prominent people sometimes that refuse to eat from the land that they wish to change? If they were to act non aggressively, they would not need to hide away, and would not have a guilty conscious every now and again. But...

They fight! They fight as it is the only effective way to get their ideals entrenched. Why not take the money used for guns, the intelligence used for coordinated attacks, and put it to a better use? If they were to run a smear campaign, or even blackmail the leaders into giving them what they want, then they would stand an even greater chance.

## **Money and the presidency**

When one fights for something, there is a difference between making someone see it your way with or without a threat.

A more effective way of getting what you want would be to go on hunger strike. Imagine a lot of people on television, prominent people sometimes that refuse to eat from the land that they wish to change? If they were to act non aggressively, they would not need to hide away, and would not have a guilty conscious every now and again. But...

They fight! They fight as it is the only effective way to get their ideals entrenched. Why not take the money used for guns, the intelligence used for

coordinated attacks, and put it to a better use? If they were to run a smear campaign, or even blackmail the leaders into giving them what they want, then they would stand an even greater chance.

### **The good old days**

Well, it is possible to return to these times. All you need to do is adjust salaries of the working class and let the bosses worry about it by way of a minimum wage. To get the property back down, just allow squatters to move in everywhere. Let them build shacks in parks and so forth. This will create an eye sore and the people will see their houses go down in value. To get fuel prices down, only import cars that use bio fuel.

Now, about those rights...

What does a right give you, in effect? The right to stand around with a placard and protest. That is about it. With all the rights you have, do you actually enjoy a greater standard of living? That comes about by legislation, and that requires someone in court, battling the state or something. The right to act like a caged ape is not really worth much.

### **The goals of Liberalism**

I find that the less money you earn the harder you work. Imagine doing road works? If the guys at the top earn so much and do so little, what is the justification for that? I guess it would be that the boss values them more?

So, it is value based enrichment. Seeing as how this is the case, they should up the minimum wage so that the people will be more balanced with how they exert their effort. It goes a long way to know someone nowadays. Imagine some drop out kid that gains a good paying job because he knows someone?

What happened to the age thing? You know, the older you were the more you earned? This means that people that need to support large families were able to. Nowadays you get some study nuts that learn everything there is and they get the top jobs. But, is that earning it? If you were to study so hard and had to start at the bottom, then there would be something wrong too.

I suggest it be written into law that the company keeps training the people that work for them. The problem is that there can only be one boss. Maybe if the company were to utilize its staff better and expand, then it could use its highly knowledgeable people in another venture. This would mean they could hire some more staff under the new boss and plan for the future?

### **More magic**

I find it funny how you draw a symbol, and then hide it away to charge it, and then retrieve it to cast it. Could magic work like this? I have had friends of mine try, but to no avail. Nevertheless, people believe in this, so maybe it does work? They would surely have had such misadventures?

So, you draw a symbol to, let's assume, and change atoms or something on an inferior plane or whatever. What else could it be? I suspect it would work like chemistry in this way. Now there are a few levels of 'chemistry' for us to observe, but I am interested in bringing blessings on humanity, obviously, so what if we were to 'draw' a few sketches of poor people eating and having money? We could draw these things, hide them in an art gallery, and then open it wide for everyone to see? Okay, maybe not an art gallery... how about a college artist's carry bag?

All we have to do is find a link between these simpler sketches and the 'chemistry' ones. If necessary, we might observe the ways of old, using older symbols for money and health that we could carry over? But the only way to know if this works is to know how it works!

So, how does it work? How should it work? Maybe, it has something to do with mental energy? This is impossible to work out, as angels and the dead see it always! If you hide it away from yourself, what are you doing?

What does it mean to draw a spell? If you were to imagine yourself playing with modeling clay, is it like that? Do you shape the spell like a prayer, where you simply arrange things the way you like, and hope that they will take on this image? Maybe it has to do with averages? With the new image drawn, it might find a way between the idea and the reality, so drawing your spell out a million times could have a stronger draw on reality? Like a scientist finds a way between his or her theories and reality, maybe the consciousness that surrounds us finds a way to balance the two, leaning towards the reality, yes?

Maybe it is like a wish for the universe? If we were to draw a serene tropical paradise and then walk around, if you walk around for long enough on any continent you are bound to find it. The closer you are to it the quicker you get there though, so, reality is a big ask. If you want to change the world you might be busy for a long time...

Well, as a bit of magic, try looking into a lit candle for about a minute. Focus your eyes on the part of the flame where the blue and yellow meet - there should be a little hole there. Then watch for the magic to unfold in front of your eyes, as you should see little green spots on the walls of your house in no time. Blink repeatedly until you see them.

I hold a view that nearly everything is magic. If you were to place your rake at an angle, each angle you would rest it at, or hold it at, has an effect on the universe. This might be small though, but it still does have a magical effect. When you throw three coins over your shoulder into the pond by the main

Catholic Church and make a wish that is also 'magic'. If you were to throw salt over your left shoulder when you spill it that is also magic. Now I will try to explain myself...

If you were to reorganize the molecules around your rake, well, or shoulder, the effects might seem terribly small, but no action is contained in once space in the universe. As you think of your rake or coins, you might even be sending out neural 'baggage' for your actions. These electrical waves could hold conscious information, translated into energy, maybe even colourful energies, that affect the things around you. You are aware that if you were to look at someone's aura you would see colours around them dictating how they feel and act, and the energies and stress levels inside them? If you have never heard of this before, try looking at someone's uncovered head - place them up against a pale wall, looking at you, then look at their heads but past their heads. This may take a few tens of minutes, but eventually you will see colours - it should start as being grey and hard to make out...

So, magic is everywhere. But, as I just explained it, it is now science, yes?

If you agree with me, then I see a great future for science, as we try to emulate our 'dreams.'

If we were to open up the fourth dimension and place a video recorder therein, what would it film? Lots of interesting stuff? Nothing at all?

I have many times tried to open the fourth dimension, and suggest that this time we try to throw a video recorder through it! Yes, we pull the reality towards the camera, and then push the camera forwards! What will that do once we understand what to do?

Well, maybe if we added a dimension to the recorder, it would be in the fourth dimension? Could we do this? What if we were to fold three dimensional things on the biggest atomic structure - the simplest being the 'biggest' - we would need to fold something three dimensional, like they suggested on that movie event horizon... So, we need to take a grouping of things, and make them reflect themselves onto themselves. Maybe using a mirror? A mirror would reflect the light shone off of them and then we could place another mirror on the other side of the mirror, lose the things, and have infinitely reflecting light sources. This would leave us with 'many dimensional light.'

Then, we place something in the middle of the reflecting mirrors. Now we have entered the fourth dimension or something else all together - like in that movie enter the dragon in the end with the house of mirrors.

So, to enter these dimensions we need to find things that reflect not just light. If we could find something that reflects carbons, then we would be on the right track, but I am out of ideas right now...

If we were to look out for magic runes of old, we would see that this symbol, representing the fifth dimension no less, is the hall mark of magic. What does this have to do with magic though? Does all magic stem from the fifth dimension?

If we were to enter the fifth dimension, then we could see what all the fuss is about. The problem would be that we are still in the third dimension, as we are three dimensional. To enter the fifth dimension, we would need another two vectors? So, we would need a mirror on our arm side, and one in front of us. This will give us five dimensions! Cool hey?

Now, to see what is in the fifth dimension, we need to take a glass jar or something, and place it with two mirrors to each 'left' and 'front' of the jar. This will let us look down or up into the jar and see what is up. We are familiar with the colourful displays of the prisms? Well, I expect something like that. I wonder if this will help magic at all

I find that it is down to karma, and, being a believer in determinism and one world consciousness, it is probably true that you can have 'good luck.' I often find that nothing goes wrong for me! I never drop things or spill things, and I wonder why this is happening now.

As I understand it, the cosmos must not be resisting my presence or influence on the world. well lately I have been dropping things a little, but there was a time when nothing would go wrong for me. I had a real bad run of luck when I bumped my shoulders on my shower taps twice in one shower - then it is that what you are doing, or have done, is being resisted. When you bump yourself or something, the universe is saying that it doesn't like your influence on it, yes? This is in keeping with karma and all that too.

So, to increase your 'luck', what do magicians do? Well, let's revisit superstition? No black cats crossing paths. This means one half cat and another half black. When you have your path crossed at all, it is a bad sign. This could mean you would bump the person or thing in your path. If it is black, then it could be harder to see, being the colour of shadow and blinding you as it is all the colours rolled into one, and so forth. If you see someone cross your path, that would maybe lead to a collision. So, there is truth herein, as this is left behind by aliens for our knowledge a long time ago, as with all superstitions.

Now, to increase your luck, you would have to make sure your path doesn't cross anything else. What about ladders? Walking under them is a sure way to have things falling onto you. Another old wives tale gone wrong. No need to examine these sayings!

But, how do you increase your luck when doing something like flirting? What if you were not to cross paths nor bump anyone on your way to the game?

Would that make you feel better? Would your confidence soar? I think it would.

Now, to increase your luck with cooking, again, don't let your finger cross your path of the knife while cutting, duh. This will mean keeping your hands far away, to be blunt, increasing your luck, logically.

But, what other old wives tales are there? We should observe all of them, as they all are obviously good for you, based on the ones I have heard, but since forgotten. How about breaking a mirror? This would, as with all glass, as all glass reflects, make you jumpy; maybe not for seven years, but for a while you will feel like a klutz and do things timidly, without zeal. The sound of the glass breaking accompanies a feeling of pain, and the horrible sound makes you stressed. That can build up over the day and have bad circumstances for you.

So, back to the nitty gritty...

Luck is based on 'karma', one world consciousness, and determinism. If your path collides with that of another object that day, then going with the flow shows that you are giving in to 'routine', that you are allowing for the natural order to take place, and you don't mind it not being totally your way. Take a typical before rush hour scene: you would be well suited to go in a bit earlier, but you decide that your breakfast and another cup of coffee are in order, or, even worse, you decide to watch the Olympics. The path of least resistance is to submit to your boss and get to work on time, but you decide to resist. This can make you late for work and mess up your day! If you were to allow the natural way to prevail, you would be better off any day of the week.

How about another example? If you were to be chasing the girl you want, and she is resisting you, what is the natural order? Do you count? Well, if you want to fit in, you must not count! If you want to ease through your day and not 'bump stuff' then you need to take a minor role. I remember doing that a long time ago, taking a minor role. It made me euphoric as I was so proud of myself, and it let everybody else be happy with me - good luck! If you place yourself first, well, you will not be a door mat, but rather bump lots of stuff - if that is what you want to do, sure, you may even end up on the receiving end of some stuff you can use, but at the end of the day it is bad luck to push an issue. If you were chasing that girl, and she resists, then you need to let up to take the good luck. No stress is good for you, yes? If she comes back out of her own, hey it is your good luck, but to make that happen you need to make her think of you in a good way, and irritating her is no way to make her think fondly of you.

Maybe you are still not convinced? How about a trip in your car? If you were to push to the front and speed, then you would maybe cause an accident or get stressed. If you were to submit to the rules of the road, and the right thing to do in your mind, you would leave earlier and coast along in the rush

hour or whatever, and not have an accident. It takes two cars going fast to have an accident usually.

So, to push is bad luck. It is that simple.

I have said that singing to the person will make them love you more, but there is more that you can do.

You need to make the person think fondly of you. Say their name to them as often as you can, as nobody loves anything more than the sound of their name being called to them by people of the opposite sex and anyone in general.

If you were to lure them into thinking of you, make them think of you in a good way, something with a luxurious lifestyle. If you were to hand them travel brochures of far away places, they will think of you when they think about those places.

Maybe if you spent more time with them, they would feel like there is a bond between you two. If they were to get to know you, then they would include you in their personal fantasies when they dream of getting off work or something. If they know you well, then they will also maybe think it would ruin the friendship though, but not knowing somebody is no way in! If you were to talk to them personally a lot, yet spend little time doing it, then that is the way. For example, if you were to spend time with trivial comments, their attention will not be aroused. If you ask how the baby is, how the mother is, how the weather is, these just don't get the person's attention. If you were to offer yourself, you need to speak about yourself. This is the way into their fantasies - information to fantasize about. Notice how popular the people are that always speak about themselves, even though people complain, they are always invited elsewhere...

Now, to get someone to love you should show complete disrespect for yourself. This will make them feel sorry for you and then you can get into their minds yet again. It is not only people that like to fight, but people will naturally try to protect you in a typical setting, so make up stories about how you get beaten and get abused as much as you can. This will make them talk about you with their friends, and then you are into his or her social network! Just exaggerate.

Let's think of more old wives tales and see how they will be naturally explained? If we were to observe the traditional spell, we need to draw a pentacle on the floor. This means that we are symbolizing something, something that is understood by a spirit or god or something, or something else?

If the pentacle was to channel something into the person, then why not observe what makes the pentacle up? It has five points to it, and you stand over it. Some have protective circles around them, some don't. Maybe it

works off of your imagination? If that is the case, then there is natural energy coming from your mind to electrify and charge the 'spell', and that means, that, with enough energy, we could simulate nearly anything!

So, do we charge the person, the mind, or the symbol? If we were to put a lot of energy into the person's nervous system, then we could charge the spells. Maybe the symbol is there to channel power into the person?

If we charged the symbol, or, added electrons to the area, we could add energy to the spell. Or, maybe we could use a machine, hopefully... If the person could think of the thing they want to happen, and the machine was to capture and mimic this 'thought,' then charge it with electricity, naturally through wires and stuff, then we could maybe charge a spell.

The problem remains though, what could we possibly wish for? We have food, we have love, and we have medicine. Would still be fun to do though?

This is the first spell mentioned, so it is probably the best. Think about street performers, they sing, like is supposed to be in the spell, they have a 'cauldron', usually a hat with some change in it, but I don't know about the full moon. Maybe this is supposed to shine light onto them.

If this spell is to be believed, try singing a song about money under a spotlight with a glass of water. Have a hat with some change in it, and then make sure that people see you singing, to explain the full moon some more.

What do you think of this? Will money magically come into your account? Your parents account? Your relatives account?

### **Communism to save Africa!**

There must be a way to stamp out the desire to be filthy rich over dirt poor! If we were to hold the leaders accountable for what they do, by the people, then we would need to make the army respond to the people instead of the president? What do you think of that?

If the 'force' was with the people, led by communities, [say, like tribes?] then they would see a balance of power. If the tribes were to respond to the community, then the tribe or city could control the changes made to them, and the leaders would have to take their concerns very seriously.

### **Social security**

I think handing the social security over to secure investment is a great idea. If the social security account was to be, along with the state account, rolled into a banking scheme, I am all for it.

If the money grows, great. If it doesn't, borrow money from the Federal



Reserve and write off the debt. Who knows? What will you not be able to afford to buy without this money? What will it cost the state?

The state account I find fascinating in it's 'misunderstands'. If the state owes money to the Federal Reserve, who is that? I guess it is the American tax payer. If the American tax payer doesn't feel it, because there is more money circulating around them, then there is nothing to fear, is there? What will happen to the Federal Reserve when they borrow money and just don't pay it back? Is this a private institution? I don't think it is.

The American state basically makes money for itself. The only money that enters the system is regulated, so, instead of paying money back to a reserve where it disappears for all we know, it circulates, providing jobs and all the other sweet stuff money can do.

If on the other hand, you pay it back to the reserve, it just goes and sits there.

I say we write it out of the bill of rights or whatever that you may go and grab your monies worth of gold for your dollars. If we were to look at it practically, gold is only used in jewellery and some machines - very impractical.

On the other hand, if we were to base our money in the country based on assets of the country, the more assets Americans own the more money they have. They can go and buy a piece of property for each dollar they have, or a car, or whatever - just like what happens today - practically.

### **Arab spring**

I heard today on cnn that the Egyptian brotherhood has been stripped of power by the military, and that the house is basically controlled by the military. Now, is the military skilled in running a country? I suggest that the military appoint officials from the 'ruling party' to do their dirty work for them.

Who does the general serve? He has to serve someone or he is a ruler himself! If he was to answer to someone that is president, he gets paid. If he pays himself, he is ruler and this was a coup, not for the people or a party, but for the general in command.

Now, if this was a coup, then is this now a democracy like was intended? If the people don't get what they want, then what was the purpose of the 'coup?' Was it to replace a president merely? He is a hero, of some sort to be general in chief or whatever, and the people should be happy with that rather than another bought politician, but, what is happening? The people protested, the president resigned, now logically a new member of his cabinet takes over and then they wait for elections. Or they have an immediate vote. The general in charge will be hard pressed to rule himself, but, then the army gets

paid extra, the soldiers remain to fend for their families, and then nothing changes. This is not illegal mind you...

So, if the military wants to lead a peaceful happy country, where everything is secure, and nobody turns to terror, they should keep things the way they are, but, institute what the people voted for, sharia law. Or, they could face a new Iraq terror zone, fearing for their lives, dodging petrol bombs and such?

I am sure the new leader has accounted for all the factors, and the move to strip the president of his power is only temporary. If the military wants to keep the country safe for their families, who are among the people, then they will want a happy nation.

The way I look at it, the women are relieved of the burden of making these decisions. Or actually that is not how I look at it that is probably the way they would explain it.

I used to hate sharia law for the exact same reasons you do, but I find now that it leads to peaceful life. Nobody wants to rape their wife, do they? It happens, but it happens more in the west, yes?

Women will always have a different role to men, practically, as you may find that some men go through the whole work day thinking only about the women and how to impress them. I know I did! This shallow work environment is a good reason to keep women out of it and rather in the kitchen, at the market in these cases, and also away from men.

I wonder how they would feel about making a women's work place? They could get paid and work together, like girls schools.

I know in India they killed a baby for just being a girl. This is not abortion, this is murder, but then what is abortion if not murder. How do you feel about abortion by the way?

If the men get distracted by the women, then they produce shoddy work. Then there is the fact of affairs in the work place that will undermine the work ethic. Imagine having your senior staff in a board meeting deciding if they want to order lunch for a woman at the business? I know I did.

This whole sexism thing is the fault of the men. It is them that lust, so them that sin. If you want equality you will never have it. There is no equality in the west either; so, there is none for the reasons I have mentioned.

### **Islam and Christianity**

Yes, I believe they are both correct.

I believe that sharia law is what people want. Even atheists would like it.

If you place more limitations on what people may or may not do, then you have a more regulated society. This will mean fewer freedoms, but, it will mean that things that make bad news are less frequent. Imagine a world where it is illegal to adulteries? Would you like that for your kids? Would you like that for your marriage? Would you like that for your neighbors?

If the Ten Commandments, which both follow and make a part of the law often in societies everywhere, were followed, then there would be less crime. Teaching your kids to be good, and having the law expecting them to be societal, would make sure there is less crime, less bad things.

I think adultery is immoral, but would practice it. If it was against the law who cares? There needs to be a plaintiff in these cases, and if nobody cares, there won't be such a stink in the west, yes? But, in the near east, there would be a stink - same rules, different ideals.

The only real difference I can think of is that women play a subservient role under sharia law. They are lusted for, so are hidden away. Of course if they were men they would have better rights, but, what are rights? Are rights more important than their 'safety'? If they were left outside they may be stolen, and, in the culture of the near east, turned into slaving girls.

If there needs to be a difference made to their lifestyle, then the first thing that needs to be dealt with is polygamy. If a man may have many wives, then they will not be treated as equal to the men. This must stop so that a man may love their wife as their only wife, as it is clear that they would have favourites and this is akin to depression related conditions for those other wives.

After that there should be more equality between peoples.

Okay, then how about we make the ten commandments law? They are already! Basically the adultery is punished with divorce, god being loved is like in the army, and then the rest all make sense. Okay, maybe not like in the army. Loving your neighbour is social, not stealing is social along with all the other commandments. So, by doing what god says you are fitting into society.

These things are universal in monotheistic religions. But, they only serve half the world! Only half the world believes in God, being islam, christianity and jews. This leaves a lot of it my way, where people believe in many supernatural things to do with 'luck' and the like. This means there is no real escape from all the tales wherever you go.

As you can see from these, the standard of living is higher under people that believe in sharia law, or islam in general.

The having no other gods before me comes from all monothiesism. In africa and china they also have gods they they swear allegiance to. That means that the social norm is religion, so, the rest of your post is incorrect, as religion is part of society. Seeing as how it is part of society, it is a social norm, but where you find atheism you still find magics and the like.

But, let's forget about this thing while half asleep?

If society was to follow these basic laws, there would be more peace. Following the ones you cited in partical, ignoring the mainstream religions, would mean very little, but, when it comes down to it, respecting god as if they were your elder would be good, or vice versa.

What is a god? A god is something that people worship. If you were to take a celebrity, and worship them, then worship another, you will lose favour with that 'god' quickly. Same with spirits that we know to exist. We know spirits exist because of evp, and the evidence cited therein. Now imagine there is a older spirit, would you want them to reject you from the society based on your love for other gods, or lack of love for this spirit? It would happen in africa, south america, asia and anywhere else.

But, once again you are probably going to ask me to prove that god exists? I could say that jesus existed and performed miracles because there is a church born on his back. Why else would the apostles have not made a church for themselves? The church is there for people to worship in - it is not a money spinner! Today it is though, but back then it wasn't. Jesus performed miracles to set a spine in his church, and they came to see miracles, remaining because there were. With the rampant tricks of the day, it was easy to see 'magic' from the pagans and have 'evidence' for them to see. Of course they would have been wooed by this much more than some guy who says you must love him, yes?

Now, if there were no miracles, then there wouldn't have been a congregation for himself, simple as that. Do you deny the miracles?

Well, the standard of living isn;t what i meant, it was the morale of the people. There is far less violence there than in america - by violence i mean things like shootings and rapes.

Then there is the mentality of the people. It is much more like a happy family than in the west, as everyone there embraces the same culture, no matter what an individual might say i know that these people are happier. Try a vacation to the west.

If you were to get persecuted because of your religion, this is like being persecuted because of what people percieve, or, what they 'see', so it is like racism, you could say.

If there was to be an end to persecution, do you need to act like a spy, and deny it? This would surely anger god, yes?

So, you need to bring a knife and a pole along with you... just kidding...

If you are persecuted because of your religion, then you are the wrong person in the wrong place. If you were to wear a cross or islamic sign in the wrong place - think of it like gansterism? Maybe that is the problem? I never hear of business class lynchings, it is like a gang war! Yes, seems to be. If you were to be part of a neighbourhood watch, then you could wear your security things to that neighbourhood and avoid all the problems, so, maybe you all need to join the neighbourhood watch? Everyone is welcome!

Otherwise, I suggest that you say you are sorry. You mean you are sorry to be there, but you only say one word...

Maybe if you were into culture, you would observe to do as the romans do when in rome? When you walk through a neighbourhood, you should wear a symbol of thier god? This is polite, as they are supposed to be the same thing, yes? If you were to wear a star of david, what difference does it make to god? This camouflage would get you through the hard to reach areas in one piece, and you are not lying, just having manners.

Or, maybe you could learn the language? you could consult older people in the neighbourhood to learn slang to get you through. in northern africa they are all black, so, there is no visible signs of 'culture', are there? If you were to pick up a lot of the slang you could cruise through like a drive through...

Or, you could go one step further in your faith, and carry a bible or koran. then they will say, surely, this is a person of god?

If you were to be persecuted for your religion, and in modern times all deities are assumed to be the one and only, then how is this justified? It must be a cultural thing.

When you think about it, what are the differences between near east and west? There are a lot of differences, but you will not find them in scripture! If they all follow the same societal way of life, why are there problems? If everyone doesn't harm others, then there should be no problem, but there is. The best thing to do is to play western music on near eastern radio, and play near eastern music on western radio. This will make us feel more comfortable with each other, which is what is needed.

## **Artificial intelligence**

If we could replace all the 'chords' with circuits or wires, then there is room to mimic the decision making part of the brain, I would suppose.

The brain works on reflex. When one cord is tweaked, it activates something else, very simply. This electricity powers a part of the brain that can only do one thing, as each part of the brain can do only one thing. So, it is put on or off, just like binary. But, now we want to move away from binary because it is not very progresssive, so maybe the idea about a brain to do it is just going backwards?

If we were to put a little brain inside the body, we could stimulate it as an organ, and implant it anywhere on the body. Hell, we could take brains of deceased people and put them into the body - then all we have to do is connect the brain to the nervous system and then give it blood and so forth, and then it will work as if the person had two brains?

If we were to take a piece of 'biomass', something like an organ, and place it on the nervous system, then it would remember things as all muscles and organs do. If it were made of the same stuff as a brain, it should work like a brain. By studying the chemicals inside a brain, we will be able to replicate the learning process and use this to implant memories and volumes of information into the person... i hope.

Imagine

Imagine this country with the same problems that we have today. No voter education, no resolution on abortion, gay marriage, foreign policy - that will take up most of the time of the leaders, and these things can spin in cycles as they look for support. Or...

Imagine north korea. With a greater gdp, this country could be paradise on earth to manage! Think of not having to pander to voters, to pander to your cabinet instead, and just to lay things down as is. Imagine all that spare time that the people will have to use freely to go to spend time with each other? No drugs, no real crime...

Space age stuff

We know there are buildings on mars left from aliens from that little robot that went to mars and saw them for us.

**Building On Mars ~ 2012 CHANGES ARE NOW**

Well, a google will reveal lots of them to you, but there was one in particular that looked like a gazebo or something, but I cannot find it.

So, there should be some life on mars, yet none above ground. This means if we can set a ozone layer over mars, we can look around more easily, grow

trees there, and live there. Maybe we will bump into aliens too?

So, how do we make a ozone layer quickly?

### Ozone Creation

It says that you need to split oxygen - usually with radiation at high energies - then combine the free molecules to form ozone. This can be done with fire too, as they give off melting high temperatures, and would increase the rate of ozone proiduction maybe, but i am not sure.

If we were to take a 'nucleur star' the like of nucleur power plants, and shine them onto the surface of mars en masse, then the ozone will be produced quickly, theoretically. We could just set up a container that only shines light onto the surface of mars and then see the ozone layer develop.

I think our ship could do with plasma weapons as it doesn't go boom when it gets hit by stuff, or so i hear anyways...

Now, how do we channel the weapon into an engine? Maybe the weapon doesn't work? What do we do now? I suggest we stay away from nuclear generators as they will make a big boom and are rather messy when they malfunction. We could also use this to power the planet, if we knew how. So, let's get cracking?

The engine should take 'fire' and heat conductors. The conductors stay heated for a while, as they conduct the heat around the engine or wherver, and then reheat the engine. This is like foldin the heat over itself and then getting more power back. The components need to be conductors, but then they will melt with the continued application of heat onto the parts. This is why we need to use nitrogen four or helium four parts, as they have very high heat resistances.

The engine should not have arm parts, but rather cylinders. These cylinders should not work off of the stea, or heat, but rather the energy coming off of it, like electrical energy. The electrical energy should come from the generator which creates sparks by motion and then these sparks excite the inside of the plasma. Maybe we need to look to the centre of the earth to figure out what keep it hot, and what keeps the magma down there?

If we were to look to the centre of the earth and examine what goes on down there, the earth should have burned out long ago. I suspect it is heat conference, where the heat is channeled from one spot, to another, and then conducted back to the spot to reheat it. This means they use very little heat up, and in fact lose no heat, as then the earth would have burned out already and it would be quite cold.

Following this 'plasma' we would make an engine out of nitrogen four, so that

the heat can circulate the engine and basically turn the circular pistons. But, that is not good enough, as this is a conventional engine nearly, as it has very limited power ability.

So, we have plasma now - plasma that never dies out - and we need to push it through an engine. Maybe we need a new type of plasma? Or, maybe we need to compress the heat from the plasma, and superheat the containment and allow for the energy to vent quickly - the steam - and propel the vessel forwards, but then again this is stone age theorizing.

We have our power source, but how do we turn it into the theories of star trek?

If we were to use this energy to power something more powerful, but then again that would require pistons or a conductor, each of which would either be brittle or melting. So maybe we should abandon the magma and use gasses instead?

The most combustible gas is helium. This gas could come into the space ship from outside and then be used up as if it were a if we were to have a inlet where all the helium comes in, combusts under the magma, and then gets shot out the back of the vessel, maybe it would be enough to charge this space ship and engine? The helium comes in, gets funneled through the craft, and gets compressed into a tighter tube and then gets incinerated in the magma, and ejected out the back of the vessel. The only problem is oxygen, which I understand to be essential to 'fire' and then how do we get oxygen to the engine? Maybe the funnel, like a rocket thruster turned upside down could funnel gasses into the already moving craft and out the back? Or, we could simply compress the gasses in a mechanical tube and let the little explosions power the craft? This would require an airtight capsule, and then charge the gasses into an area where magma or other catalyst is added, then the little continuous explosions could push the vessel forwards, capsules en masse of course...

This could also be done on earth to power cities.

If the gasses were sent into a chamber with two spark creating arms, then this could be realised. Of course, filtering out gasses that we have for other gasses would be beneficial.

Owing to my ideas of plasma meeting the other 'round' to cause an explosion, the anti matter could collide with some matter, and then cause an explosion, and this could drive the craft forwards. If you were to contain matter and anti matter, then they will annihilate, and this annihilation needs to be continued. If the explosion is modelled on how atomic energy works, then we could use this energy to be collected by some solar panels made out of something tough, like hydrogen five or something that is linked to water, so it does reflect, but souped up so it can withstand the explosions.



The explosions could be made similar to rocketry, where they just push the craft forwards. Then the explosions could be particles could be carried over to each other inside two or three lasers that meet at a point where there is maximum energy collection. Maybe using m5, i am told, would collect most of the energy better? If we could use something that could contain all the energy, like water, because it is 'totally a conductor', then we could channel all the energy to the 'tough' receptors, and power the craft or vehicle, maybe even a train?

I see oscillators rerun energy to reproduce the effects of the oscillator. This means that it should make a noise, and travel from there, then rerun the energy and travel further, maybe on the power of two oscillations, then three? If the engine was driven on sound, we could produce a very loud sound with minimal energy. This means we don't need a lot of energy to power this, and it is clean of radioactivity! Cool huh?

So, the sound is generated, then reverberates around while the second sound is projected. This means that we need to use the power of sound to push us forwards, and repeat it so that it keeps us going, but you probably already guessed that?

If we were to emit a sound, then emit a sound onto that sound, it would have a big push factor. The sound would explode us forwards, and the next sound would push us forwards on the sound that is already pushing us. This means the 'sounds' will 'escalate.' The propulsion should be very good if we can find the right sound...

I suggest two engines that are angles inwards to maximise effects. This will mean not only are the engines pushing out sounds that push us forwards, the other sounds will collide and push us even further. Maybe even... twelve engines? More? The sound should be like a sonic boom, so we can generate a sonic boom with the right equipment, and then ride that wave forwards?

I really cannot find any information on this, so i will have to guess a lot of it...

If the sounds created are given substance, they will push the craft forwards. I propose adding mass to the booms so that they will accelerate the craft at huge levels! We can do this by using magnetism - a negative pull would push us forwards, yes? This will escalate as i previously pointed out. But this may require an 'anchor.'

If we were to push ourselves forwards with magnetism, then what would the speeds be in the end... very good hopefully!

As we can see, we just need to have an electro magnet that is angled towards each other, like a reversed triangle, so that the points meet at some stage, and get that energy to push to push the craft forwards, and then we

have created a electrical engine that is quite powerful, depending on how much power you put into the magnet. We could produce things to keep the magnets in the space they are in, and then just push ourselves forwards.

If we were to take a tandem accelerator and produce positive voltages out the back of the 'thrusters', they would form little explosions like in my take on sonic engines, and produce a ripple that will drive the craft forwards. This could happen very quickly, but not so quickly that it wierds the whole vessel out.

The way i see it, anti matter is attracted to matter, so, firing antimatter out the front of the craft will also prove a great accelerator, as there is always save for the edges of the universe, matter to be accelerated towards.

Testing m theory

This thread is supposed to suggest a way to test the m theory, as there is a problem testing it. So, if there are eleven dimenensions, and each dimension is three dimensional, then maybe there should be thirty three vectors with eleven values?

If you want to test it, you need to take two or three or more of these membranes or whatever, and compare them. If you find that they all share the same characteristics - in the case they are all the same thing values too - then you have seen first hand that they all are compatible.

Testing the m theory would mean taking them and subjecting them to change of the same type. If you get the same values again, considering you have done the same values of change to them, then you should see similarities again.

If you were to subject them to different values of tests, you should compare thse values to the similar types of tests on other things. If there is emerging a pattern, you have gotten that out of the way. Actually, if it resembles a pattern in any way, then you have tested and verified the thoery, yes?

My example of a dice is just to show the values of the membrane.

## **Robotics**

Robots use sensors to decide where to go up until on the mechanism, and when to stop or go the other way, or any other way. This is done by sensors feeling the angles of the robot are not stressed, nor in places they 'do not know about.'

To make a robot simpler, we could try to use 'catches.' these catches are analogue and base, and the robot can sense along the catches how far it needs to go. The catches would resemble a simpler engine, as they could be

generated along a wheel or long tube. As it reaches these catches, it will recognise where on the catch system it is by a electronic readout, informing it where it is, within the boundaries of its catch system. These catches could be set with magnetic 'poles' so that the 'cpu' can tell where it is on the arm or wheel. These need no further electronics, as the 'poles' would make it 'hardware like' to the 'cpu'.

To design a simpler cpu, we could simply make it read from a rom unit, as to what it's set parameters are. Then it could 'click' a few times, causing a wound wire to wind up, and then unwind as it carries out the instructions. That is for automated robots. To make a robot that is more advanced - that is can carry out multiple tasks - we would need to fix it to a controller. This controller could use magnetism for the operator, and then just use natural forces of magnetism to manipulate it any way you like.

This of course calls for an advanced magnetism understanding. If we were to use wound wire to power the mechanisms and then a few magnets to produce the force for the robot, we could do nearly anything. All that without the use of electronics! That is a step in the right direction if you ask me. We wouldn't even need the wound wire, as we could control the robot from a magnet outside of the robot, and then just move it around to generate movement inside of it, as the magnets align to outside forces.

To do this with magnetism, we need only use stronger materials and smaller parts. As you can see there are a lot of little wires and stuff, but is that the best case when it gets technical? I say we start over with magnets and see from there, being much cheaper and simpler to work with, we would only need each magnet to do it's individual little job.

Getting this hand to turn with magnets would mean that it needs to swivel. The swivelling could be done with another magnet behind the main visible ones and then slide as the 'waves' come in. The waves could be controlled by the radio controller held in the hand of the operator. The swivelling could be done by the magnetic charge, as yet undeveloped i would guess, and then get moved quickly, or as quickly as a magnet can move. This would allow for full use of the catches on the robots arm or whatever.

If we were able to make the nano robots interact with the blood stream, we could maybe send them through and take out diseases? For example, a person with diabetes could have these things go into the liver and then rejuvenate it.

If we were to take the small scale of the nanotechnology allows us, then we could design a little robot that tells us where it is, then we can activate the rejuvenation of the liver from outside the person. Basically, if there was a way to rejuvenate the liver, it would be with a liver growing inside of it! This means, we could send them to the liver via instructions of some sort, and then have them turn into biomass, or, if you prefer, make them out of

biomass and nano tube things.

Now, for the liver to properly be replaced, if a little smaller, it would be with the little things finding the outside of the liver and growing next to it. This could be done by using heat to grow back all the blood vessels and muscles - all attached to nano tech things to make sure they are in the right place. Then we could replicate it, as an organ is just muscles and bloodvessels...

Bringing this all together, we could send the nano tubes in with positive and negative characteristics, this way they will all meet in the same place. Maybe injecting them into the liver would be the best way, or even using a tube to fill the organ this technology?

This could be used for heart disease and a lot of other ailments for the body.

Then we could also grow back lost appendages with this, starting from the inside of the body working outwards - forcing the skin to grow and be stretched, or even supplementing the skin, and then allowing bone to form, under heat being filled into the area, then cooling to form a solid bone, with the same approach to bloodvessels.

But what about diseases? Surely there is a way to get rid of them too?

If you were to take a nano robot and cover it with white blood cells, it could travel in the blood stream and then deliver these blood cells to the diseased areas. If it were built so that the robot was to eject liquid nitrogen into the disease, it would die, if done at a small scale at least. Then the liquid nitrogen would be excreted from the body as they sweat or poop.

It is not easy to work with such small things. To make it easier we should heat the nano tubes to make them bigger, then let them revert back to their original states. Or, we could use nanobots to build even smaller nanobots! If it is difficult to produce nanotubes, surely there should be a cast made for it, then let the little blighters do it at a scale of one to one?

To make it easier we should also use an instrument used for seeing into space - like hubble. A lot of these things could make working with the things much easier, yes?

When there is too much light our eyes contract, so, to get them to see properly, we should work in near darkness with only infra red to guide us. This will mean that our eyes will be tweaked for full usefulness, and then we will see easier.

To get the little bits and pieces together, we should try to mass produce the parts. If you were to make it cheap, like industrialise it, then there won't be much of a problem anymore with costs. I take it the materials used are widely accessible, so, just throw them into the mix on a conveyor belt! This hit and

miss will likely produce many nano scale things, but the problem is that they need to be examined one at a time? Then use a lens to sweep over them dotting the ones that do not measure up to the rest of them and then identify the ones that 'don't work.'

Or, of course, we could take a page out of honey i shrunk the kids and see if we can make things on our one to one level and then shrink them? this could be done by taking the material and then splicing it on a scale of one to whatever, and having the nano bits be used as the material itself! This would mean, say you take a atom, you could shred the atom into a million little pieces, and the nature of the universe says that an electron, for example, would be attracted to it's normal things it is attracted to, and form a nano atom!

All chemicals are 'programmed' to do things, like robots are. If we were to make a chemical that reacts to something we can control, that would be good yes?

Now, to make the chemical robot, we need to sync with it's 'automations.' If a nervous system can control a body and the muscles, and it is based on electricity and set paths, then we can simulate it. To simulate it we need to put the chemicals together with muscles and neural networks. In our bodies cells need oxygen to survive, so maybe they will too? Well, seeing as how it is outside the body, it can absorb oxygen without trying too hard, as it is surrounded by air. The chemical robot, a new life form we can develop and evolutionise as we see fit, could do many small things automatically for the machine or body.

So, we have a nano muscle - cut from a muscle - and then we can create - for example - diseases to fight diseases! If we were to splice a white blood vessel into a nano vessel, it could go right into the disease and fight it, as if it were a disease itself. Now to program the 'cell' to do that, we could simply use the white blood vessel as it is attracted to the disease, and then it will be absorbed into the disease through it's own 'trojan gate' and then fight it from the inside.

But that is limiting the chemical potential. Think of how much smarter we could become? We could insert cells that stimulate brain activity, maybe even combatting retardation and the like. They could smarten people so much that they would all be geniuses!

To do that we would need to take a brain cell, splice it, stick it full of 'lungs' and excretory things it needs, and then inject these into the body, like gummy berry juice! This will stimulate brain activity as the blood carries information to the nearer cells, and then we could think faster, maybe four times or more faster.

To get the 'brains' to attach to the neural network, we would need to just

stick then full of atoms, which carry current anyways. This connects it both ways in the body.

Then there are other applications of 'chemical robots'. If we were to produce a 'life form' that breathes oxygen from outside the 'body', like blood cells which 'keep' after extraction, then we could evolve it through a series of muscle additions, watch it learn how to use it's muscles and then watch it learn other things, as reflexive things do. The cells will react to stimuli and then naturally 'contract' and 'wince' with the muscles we have given them as they are attached to the nervous system of the little life form. Then they could develop thier muscles and shape differently, and we can guide the 'evolution' with chemicals and 'organs' we add to it. We could constuct organs - well simple ones - from other life forms guidelines. Maybewe could even add lungs and eyes?

The cutiinthingsould be made easier if we could produce a nano laser. This, however, requires diamonds, and they might crack at such a small scale...

So, we get hold of water. Water can be shaped into ice, and then used as if were a diamond, for a limited time. Water could be produced on a tiny scale, but then i remember that things can be water tight without being very tight at all, so maybe that is not what we are looking for?

What is transparent like a diamond on such a small scale? Maybe we could, instead of using a laser, cut the 'materials' with electrons. The 'blast' of a beam of electrons compressed then let loose could maybe make the incision needed, at atomic scales, then carry it through a mold. Or, we could use anti matter. This would require a mould and a stream of anti matter for a nano second as it washes over the mould stripping away the unwanted 'mass.'

Maybe we could use something that is gaseous then condenses to form our nano things? Maybe we could use a quark laser? That would be very small! If we were to split quarks, the atoms they form would be smaller, and the matter we work with could be even smaller.

Now, to get this going, we need a very fine laser. If we were to magnify small enough, we would need to use a computer that keeps zooming in, but it is limited. I would say that nano technology is limited by computer visualization of today, so, we need to get the magnification right first.

What is it to magnify? I guess it would be to see very small things, so, maybe we should use thermal imaging to show us the quakr we are working with? But, then, what about all the other quarks around it? Maybe we need to observe a black hole, and take into account the 'magnifying power' of it all? If we could create a tiny black hole for our magnifying glass, we could easily see very small things as it is beamed to us. I suggest we create a tiny black hole somewhere between the lens and the stuff we are working with. This will show us what we are doing, but maybe the radiation will be messy? Oh well,

so much for that idea...

Maybe we could use layers of water? I know each layer you look through makes things smaller? Yes maybe a million layers would do the trick!

Now, how do we control so far down? Maybe we could use magnets for the conversion of electrons or whatever into cutting tools, and then also use our knowledge of chemistry to polarise the particles by attaching them to the 'liked' chemical or avoiding the 'disliked' one.

This way we could see and shape things beyond the nano scale.

If we were to take a flat thin lens, then add another at a degree to the side of it one side going above and the other half going below, and repeat this, we could make a 'lens ball', where everything is refracted smaller and smaller, and then we could see as if it were much more magnified.

Other than that, to get a better picture out of the lens, we might try to use mirrors as well, like lots of them. This will allow for multiple lens ball to be stacked onto each other, and then we will be able to see really small stuff with the lenses being directed onto the mirrors.

Of course, to work with robotics, we might need smaller chips to make the robot work better. If we take the lens, we can see what we are doing. Then we could try to use chips that are made really small, but, how could we work with such small pieces?

To work with nano scale electronics, we need to build it up from spliced quarks, making smaller atoms, and then smaller chips to work with. The only problem is getting the things spliced, and that should be done with nano electrons.

If we can build chemical robots, then chemical electronics shouldn't be that hard, seeing as how they are basically the same thing, nearly.

If you take a chemical and substitute it for, for example, a transistor, it could be very small indeed. Then we could use the chemicals to transfer electricity, or, be conductors. Chemicals are as big as water though, so maybe we need hybrid chemicals that are conductors? Maybe we could make them replace the electronics of a computer, for example, with chemicals that do the same thing?

Getting the chemicals that small will again entail splicing the quarks which build up the atoms, just smaller.

The chemicals could be arranged so that they do not leak all over the place and then get separated by other chemicals, like a buffer if you will, or even

use the buffering chemicals in the design of the electronics on hand. Then the device will be able to transfer a current, and viola, mechinations.

If we were to try to create wires that are super small, we wold need to again splice.

This could lead to a great journey for science and robotics, nanotechnology and chemistry.

It was found that you can levitate cells to become three dimensional tissue culture. This would be great for treating cancer they say.

If you want to levitate the cells, it is suggested that they levitate with gold placed inside them with magnets to make them come off the bottom.

Of course you could do this with all cells without magnetising them by not allowing them to settle! If you were to use a washing machine technique, you would find that spinning the 'tubes' around cylindrically would stop the cells from settling at the bottom, because if they settle at the bottom they will only be two dimensional or something.

Of course now that you are spinning them they might not grow so well. What about adding a fourth dimension to the cells?

If you were to, while spinning them to make them three dimensional, also use positive and negative charges, you could make them grow inside of one another. Or, you could spin the tube diagonally and cylindrically, therby making them gel into one cell as they are throw together.

By cylindrically i mean as if they were on a spit. By diagonally i mean they should be spun around like a garden hose's waterer that spins as if it were a coin on a table.

This all reminds me of that space shuttle preparation device that you sit inside of. Maybe they could add some more moving around to produce single cells at a time?

Of course placing the magnet at the top or around the side of the thing might make the inside come out! If you were to do that, you would bond a very effective cell...

If we were to make a bit of tissue the 'cpu' of the robot, we could use a metal arm that is surrounded by tissue, which could be taught to remember tasks and repeat them. Muscles work as if they were aware of the tasks being conducted, so, we could have them remember the tasks we make them do. This would replace the fuel needed for robots and then we could inject the arm with sugar and water to amke it work - i don't know if that is at all a good idea...



Why encourage democracies for the middle east

Why do we need to go that extreme? We could simply partially fund a party in these places that preaches democracy. If that is what the people want, then they could vote for it.

But it isn't what they want. What they want is the promise of moral fibre. If you look at these places, they think all their problems will go away if they have these governments, as the promises are so about these problems. If they were to look at america and say there is a democracy, and look at the problems faced there, they would not be encouraged to vote democracies into power.

But, this thread is about power and security. If the power and security is found, then there will be no problem with this thread. The power comes from the united nations, where everyone meets and tries to get along. Anyone touting a war banner for another one to die is attacked by the allies, and the allies of that party is so overwhelmed they just scatter. The security comes from knowing that they are not interfering with the country that has radicals somewhere up their sleeve, and they simply move along with their business.

Emp weapons

I think the best way to deal with these uprisings is first for the un to decide who is in the right. Usually, the best way to decide who is right is to see what the conflict is about. It is usually easy to see people fighting for rights or freedom as being the rebels, but, if the state is imposing servitude or whatever is the opposite of freedom on the country, isn't it them rebelling against the world order?

So, as soon as the un has decided who is in the right, they should step in to help the right side. In somalia, for example, the rebels are fighting for sharia law. This wouldn't be supported even if they were fighting for christianity, so they are clearly in the wrong. Anywhere where peaceful petitioning is replaced by bloodshed, there they find people in the wrong.

In this case, it is the state. There is petitioning, there is bloodshed. They say there are terrorists among the people rebelling, but that is not proved yet. And, if there are, then they still don't have a case, as they are also harming innocent people. But, this is not fighting for oppression by the rebels, it is fighting for freedom. This makes the terrorists right! In this instance at least. If they were to use some sort of weapon to make all the guns unuseable, then they could stop the blood shed. I wonder if emp weapons will work to disable the guns? Off to the lab!

In the lab we will learn how to construct emp weapons. I suggest we magnetise the guns so that they won't fire anymore, as the charge will be too

powerful for the weapons to separate ammo from guns. This weapon could be used to stop lots of uprisings or needless bloodshed.

This 'emp bomb' could use radio waves to reach their targets. Then they could set the waves to magnetise the metal parts, maybe even replacing the idea of jamming guns, with making them full of similar charges, so that they will be unable to load the weapons and then just see the ammo lie outside the guns? If there is a way, we could just charge the waves with powerful electro magnetism, and then magnetise the weapons and ammo so that they will be powerfully charged and unloadable, as the gun and ammo are both metallic...

Life in the middle east, the way i see it, is just fine. The people don't need rights. Can you eat rights? Can you but stuff with rights? If there is a way for me to show you how fine life is over there, please hear me out.


When the people have a crime, it is punished immediately. Actually the people are so happy that they do not commit crimes. You may say that this culture oppresses women, but they just say that women must stay out of the light of distracting men. I have admitted to being more interested in the opposite sex than doing my work at work, and i think this is often underestimated, as the personal side of work holds more sway on the business and economic sector than we men care to admit. If you were to hold a grudge against someone this would interfere with the smooth flow of work, and, if you liked a woman that was less capable than a man, you might take her side instead of trying to make the best decisions for the business.

Now, maybe a all woman work would be an option, but still, people that try to impress women over what they are doing may try to do business with the women rather than the men. This is evident in western society. Are you familiar with this yourself?

Now, is anyone hurt by this? If they were harmed, then it would be illegal there, unlike in the west.

Now onto things like gangsterism. in the west and western countries, this flourishes. If you were to look at the near east, you would see not a hint of it, even though rap is popular there. There is no 'delinquent ideal' corrupting the masses of youth, so this can only be a good thing.

How about the poverty levels?



As you can see, the poverty here is not that bad either. It is worse in africa. The biggest population diversifier is that people live out in the 'desert' with subsistence farming, putting them down there. There are squatter camps there like anywhere else, but here they look after each other, as if commanded to do so by god, as, they hold religion very dear, and that makes people seem to look after each other more, yes? Well in this case anyway...

Where is the money?

Actually, if you were to look into it, let's say that three hundred million people make a thousand dollars a month. This means that they will pay more than one hundred dollars tax, totalling thirty billion a month. Each time they spend their money, they pay sales tax, coming to an abhorrent number of all their money eventually going to taxes and then paying taxes on money they don't even have in effect as it cycles through the country. All that is from the poorer people, and ninety percent of the money collected comes from the rich or one percent.

There is something screwy going on, where is all of it? Each month? Hell's bells.

### **Mega city problems**

We live in an age where cities are getting bigger and bigger. There are real problems when it comes to meeting service delivery problems, so that is why I made this thread.

To demolish old buildings near the CBD is a very good idea, and often sought after. These buildings are health hazards for many that occupy them. The way to rebuild on the land should be covered with a revamp of the sewerage and electrical efficiencies - there needs to be some money spent on the latest in those fields, or, the building will suffer. If you want to make it healthier too, then you would have to spend money on the whole city, as the plagues

come back from other neighbouring buildings. It is for this reason that all city sewers and back allies should be cleaned all at once. I suggest that the whole city be 'cleaned' with the money of those who dwell in the buildings themselves, as it is unfair to expect the poor to shoulder the costs, unless they live there. In that case the poor should be carried by the businesses - charge the businesses only for the cleaning of the allies and sewers, but then they will complain too.

Each city has a mayor, and each mayor has a pay roll. Coming out of the pocket of the mayor, bought in bulk, these things should all be cleaned. It might cost a lot, but the constant repairs paid by businesses and dwellings in the cbd is showing that they need it. Instead come up with a plan to clean all these things. But should the plan be?

If you were to replace all the electricity wiring with new ones, they will still short out because of the grid. The whole thing should be fixed so as to eliminate the costs of losing business hours, even though it might not be a big problem yet, it will be. Some people just don't move and remain in the cbd in thier terrible dwellings where birds and mice eat away at wiring.

The sewers should be revamped too, even though it doesn't carry such a concern as electricity shorting out, but how much electricity and water is wasted by these factors?

This might be expensive, so i have a plan!

Paying for the whole city to be renovated by the mayor is much cheaper than getting quotes and doing it personally. The mayor should pay for the 'parts' of the service, and the service could be done for free. Think of the pay day that the people will get? They will be swimming in money if they just sell the parts - this bulk buying power is good yes? The rest of the renovation could be carried out for free, as it is a take it or leave it deal, i guess, and they will take it!

### **New time machine**

Maybe I was incorrect in my ideas for time travel. Maybe the chamber could be built using something that won't melt, as I suppose it will get very hot in there. Then they could recerse light, or, be close to a source of light. This light travels at a fantastic pace, so, we would need to make the 'space ship' go at the speed of light. Anything going that fast will go back in time, or forward in time, or somewhere else.

Time is often regaurded as the fourth dimension, so, think of something going into another thing and out the other side? Travelling at light speed should be possible with a ship made out of n4, or a train, or something... that will devliver the speed for the thing to travel as fast as the speed of light, and then go somewhere else.

I propose an engine from my space ships thread. This should get the thing going at that speed...

So, we can open a doorway to the fourth dimension. If this is the case, and I am sure it is, then we can move through the fourth dimension, which people say is time, but which is proven to be actually another dimension of depth. Well it looks like another dimension of depth...

So, to travel through time we need to add another dimension of length and width, so that the whole thing will be 'doubled', and then maybe we can move around inside there, close it up, and see where it leads?

### **India and pakistan**

The way these two countries regard each other is not what you might call a war where leaders would nuke each other or anything. They would more likely fight a small scale war, as there is not really anything to be gained. There is nothing to be gained as the UN would not recognise land grabs by either side, and all that is left is tension between leaders.

If they were allowed to expand their borders, then there might be a case for a financially stimulating war. What they have is more a war of religion - one like between a family. They will not be bringing Islam to India as they already have this, so, they would likely be forcing the Indians into worship. This could be like a crusade of sorts...

I suggest street artists get together and paint the walls of buildings with pro Islamic and pro Hindu symbolism. This will make them see that India has also got a vestment in the Islam that is a part of their daily lives anyway. Otherwise, maybe they sign a treaty of friendship, have a holiday, and have their leaders meet. I am sure what you call the Asian Leaders Body would like to see these two be friends? This means they should pump the media full of peaceful slogans and have the media interview the leaders next to each other.

If the leaders were in close proximity to each other, then they would relax - it is the high walls that make them so edgy. If they were to have a cultural cross over, from the leadership down to the people, then everyone would relax and remember that everyone is different and it is working.

### **Immigration**

I always hear of handouts to people from other countries in the West, but is it really that bad? I mean, they come in, eat some porridge and soup, and they do not commit that many crimes...

So, let's think about it a little more? Tons of people are going from the near

east to europe. The european union will do the things i mentioned, then put some of them to work. Nobody wants those jobs, they do not pay income tax, but do save companies that sell cheap things they need. this comes out of the taxes, to the state, to the company, back through taxation at zillions of tax points, and back to the people in service delivery. No problem here, even the people living illegally that don't pay income tax spend all thier money - tax points - and the money they send home stops at the border.

Why does the money they send home stop at the border? Well, if you send one hundred dollars in a package to someone in or outside the country what happens? Well, if it is inside the country, then it wil get spent. If you send it over the border, then they need to take it to the exchange office and buy thier own currency, so that hundred dollars enters the coffers of the exchange, and then gets sent back into circulation. That hundred dollars doesn't drain the economy at all!

Is this phenomenon that bad?

### **Air compressor engine**

What if the best cleanest way to get around was to be achieved by an air compression technique where the chamber is opened up and naturally filled with air, then compressed and the air released? How would that fair against the combustion engine?

This engine could be powered manually by controls, so you might have to manually and with the help of mechanisms close, compress and reopen the engine again.

How powerful could we make an engine like this?

### **The fourth dimension**

I guess we could call light that reflects back between two mirrors a new dimension. Is it possible to do it with materials? I mean, we are made of materials, and it would be great to enter the fourth dimension, at least to say we have done it!

Now, we can see how to enter the fourth dimension, we need eight points of total flexibility. This would be the four arms of the mechanism that keep going around and 'bending' the 'inner block' and then acting as if they were in the block, yet not in the block.

If we were to take a few atoms and make them, not displace, but move through each other, with the one being pushed through the other, with the other naturally contorting and allowing for this 'big push', the displacer would be going into the fourth dimension, yes? Just like in the image.

So, to send us through a fourth dimension, we would need to first try it with light, as that affects all the atoms in between the mirrors as well. There should be a sphere of mirrors, like a mirror ball turned inside out, and then it should be closed. The recorder should remain inside, and then the mirrors should be shot with various light effects. This will plunge the recorder into nearly entropic states, all recorded for us to see afterwards. Maybe the light could be tempered with something that has mass? then we could emit something like a germ into the container? What would that do? How about something tied to light, like lightning? I wonder where this will lead...

If we actually want to enter the fourth dimension, we need some way to actually open it up for us.

This could be done by splitting an object into length and breadth, and then giving it depth. To explain this properly to you, we could take a blanket hung on a line, and then stretch it from end to end, and top to bottom, and then pull it from each side of it, like making a blanket - two dimensional - look like a 'room.' Maybe we could pull it in the centre on each side and make it three dimensional? This means the space inside the centres would be the fourth dimension!

Using lasers, we could focus two of them on the same place, and then make them bisect there. The thing is, they will be going into each other, but i can see no problems yet... Then we could load them with positive ions and watch them meet up... with the force behind them, they would push each other away, so, maybe the 'force' could push them apart and make a new object in the centre of it? This would mean 'filling the gap' or, making a fourth dimension all the way down the beam of the laser. Then we could heat the lasers so that they make it like a squishy door from stargate?

Okay, so we also need to make the laser beams very large in height and width. The depth will be where we stick the stuff we want to go through the 'stargate!' As the area fills, we will be able to set up a video recorder and then film what happens and what wierdness lurks inside the fourth dimension, as it is actually within the third dimension, literally, if you understand my blanket idea.

Or, we could use one wide laser onto a mirror. Then we could see the area inside the laser - where it reflects - become filled as if it were the fourth dimension?

Maybe instead of using positive or negative forces to create resistance, we could use particle annihilation? There must be a way to literally open a door to another dimension... Maybe anti matter?

If we consider we are using a mirror to simulate the fourth dimension, and we were to mirror ourselves, by adding three more dimensions, we would have

two of us in this simulation. Then there might be a whole duplicate of ourselves as soon as we enter, maybe we will be able to interact with objects too?

Looking for objects in the next whatever dimension would be easier if we went in there with something that attracts life, like water?

Maybe we could speed up the molecular interactions in this 'world?' let's speed up the lasers going into the mirror, somehow, however you do that scientifically, and watch things like apples ripen faster, maybe even planting seeds into soil in this dimension? This would solve the price of food, and end world hunger...

### **Crime rates**

The states is so criminally minded because there is such a class gap with the class being the biggest is actually the one most likely to commit crimes. having a marginal unemployment - ten percent - the states has a lot of people able to commit crimes. the people at the bottom have given up hope and dream of god's love instead - a much better situation to be in. The people committing crimes come from the lower-lower percentage of the country where they still dream of having more.

As you can see, it is the people with the 'highest developments' for thier people where crime is rife. Before you say "oh but that is reported crimes," think about the third world - do people that serve god just sit by and watch crimes take place, people that love each other and think that 'serving forces of good' would not deal with those crimes? The areas are rife with vigilantes, something you hardly see in the states. If you were to believe in the family, and have so little, you would find that these people have the time to deal with crime.

### **Arab spring**

I suggest the people of syria all leave syria and seek asylum elsewhere until the 'war' is over. If they were to then use thier own money to buy hand grenades, they will win easily. Throwing hand grenades needs very little aim, and you can hide behind builodings. the grenades have a wide area of effect, and then the war can be fought with no more casualties for the rebels and people. But, maybe there is diplomacy to resolve this?

If they were to show that this continued war will cost syria all of it's money - money in the form of income tax - then they have very little time left until they cannot afford to pay the army. The army gets money from the state, and the state gets money from the people. Unless they were to collect even more taxes from the citizens left there in peaceful places, they will fall sooner or later, maybe tommorow?



If they cannot pay the army, and the army is just in it for the jobs - i cannot see a sane soldier saying he wants to kill for assad - then they will also rebel. All they need is money! If the west was to pay them double the salary for a few years, the defections would come to a boil! Throw money at the problem. When the war is over they will be employed by the new cabinet and receive double their salary.

The trick is to focus solely on the defence force's personnel. If you up their salaries, and make cuts elsewhere in the defence force after the war - as there isn't really a threat to the country i can see - then the soldiers will rebel and join with the rebels, seeing a bright future and less killing of civilians.

Of course, so far, the army has proven to be quite bloodthirsty. What do you say to a man that kills innocents with a machine gun? The real question is, how do they feel about it? They would surely turn for double their salary for a few years, maybe even as a grant while they work another job. Now that is looking out for your family - which i gather is quite a high priority in islamic countries - and the only thing i can see making them continue killing innocents is for the money. Quite a mess, one i am sure they want out of.

### **Job creation**

A way to create more jobs would be to increase needs and needs of service, and the best way to do that is with more money. If the state were to throw money at entrepreneurs, where they get money added to their capital, it would employ more people, make a bigger gdp, cause a little inflation, and just about do it for the world.

If the country of any nation was to hand out money for businesses at banks, where the person has credibility and seems capable of running a business, needs will increase, services will increase and jobs will be widespread.

Now, if the state, let's say america, has an unemployment rate of 10%, then they could easily take money from welfare - there is a lot of that i suppose - and channel it into job creation. The best way to create jobs is to lend from the bank, with a credible business scheme for the future, and then those people on welfare can get a job.

It costs the state like, let's say, at least five hundred dollars to take care of each person, and we will allow for a lot of leeway with this figure. 10% of 300 000 000 is 30 million. Thirty million times by five hundred dollars a month = 1 500 000 000. so, it costs the state about - let's allow for leeway here again - two billion dollars a month to cater for the unemployed.

As you can see, the state pays 585 billion dollars towards welfare each year, means that they pay about 50 billion - allowing for leeway again - each month on welfare. They could support the country with five hundred dollars each on two billion a month!

So, there is a lot of money here to spend. If they were to give 30 million people two hundred thousand dollars each for their own businesses, then that would come to 6 000 000 000 as a once off. This will allow the people to generate their own businesses and then they will all reap rewards, for far less, and still be able to pay them their five hundred dollars a month.

The thing is there are needs that are not met, but the problem is that the people with the needs cannot afford to pay for them. The biggest need is housing and food - the bare necessities. Have you seen that squatter camp in Brazil? huge!

If we want to see them servicing their own needs, they need income. If the state were to lend money to set up jobs for them, they would own the business, then collect taxes from their new employees, then boost their GDP by a bit too. I cannot see what is holding the state back from this, as it is so easy to get, you have your assets, you have greater resale value, and the benefits cannot be better.

### **Job creation in South Africa**

South Africa is nearly a third world country. I bet I can better the state of affairs here with minimal work, as I just did in my job creation thread with America, by simply tapping into welfare, and maybe some other sources. Who needs a military if you listen to your own people today? What good is education without jobs - you would rather not know how to write and have a job, yes?

Okay so we have 700 000 000 000 for the total budget. Let's say we were to scrap welfare, or just give it in grants to the disabled or something, and then see where we stand?

How do you get this right? One quarter of the people in South Africa are unable to work?

Anyway, if we take ten percent of the seven hundred billion, we are left with one hundred billion, allowing for leeway. If there are fifty million people in South Africa, and they need two thousand rand a month to live on, and 13 000 000 people need this, that means they need 30 000 000 000, or thirty billion. That leaves us with forty billion to play with to create jobs and get people off the damn welfare! Over a year that climbs to 300 billion though, so somewhere the stats are wrong!

If you, from scratch, were to build factories for the people, how much could you build with one hundred billion rand? If it costs you one million to build a factory or farm or whatever, then ten thousand factories and farms, each employing a hundred people, comes to 10 million people employed. The rate of unemployment is about twenty million in South Africa, so this over two

years could alleviate the problem completely, allowing for more taxation and a bigger gdp.

I am sure it is the same in the rest of the third world. Cut welfare and place that money into jobs creation is what i say.

Okay, so education takes up 17% of the budget, which comes to about, allowing for lee way, one hundred billion. This means, with a third of our nation in schools, being less than, but we will say it is equal to seventeen million, educating each child costs  $[100\,000\,000\,000 / 17\,000\,000 =]$  ten thousand rand a month to educate - more or less 1250 dollars a year. This includes teachers salaries, at about one teacher to fourty children, means there are 425000 teachers, each hauling about ten grand a month, comes to about 51 000 000 000 a year spent on teachers. so, it is costing half of the expenditure to teach the children, so each child costs five thousand rand a year to educate - 5125 dollars a year.

Now, if you were to build from the bottom up, what would the costs be? If each child gets textbooks for a total of two hundred rand a book, and there are say ten subjects - lee way - that comes to two thousand rand per child. computers are a once off thing so don't go there! This means that somewhere there is a child claiming 5000 rand but only using 2000 rand.

But then there is the behind the scenes work going on. that is a pittance i bet!

So, my budget for south african education comes to 70 billion, while thiers comes to more than a hundred billion. That is thirty billion that could be used for jobs!

If seventy billion rand is being spent on health care, and doctors earn 20 000 rand a month, then that comes to, with there being nurses four hundred nurses and eighty doctors for every hundred thousand people - 50 million people means there are 200 000 nurses and 40 000 doctors. This comes to, lets say the nurses get paid ten thousand rand a month, 2 000 000 for nurses a month, 240 000 000 rand for nurses a year, and 800 000 000. this comes to about one and a half billion for the staff. Out of seventy billion?

Just how much do medicines cost? I think i found another thing to slash away for job creation!

Well, let's do the math for health care in south africa? Let's start with aids? That is ten million people comes to 6800 rand per person. That is 300 dollars per person. for a year that is 24 000 000 000 rand for aids.

sp far we have used 3 billion rand for staff, and 24 billion for hiv. now we are down to, say, 43 billion for medical equipment and generic drugs - operations

are free because you just pay the doctor or hospital on the poverty line.

Now, there is a thing called medical aid that we have in south africa. it is where you pay a membership to a scheme and receive medicine for free. Let's say that frees up the middle and upper class, and saves us a mere 2 billion rand. now we have 45 billion to pay for equipment and prescription drugs.

Now there are thirty million people needing - let's say that all have tuberculosis - 600 rand each, would come to 18 billion - let's say it is 20 billion rand? This leaves us with 25 billion rand to pay on machines! That comes to 3 billion dollars for machines.

But wait, i found another link on google...

so now we got like three times or more to spend on health care. There is something very wrong here! I made a rational sounding budget with just a third of that amount! Sounds like there is money that can be spent on job creation.

\*I like to examine the budgets in place than looking for waste - looking for waste comes through this!

I think, seeing as how the healthcare side of things can be gobbled up by one hundred and forty billion, and there is two hundred and forty billion allocated, and my estimates only come to 70 billion rands, it would be safe to say we got one hundred billion left.

A factory uses about a million rand to build. Each factory will employ at least one hundred people. So,  $100\,000\,000\,000 / 1\,000\,000 * 100 =$  one hundred thousand times by 100 = 10 million jobs! that is like half the unemployed nearly, all done in one year!

Then there are gains for the state too. each person will earn a minimum of two thousand rand a month. This taxation comes to 18% of this wage, being...

$[R2000 * 10\,000\,000 =]$  20 billion, and 18% of that equals...

$[20\,000\,000\,000 * 18 / 100 =]$  3 600 000 000 or three and a half billion rands.

So the country is circulating another twenty billion, which all gets taxed at various points for a real haul of, well 20 billion. You may say that is not a lot, but then you can reduce the poverty welfare thing by a third, saving the country 10 billion rands, so, in effect, it is making thirty billion rands, and reducing the number of people on welfare by a third.

With the state of things in south africa, and also acknowledged by media - although i cannot find where - there seems to be a horrible mindset in south africa from the black community. They think that education will grant jobs, but overinvesting in education leads to no job creation - we have people sitting around with grade twelve or more and no jobs. This means that we need more jobs and money needs to be spent on that. Then the gdp produces dividends, and everyone gets more money.

If the anc was to do some math, they would quickly sell eskom [the power utility] and telkom [the telecommunications utility].  
I bet they would receive more in taxing them, and the cumulative interest on selling the two than they are now? Let's work it out!

Let's say that there are fifty million people in the country, and they all use phones from telkom. Let's say that there are business calls from each business to others? That would mean that they might use the telephone for half an hour a day, let's say, as i am sure it is way more than that, but this is my style of min-maxing where we use totals over the or under the expected numbers, allowing for a way to emphasise our points.

So, let's say that forty nine million people use the phone for half an hour a day, twenty days a month. That means that there are [fifty million,] times by [half an hour] = one hundred million hours on the phone. This comes to, at let's say one rand a minute, one hundred million rand a month.

Now, if you sold telkom, and received no money for that, you would collect forty five percent of that amount, coming to forty five million rand a month. This is a loss of fifty five million rand a month, but, then you do not pay for expenses of running the business! That, with our culture of defacing the infrastructure whenever we are unhappy, could come to quite a bit. Then you can also fire the loose ends of the state that eat up money based on the infrastructure of the telkom dividend. This could all be quite a lot of money. Remember that most of the country is not connected to telkom, and that it is an expense to connect them.

Then, there is the issue of power delivery. Let's say that they too, for no real figures are needed, collect one hundred million rand a month by selling power to people. This will come off as also nearly half of what they are making now, so it is not a big incentive, but, power is also stolen in this country.

Now, add the selling prices of each utility, and then put that into job creation, at one million rand per facility, and one hundred people hired per plant. Then add to that all the commercial 'hedges' that crop up on top of that, and you might nearly have everyone employed, all at once. That means that everyone will be happy, but...

the anc is not in it to deliver to the people. they want to make sure they rule

unopposed for the rest of time, and delivering jobs to the people means that they might be looking for more in the way of reliability, which would mean voter education.

The role of any government, first and foremost, is voter education, as that preps up the state to deliver to the people. This is not the case in africa, and south africa is no exception.

If you were to sell those utilities, you could build at least two hundred factories, leading to twenty thousand jobs. Then you stack on all the commercial sides of marketing these goods, and the results would be staggering.

### **Government mandates**

What you speak of is 'equality.' No men are born equal. Some are born into luxury, while most are born into poverty or less favourable situations. Then, some are smarter than others, but this often has to do with the environment they are born into and live in.

To say that someone owns something is to say that they may own something, be it the shirt on your back or even your house. Everyone wants something more, and that keeps us going. There is no end to what people want, so, this will never be negated. Have you seen the dark knight rises? That would happen if the world was equal, but, then where would the food come from to feed everyone? Who would get educated to supply power to everyone? Where would we manufacture clothing to wear? All this comes from the ownership ideals of our world, not just the republicans.

### **Racism**

the problem is racism. There must be a way to stop it. if you were to dislike someone else because of where they live, it is nearly the same thing. Then there is disliking someone else because of their religion. This is all because there are others like you that you would rather identify with, and therefore dislike these people of colour, or, whites.

I would like to think it is only whites that are racist. But, in africa, there are blacks that are racist too against other blacks, and in the near east sunnis hate others. This means it is not just whites being racist towards non whites, but as you live in america you only hear things that apply to you.

The way to get rid of racism is to send out subliminal messages in advertising that encourage non racism. Like hypnotising people into peace. I am sure that proposing this to the public will be met with joy. If there is another way though, as I am sure that people might object to being brain washed, then let's look further?

If we were to have more pop stars of other colours, then there would be more acceptance as people listen to and think about the pop star on a personal level. There should be more of this.

Then there is cross profiling where you would play adverts for other race groups on the 'wrong' channels. This will leave whites seeing blacks and blacks seeing whites a lot, and will discourage racism as they are up to their ears in other race groups.

But is there another way? I suppose racism is not a crime, except where it manifests itself in crimes against race groups. If we want to see the end of racism, we need to do as much as partial bystanders to the people that are racist. Here in South Africa there is a black man in politics, or he was, that hated white people. He would have led them to the slaughter!

Now, if we want to see the end of racism, then we need to get people together from a young age. I know one of our cities Johannesburg has a lot of mixed races, and there is hardly any racism there. So, for an immediate solution, just throw them together legally, politically and financially. But, how can we do this?

We should try to make a quota system for work places. This will bring parents together with people of other races, and then this behaviour will filter down onto the kids. It starts at work. No good having a big day on the weekend where all sorts of race groups are invited to the same place, only to sit together with their own race! That is no good - they must need each other.

Okay, how about we only hire repair people and service people that work with whites and middle classes that are black? Or how would that work? No, maybe going through the television is the best way to do it...

### **Vector communications**

Maybe we could split the vectors off the signal by blocking them out? We could, rather, well rather than cutting them off, add one more that goes right through things? But, how would we do this?

If we were to be able to do this, we would not spend so much money on satellites, as the beams would travel right through the substances of earth. Then we could communicate as if it were a direct line too.

Basically, the easiest way to communicate right through the earth, or at angles that miss the earth's core, which might modify the message, would be to communicate in vector signals that have no depth, as depth holds them back from the material they are trying to penetrate.

If we were to, on the atomic level, cut away the neutrons, then all we have left is electrons. This may help, maybe even in reverse. If we cut away the par

of the atom where we find mass, we could be able to penetrate anything, and collect our messages on their way out to space, as they will not stop there either. That will deplete most of the mass. If we could get rid of the rest of the mass, we could communicate through things with lasers, cheaper than satellites by a big margin.

## **Famine**

There are a lot of squatter camps in the world. What if the state was to supply the squatters with chickens and cows, and tell them to move to the grazing lands to live a better life? There is nothing for them there. Moving to the homelands - in South Africa at least - would see a lot of people living a better life. They could use the rejected cows and chickens at first, then use others. It costs nothing to 'produce' these two creatures.

Maybe there is a better way to feed everyone? If they move the charity to the homelands, like all the porridge, which is what I believe they eat, they could lure the squatters away from the city and feed them back into a good life? This could be incremental all the way, luring them back to a decent life in the homelands.

Or, they could impose a 'food tax' for all the food wholesalers. This would mean they have to donate food to a forum that distributes the food to the people in need.

How about they gather sea weed for the people to eat? They could pepper it with cheap spices and then feed it to them? They don't need rotten seaweed on the shore, but rather go out there with a trawler and collect sea weed. The ships that bring goods to Africa, or from it, could just drop a net and collect it, charitably.

This is quite nutritious!

Another thing they could do would be to buy lots of battery chickens. Then they could just - the state - set up a cheap farm and give that to the hungry as service delivery.

Or, they could encourage the hungry to settle in with the bushmen and eat roots and stuff after they learn how and what to do. They could all live off the land. One thing I find strange is that people living off the land have it good where they are, then they move into poverty and are considered a problem - the poverty! Think of how foolish it is to move into the city looking for a way in life when you had it all to yourself 'in the bush'.