

Centauri works by Brett Nortje

Strikes

Everyone strikes around this time each year that is paid by the state. This means rate increases and other service costs. That leads to higher taxes eventually, and then the people striking pay higher taxes, then they need more money, and so forth.

The way out of this is to reduce taxes, but that would lead to not enough money coming in.

I suggest a one percent increase in taxes paid by the whole country across the board. They can then pay them what they need as a 'country worker' is a fraction of the total people in the country.

Desmond tutu suggests we tax wealthy white people more. This would mean that those that can now cannot and we all live in poverty. That stands to some reason as they are not creating jobs for the poor, bearish as they are.

All the money is tied to the bank accounts. If they had a total of these accounts, as each bank operates under the guise of serving the world, we would have to start in Europe to find all the Absa and other banks headquarters. If they made a move to hedge on all these accounts, lend that to the state, then the state could pay off some of the national debt. This would lead to less inflation due to debts.

Hell they could all just wipe away all debts. Who loses? The banks will then have money coming in to be saved, and so forth. Put into the hands of the state this cannot fail, put into the hands of citizens it will fail.

So, all the debts are wiped away. Those creditors or whatever lends money was never going to see it anyway. I find it funny how the state operates, it still revolves around guidelines for establishing fighting forces to protect countries. These things were a topic of the past, what with civil wars and the like. Keeping a fighting force is stupid, keeping a police force is good. As long as each country looks at each other as a way to make money off of, there is no hope. As soon as the debts are wiped away, and are more strictly enforced, we can then start to avoid the crunch coming our way soon as the population spirals out of control.

Then again this started out of line of striking - people letting the state know they are unhappy.

Who stands to lose on a national debt? People are getting paid back in order to pay back, ring a rosies style. This big hole just sucks up all the money!

Look at it this way; if one person pays back another, and at the end the reserve of

Konstantinoble is being paid back, where is the circulation? Where is the growth?

Taxing debts would go down well. For each dollar you pay back to a country, you should tax them, [income tax!] and then the spiralling out of control amounts could be 'squished'. If you pay back as much as you lend then your debt stays level, but if you don't want to wipe away all debts, then just find a way to stabilise before a recession comes and there are people fighting for food and water in every country. To avoid this we need development. If you don't want to tax rich people more, put some of their money into development. If things go this way, we will all be Assads behind high walls paying soldiers to find food for us, or be out there demanding the food come our way. It can all come good for another thousand years, but we need development urgently.

So, if the debts are wiped away everybody wins. Those amounts won't cripple the reserves they are owed to as the reserves do not owe anything, and not owing anything means they cannot go bankrupt.

To generate the money coming through each month the state should buy more businesses. They have money in hand, so by buying more businesses they will generate more monthly income. This will help combat the national debt, but, who do they owe money to?

If they were to observe the debts they owe, they should try to pay them off one at a time, instead of a little here and there all the time. This will mean they will owe a whole category less each year.

To pay for the strikes they could just raise taxes, not income tax that is visible, but revert to a sixteen percent vat rating. This means they will pay more for their goods they buy, coming from everyone, and it will affect the rich too, just as Tutu wants. If they want to adjust tax rates on foods, then they should observe that it will affect the poor as well.

If a typical person goes to buy foodstuffs each day, they will spend about thirty rand a day on living. That means that they will spend four rand on tax, and upping the tax rate will get the state another fifty cents a day. This means, over thirty million regular buyers who have jobs, they will add three times and six zeroes to the revenue, making another fifteen million rand a month. Let's say there are one hundred thousand workers for the state, that makes them another three hundred rand a month. Their average salary is say three thousand rand a month, so they will see an increase of ten percent. By adding another two percent to the income tax as well, they can take another thirty million by two hundred rand, making six hundred million rand. This spread over all the state workers means an increase of six hundred million by one thousand workers, making it six hundred thousand rand! That means the state employees, at the expense of the 'rich', will be able to make double their previous income!

Of course there will be money left over each month to pay off the debts, and with them paid off they can rule that off the expenditures of the state. This is redistribution of wealth, and if the state then buys up more businesses, they can lift the poor high and dry of

the terrible conditions they are subject to at present, and build more houses, schools, hospitals and such. They could even have afforded to buy that police headquarters in Johannesburg.

So forget about taxing the foodstuffs, raise income tax for the poor, harming them to the tune of a few rands, and resulting in millions in revenue, and paying more salaries with job creation.

Budget

As you can see there seems to be balance in this budget we have.

What if there was to be a freeing up of funds by selling out of date equipment in the military to rebels in the world?

The pivotal point is housing - people need to have houses before they can work. This means that there should be more put aside for this. They could also sell off the social services network to other parties so that they may benefit from taxes - people buy into social services up to forty nine percent and receive taxes into their own pockets, but the lump sum could lead to greater housing subsidies, leading to more employment, leading to a greater economic situation. They could also pay back some of the money they have lent, as that is escalating too. Or... they could sell the social services off completely! This means the people keep their jobs, service quality - now treated like a business - needs to shape up, and the state has a lovely opportunity to employ more people as they no longer pay the salaries of those people. This means two businesses, nearly as much tax money, and a great lump sum for their efforts. This will lead, as I mentioned, to more money ultimately.

Look at it this way, much money is tied up. When the money is let loose it will escalate faster than just taxing new businesses alone. This is the way forward I am sure!

Strip the economic affairs department clean! It sucks up money and gives nothing. If the people that work there want to work they can become contractors and submit their stuff to the state as a contractor does. This means even more money to pay back the national debt and pay for housing, which leads to jobs. With a lot of people fretting over this... you could have a team of ten people with computers doing it I am sure. Maybe that is where all the money is wasted, and it consumes one hundred and fifty billion a year. With so many people making plans, well, what are the plans? To phone China and ask for more investment? Please! If they had this all poured into economic affairs and then they all come up with plans for the country, any economist can do this. Contract it out!

A campaign strategy for the democratic alliance of south africa

I find this to be the way forwards. If the Democratic alliance can get rid of the rubbish that people think about them and the system, and that they want someone that provides for them, stop thinking of the future and think of the now. Do not sign into big deals that will take years to gain anything, cut and run! Do not develop, sell! If you have an oil field, sell it. Keep the capital, invest in the bloody housing that people want. If they do not do this

they will not win and then they will fall behind further. Let's look at it this way?

[big budget]---->[invest]---->[massive interest]
.....V.....V
.....slow rewards].....[no immediate cash in hand]
.....V.....V
.....lost potential further growth].....[tepid!]
.....V
[greater debts piling up from more of these 'investments']

(As you can see having less now and more later leaves you with less now and much less later. These things will even degrade due to inflation and strikes with development.)

or my plan...

[country]---->[revenue]< ----[sell resources]
.....V.....V
.....more money now].....[use South African ports]
.....V.....V
.[more options]---->[more money now!]

Now we see money growing into something great, for everybody, even me! Yes yes yes!

The only thing to do is go for it now, not plan for the future - that is for bankers to play with and even they would be fools not to see it this way.

Now how do we stop strikes?

[a] Get rid of Cosatu. Civil war?

[b] Include employers rights, like deamed so by the Human rights court in Europe, or off to the international criminal court. People were force fed to give up slavery, and I can see no reason why the ANC cannot be forced to give up this or face criminal charges. Someone somewhere is suffering, and if the employer goes down, everyone suffers. If the worker is fired, then there wouldn't have been a shortage of workers would there? Taking food off of one person's table and placing it on another person's isn't against the law, so, for the benefit of South Africa, please come save me, um, them!

[c] Kill all the Jews!

[d] Arrest people for jay walking while they strike!

I think there are plenty of options now and the houses can be built if the materials are deposited now. Maybe it will be a token to other countries too? They don't complain, but think if someone has a house, they can work, and would probably want to anyways because it will put fresh food on the table. Begging for scraps cannot be that great as a choice, yes?

The only way that the da can win and bring about positive change is for the anc to get off the horse of fear. Do you want a educated man as your boss or an uneducated woman that is popular? There is a legacy that comes from the anc, but, a legacy does not feed and teach. It was a hard fight, it is over. Thre won;t be another fight, another hitler, because there is no desire for one. If someone was to make stupid threats then they would be decapitated immediately. The prospect lies in promises - has the western cape gone into apartheid? Has the western cape not been scrutinized too much? What is supposed to happen? There is only so much time, and the fact that the id - a party of colour - can join up with the da means that there is cooperation and sharing of ideas. Each party must produce for thier people or lose votes, so, if there needs to be a challenge met it is the priviledged anc with thier stacked opinions from the people where they do not need to produce! They simply just say this or that and mean none of it, but that is because there is no pressure.

If there needs to be more jobs then they need to provide them. Ask the da if they want to make new jobs for the people through new state owned businesses that hire people, and ask them if they would do this. Now ask the anc why they are not doing it! There is lots of money in the country at present with a great industrial sector I saw a report of on the news, so that means they can hire more people and the people can make thier own plan.

Does somebody get something for nothing? How then can the people get something from the anc! They give the anc something, and they get nothing. If you look to the western cape there is the change of taxes and they get something back. So, instead of asking if you get something for nothing, most of the people in the black areas should be asking why they give something and get nothing!

Now I ask you, how educated were thier parents?!

As you my be able to tell I am firmly behind the DA. If they were to bring true democracy to the country, as is the case in other african countries, then they would have the democracy. The ANC does very little for the people, but the people are brain washed into voting for them. The 'mood' of voting against apartheid i prevailing, as that is evidently what they are doing! It is not a vote for something, it is a vote against aprtheid. It is not a vote for Zuma, but for freedom the people see being taken away from them.

How can they choose living in shacks over living in basic housing? What do the parents think bringing their children up in a squatter camp? If they were to vote for thier children it must be for education. Look at cape town - it has always been da, and now it has it has the best stanadards for education anywhere in the country. Surely education means something to the da, and if it means something to the da then the future matters to them. Where have you heard of mud huts for learners in the western cape?

The anc is full of people ot looking forwards, neither at now, but backwards. How often do they suggest new movements? They look back at the telecoms, they look back at lost oppurtunities with the power stations that are now overloaded, they look back at the racist white people. The people hear them and look back at aparthied, the people look back at riots, and the people look back at evictions.

Why was there apartheid? Well, it was the result of the political power that the national party had. What if the AWB came to power. Think about that, would they evict people and face sanctions? Would they try something that didn't work again? If you look to the middle east where the majority is Arabs attacking Israel, you have to know that the world hates both of them! They hate the Arabs and the Jews! In South Africa we have a capable white people and an incapable black people. If the black people in south africa think they can get sympathy from the rest of Africa after their stupid 'movements' to date, they will get none. Not in Asia, not anywhere will they find sympathy. The white people have been sidelined and look at what has happened? Cell phones everywhere, oh and shacks. Were people living in shacks back in the day? Were the people not educated properly? There are supposed to be a lot more opportunities in south africa, but that is because of the gdp. If you look around there are plenty of black people with skills to fill vacancies, so they must have been educated in apartheid.

I personally would go without the beach and riding upstairs on buses in exchange for houses! The ANC made a promise, the da can fix it - each person working is worth money, and each person in a house is able to work.

Do not vote for something other than what you sign for. Are you voting for freedom, it is here. Why keep paying for last years bread all the time? Are you voting against white people? There are more white people in the ANC than in the DA. Are you voting for the future, or voting for something that is long gone?

Or, for more voter education, the state could circulate a guide with pictures so everyone can read it. Maybe a cute cartoon where the people are liberated by this great man, and this great man doesn't know what he is doing, but the guy after him does, and this buffon comes to the scene and makes a total mess of it.

One of the things that the parties need to get use to is hecklers. If are heckled they have to be polite - but you get respect if you show a spine. Shout at them, do not remain cool calm and collected, it will only irritate you. If you keep crawling for second best you may as well go for the whole 'pot' and be happy afterwards. The people you let down will also be happy, as they will feel a unity with those that do not crawl. Have you ever watched the tension when someone comes to a new land diplomatically? If that is how you need to live... what justifies that tension and political correctness? Feeble, slow, outdated rubbish!

Now, when it comes to voter education, they need to hold the people off from the ANC by showing them they have had the same part for about two decades and nothing has been done. The actual party is comprised of the same people with a different political face - these parasites will eat away at the moral fibre and keep their own noses clean.

If you look at the DA they will see that they regularly change places - that you earn your place. If it works as if some people stay in because they are friends with each other, that this is some 'club' where they all drink and do nothing, then that is not top notch. They

need to earn thier places!

Oh but they have done something! They have provided the country with a constitution that makes it nearly impossible for law to proceed. ere ever was something stupid done it was making such a constitution. In having technical things in terms and not common sense, they have made it impossible for the people to have justice - they either favour one of the people in any case, but are not too clear, with a lot of ifs and buts by each lawyer. I propose this rubbish be thrown out and common sense installed, cleaning up the law and courts no end I promise you.

Now, with voter education, they need to be shown the policies of the state. They should be handed out at schools for the parents to sign as if it were a part of thier homework, something they need to do with thier parents to complete a project for some or other subject. This will get the people talking about it for a while before the election and then there will be voter education! I propose that it all be done in colourful cartoons and then it shows the strengths and values of each party, and for those parents that care, the histories of the parties with delivery of 'goals'.

Maybe making new promises could work. If you look at America and Europe, South America and lots of Asia, you will see that democracy works when voters are educated, and never has there been this slim a chance of one of the parties winning as in this country.

If there needs to be more education, where they show the pictures of each cd thier cabinet, and what each cabinet thinks of the country and what they can do for the people, maybe they could simplify the cartoon? They could use spades, grain, water, snitation, and put them in priorities where the child could read it to the parent.

I don't think the squatters are going to vote this time around. The DA should work on neutralizing this force, as it will be brain washed into voting for the ANC. If they can ask them if they want something they should vote for the DA - change - or if they are happy with the state of affairs - the ANC - or if they have lost hope and don't want to waste thier time, just to stay home. This should clear up a lot of the problems facing the DA.

Okay so we got voter education, a bunch o propaganda from other africans nd a history of faillure by the anc. What else could solidify the country for the DA? Maybe we should concentrate on another province? Either the eastern cape or Gauteng if you ask me!

To grab the Eastern cape there needs to be a view where the people cannot afford the service delivery paid by them to the state. This is a lot of votes but no man's land. Lett's get back to this later?

To grab Gauteng they need to appeal to the people that live in Soweto. There people have a lot of nothing! They have decent houses so the gaof promising housing will not work from the anc. This will be easier to win I think. If they were to promise or pledge better standards of living, in so much as they want to supply free internet, which costs maybe a hundred rand a month - a hundred rand off of the 'take'- and raise rates by twenty rand for this, they wouldbe better off with thier telkom setup which is ready to supply this for next

to nothing, as it is a huge take with the current system. Maybe if they promised every household a free computer? Buying in bulk means they could grab each computer for about a thousand rand, as a once off, over ten million people say living in decent houses with electricity, would come to a billion rand - but of course with the coffers full of money in the trillions they could easily afford this, in exchange for the country. Then they could promise each working person that goes into the CBD a better rail motion, if they have one at all. This should take about a year at most to incorporate, one year out of four or so, say, yes? The people of Soweto are not stupid, so will be able to weigh these things up and then see it can be given. They have thier housing already, so look forwards. Winning Guateng will be a piece of cake!

Okay, the masses in the Eastern cape will satisfy the majority vote - that is where the people stay!

For the DA to grab the Eastern cape they need to make the people in the city speak highly of them for the squatters - they need the churches which the black people love to attend to side with them. This means that they should promise law and order, by raising taxes by a percent they will never need to replace another item being stolen, never not find a loved one that has been slain - this will all go to police work, yes? This will get them excited about safety in the locations or camps, or whatever you want to call it.

This should bring the total votes to over fifty percent. But maybe there should be some more initiatives?

How about they afford the people a cell phone network that is state run? With the capital they have you could all recieve state run cell networks, simply buying all the resources in the country and turning the cell phone industry - which is very profitable - into a state run initiative. This will mean typical costs for cell phone calls! Everyone will be able to set this up, and will all pay say thirty rand a month for unlimited cell phone coverage from the state, maybe not buying all the assets of the country, but buying or sharing or renting a lt of them. This will nearly be healthy competition.

Surely with the west, east and Gauteng, the country will be won? Think of the overspill into other provinces?

But it would be to everyone's advantage that elections take place now. The judiciary could rule that the ANC is corrupt and that the people hear of thier 'investments'. This will make them more bad looking and then they can be wrestled by the other parties. One thing all people, even uneducated people, understand is "corruption" and 'thief', so spread the word.

The Anc is desperate for loyalty, so they keep the same old faces around for the sake of the people to vote for. They are clearly running out of time with Zuma. Zuma is already sixty eight, so, is not good for governance for anotheterm next time around. This means they will be voting for someone they need to look young. Thier future plan with the youth

league has fallen apart what with Julius in court soon, again, what with what the educated think of him, the only people that love him are fellow 'pigs' that forcefully throw their weight around, intimidating all the others of the 'slop drop.'

Now, there is no future for the ANC and the people want change now, so, why wait? Schedule the elections for next year already, well, the municipal elections begin next year if I am not mistaken, and that will be a chance to collect rates for the DA. With that revenue they can dip into their own coffers and try to fix everything as fast as they can, gaining more educated votes. This is not a long plan, they simply promise to fix what was fixed before the ANC came to power, maybe they could offer to give power over to the ANC in all sectors if they fail to deliver on this promise, or, they could try a 'mutiny'...

A mutiny would be where they go out of their way to fix things around the country with their own money, not worrying about a 'campaign' with the media or meetings - the usual voters will not vote for the ANC because they already know what has happened, yes? Then they could point to the ANC and show how much they are wasting a campaign, how much they waste on stupid posters and such! This will free up many millions for them to get started in fixing pipes, roads, and all under a DA flag. The residents will see this happening and then win the municipal elections, leading to more revenue, so it is a 'gamble' of sorts. If they were to post the ante with this form of campaigning - instead of posters limiting themselves to interviews for free by radio people - say they allow them to interview them if you know what I mean - then they could run a campaign based on what those educated dee jays recommend for the people. People try to phone premier Zuma all the time, but he doesn't see the good points of this happening. The system of entertainment could run a basic essentials only campaign for the DA, what with them not campaigning they will be curious and interview them with what they promise or are geared for this campaign, and then get their interviews they really want. Who knows, maybe they will want to hear from all the parties?

This municipal elections must be won next time around. I know this is very ambitious of me, but I recommend that they try for that first. The municipalities offer service delivery, so, especially in the East, they could win a lot of people over if they start right now, take it as a given that the people will vote - those that benefit - for the services they so badly need, yes? People are screaming for this bare essential service, so, they should start there I assume.

To get the right party to rule, and I mean right, we need to get the people aware. The mind set is not to worry about the future and the politicians worry about the future. If the DA was to enlist all the people of the arthey wish to concentrate on, and send them wide array messages about good will - not the president!- and things like that, maybe a happy birthday message, maybe a quick stats update, stuff like that, it will cost very little and reap lots of interest. People in camps and rural areas do not buy papers, but do own cell phones! Oh yes!

If the alliance were to capture MR Motlanthe into their wings they would stand a better chance yet. They could propose the 'gamble' and see if he buys it - a chance to really do

some good before he is out of the loop. They could promise him maybe a nice retirement package if he agrees, and then simply pay a fraction of thier costs over to him if the gamble fails. He could go into early retirement, maybe in the cape he could be offered perks? He must get so frustrated with having to handle all the serious things inside the anc to date - poach him.

Speaking of voices to lend, maybe they could borrow Mr tutu, and endorsement from him would be great. The people still see the tutu man as being affiliated with the anc, so, maybe they could get him seen with some da people having lunch - voter education is important, yes?

How about a visit from other african leaders, to speak of some investment or another? They could grab Morgan Freeman over for a visit and then he could do a radio interview about voter education - I guess he is respected by the people with the closed eyes and ears. The problem is that there needs to be a few black faces for the people to trust, yes?

How about they phone Presdent Obama and get a quick five minute endorsement on the issue. Thy could promis him a holiday for his family in an African place like Zanzibar, Mauritius or something with all the perks they can ge them - just what these higher society cannot afford for thier families is beyond me but I am sure there is something!

Then they could get, also, soccer stars to support them. Think of Lucas Radebe, he is well known. Get him to speak of education, and then voter education, and see what happens. I am sure he would agree to this sort of deal, he is not made of money is he?

How about the coaches? They could organise a bonus off season match where they play against the da, and then they could have a big laugh with the vision of the local stars from the shebeens working thier ways into the conversations of the families they go home to, yes?

It is a case here in South Africa where the masses turn a deaf ear to thier party and what it does scandal and corruption wise. This affects the country and them too! If it does not include service delivery, well, the people must have been brainwashed through repeating the freedom songs in church!

What needs to happen is for the church to hold a scenario or play. There should be a public hearing in every district everywhere in South Africa where the DA challenges the ANC, anyone from the crowd could answer. The DA needs to strike quickly, they need to send 'emisary' to every locale and cause a scene, any kind of scene that draws people into listening. This must therefore not be violent or aggressive, so I suggest that men be sent, as women are quick to start insulting each other. The ANC hides behind women being the beloved mothers of children, and therefore the DA should hide behind bread winners, taking an opposing stance to the one used by the ANC.

Then they could also try to make the people think about what the ANC is thinking! They should all think if they were Jacob Zuma, what would they be thinking about. They would surely say a lot of constructive things, but, where are they? This should cleave a deeper

wound into this bloated 'monarchy.'

There is still some misconceptions about apartheid. The blacks were not made into slaves they could not form a communist party. That is why Mr Mandela was released from jail, because he said that he would not join with the communists. It is proper for a democracy or republic to outlaw communism. It was an experiment that black people ride at the top of the bus, go to different pristine beaches that you can check for photos of, and things like that. If another party was formed for democracy then they could have had black people in it.

The problem was that black people were not allowed to vote, and if they were there would have been no problem. This is the transition from slavery to freedom, so, they can look back and see the transition was just going slowly, and, way too slowly. It was inevitable that the black people would be able to vote. The national party was in power and the democratic party would have had it that black people would be allowed to vote, so they could win the elections, but also outlawing the ANC, because of communist ties.

So what are we left with now? Lots of fear about what happened long ago. The transition is over, the NP is gone. Today we are left with the remaining FF+ and they would have a few changes, but seriously they are not qualified to rule.

Now, what needs to happen is for the black people to look for a way to get something going. They need to get the right choices made. They need to look back at what happened back during apartheid and now recently what has happened since. The ANC was given a great infrastructure to do things with, and they have broken what they had received.

Imagine getting a barbecue for your birthday and then leaving it out in the rain... what the heck for? That is what has happened. There has been no building forwards, but rather much feel good partying and ridiculous posturing by the leaders. This must stop and then we can move forwards, yes?

The way forwards is for changes to be made, or for the people to do their own jobs. The parliament is so ridiculous now that they have doubled their staff and will continue to make more clumsy leadership roles and then mess it up more. Every time that something changes hands it gets something added to it, making it more complex and heavier to process. This means that have done nothing but made a huge paper trail. That is looking back at what has happened recently, and then of course you could also look back at what happened long ago. That is looking back...

So, looking forwards, or even to the now, there can be no mistake other than what we have is stupid. There is nothing going for the people, and these jobs can easily be granted - easily - through state starting up businesses then selling them on the stock exchange to new owners, usually from overseas or bloody evil white dog stuff! Yuck! Maybe they will hire some black people? We know in today's world that all the higher jobs are held by white people, so, that is the same in every country, as the culture means they had a head start.

When it is a white boss interviewing a few people, they look at white people as coming from a better class, so will behave better. Think of a squatter walking into a job, they don't know how to behave, yes? This behaviour is required because they will need to have knowledge of how to handle the post as being a gentleman or a lady, not street wise like a gangster or player, but someone that had a proper upbringing, or, went to school.

Now when you go to school you will learn how to behave properly, in a civil manner, so

you can get a job one day. They could easily pick a squatter or shack dweller and have them trained for computer literacy to fulfill their job, but it is down to behaviour that means the people living in civilized areas will get the jobs.

Also there could be some progress made by way of trying to make schooling free. Think of how great that will be, all schooling for free? The schools need to be funded from somewhere, but then again, they need facilities, teachers and supplies. Once they have this they have assets in the way of schools, so they could sell the school buildings and build new schools with the money. This will nearly double school facilities. Then they could set up a fund where they take the money for the churches and give it to the schools. If preachers want to preach they can do it for free, they are easily replaceable, as there are many good speakers that know the bible, yes? With all that money they give to the church they can keep it, but with the churches they sell, the people that buy the churches will be like the catholic church, or devout christians.

Now we have collected much capital for the schools. Now we'd teacher wages to be settled with some intelligence. They could easily pay for the teachers - which is the only expense so far - somehow or other. Let's think now, fifty million people, or let's say thirty million people, earning two thousand rand a month and subtracting ten percent from that means that the state will receive six billion in revenue at an approximated minimum a month. That means that the state has six billion to spend on the people. I am sure that selling the power, munition and water giants will raise a lot of capital for housing and buying gold to shore up the currency, but more importantly they will have about another four billion coming in a month from taxes on those things, meaning that there will be a kitty of at least ten billion rand a month to spend on service delivery. This will easily cover teaching, doctors, lawyers, municipalities and other civil servants that work the daily grind for the state. Now for it all to be free they need to keep control of these people and pay them themselves. Then they will be able to pay, what, salaries totalling much less than one billion a month. Let's work it out, min maxing again? Fifty thousand teachers, twenty thousand doctors, and ten thousand municipal workers, comes to eighty thousand. Eighty thousand earning ten thousand rand a month comes to less than one billion a month. These are really inflated figures, but it looks like the state can achieve this easily each month, so, what on earth are they doing? They take ten cents for one cent! This is terrible mismanagement... what on earth are they doing?

I think the prospect of voting for a woman instead of a man will go down well with many people. Zille should push the 'sex label' and then say that she is a woman and identify with all the women out there, with children's needs, with grocery shopping issues, and other things that women will be a hundred percent behind and men subtly in a weird way too.

The campaign should be put together with a lot of women in the cabinet, this will feed on the feeling of ubuntu, and set the scene for a more maternal image.

Otherwise there should be a campaign geared not to the privileged, but to the needy. Commenting on 'petty' things, like how to take care of the family, and other personal issues will win over the crowds. This will also set the mood for the people to come vote

for themselves and their lifestyle, not for broad things they never feel nor have as a part of their lives. I say petty because this is not tax changes, nor immigration, but setting those things aside they will be able to focus on a personal level with all the people out there.

How do we educate the voters - apparently they are sick of empty promises. The people need some stuff done now to get them to listen, and then they can be educated. If we were to have the parties spend money on some small tribute, like a free plumbing or electrical 'check up', that'll not cost too much. In fact maybe the DA could do with an electrician branch that operates as a business entity and then does things for free too. This will cover costs and then they can do some charity work.

I think that is it, charity work. They could enlist 'missionaries' from various places, say high school leavers who want a good reference, and they could go door to door in DA and ID shirts to provide the service. This could become a cheap way to win over trust. They could even source these references for building houses cheaply, yes?

Another way the DA can claim votes is to spread awareness at schools. If they were to send these agents to schools, or universities, they would spread the word of their campaign.

Simply they could try to show that the ANC has communist ties. This is what happened in the apartheid era, so, if this was to be understood by the people, what communism means, they would not have enough to go to the store and buy things, but rather have coupons to stand in lines and get things. This would also mean the state is to control all businesses, and that nobody could run a shop out of their house in the settlement.

The ANC will not deny that it has communist ties, they need COSATU and communist branch of their federation or whatever.

Now, with that ideal life becomes a challenge. Basically nobody would earn money and everything would be handled by the state, which means no option of becoming rich through hard work. In fact life is very boring under communism.

No country in Africa is communist, because the people there know that this has been tried in Russia and China and abandoned. South America - what they see on the television - is the reality of communism. The country would move slowly this way as the leaders will stand to get the most out of the money in circulation, and there is very little economic growth with communism. This means that while people are born, the jobs remain the same, or the amount of jobs at least.

The DA could also try to win more votes by giving away shirts that have the logo on it, and pants too. This will clothe people and then they will come to associate the idea of free clothing with the DA ID alliance, yes?

Then the agents could hand out the constitution, something that the ANC pays for - a big hit back in the day - and the literate could read it aloud at churches after hours. The churches are a big hit in the homelands, but are not a place to speak politics, but rights is not that bad, yes?

Now, if they were to hand out blankets as well, maybe they could send them to churches who will obviously take the chance to speak of what good the right to vote can do for you, then the situation would be even better, yes?

How about if alliance was to show how much could have been done instead of the ANC going overseas all the time. They can organise things over phones with other entities but choose to go and have a holiday at the expense of the tax payer. They could show the budget of these trips, and show that the alliance has not done this at all, have they?

Then they could also hand out soccer balls with the DA on it. These will service the camps where the youth play. But it is the older people that vote, so getting through to them is more important, yes?

If they were to merchandise with pick and pay, to bottle milk and stuff, and make it cheaper, that will maybe cost a little, but be a sound investment.

How about if they go and hand out radios? This wireless connection could be set and the people could learn more about the political agenda. These instead of radios, could be stations that have alliance dj's in the stands for the soccer fest at night to broadcast and offer free cups of soup, and maybe some entertainment. Maybe the alliance could try to have some other entertainment for the locations, something organised. Manpower is easy to come by, but money... not so easy. Use what is cheap - the manpower of course!

If the alliance was to generate funds for itself by making good land sales at good deals, it would further its cause. Then they could also try to create the fusion generator I worked on to make their own power utility, costing nearly nothing I might add. But then that is something that has already been suggested, what they need is money right now!

Basically if the alliance was to buy small businesses around the townships, they could own small businesses and buy in bulk for an already flourishing business. They could go house to house and buy the shops, or, offer them money in exchange for shares and advertising. Or they could run their own lottery, maybe buying the lotto would generate money for them?

Then they could also try to generate their own jobs for the people through the people. It was Zuma's idea to generate jobs, but with a billion they could buy nearly all the shops in the townships and coordinate them with alliance advertising, and with their buying power could get great deals for the people.

Or, to get more money, they could offer a non negotiable share selling to rich people around the continent. Seeing the alliance owned by fellow africans could spur the country vote for them more, and they could get away with a pretty penny in personal areas in the contract signing. But that might not be good enough.

Maybe if they were to write up a new constitution, a proposed one for the people, something simple and to the point, the people would like them more? Something that is

easier to read than Mandela's one, of course, or an add on, yes?

Maybe if they were to buy Orlando pirates they would get more votes, or be able to feel around the country for feedback. This would cost about twenty million rand, and then they could see if the people will turn on thier own team for the sake of a soccer match. Remember that soccer is all that is in the lives of soe people.

If the soccer is anything to learn from, they could get soem international star to make a song about the way the ANC has failed and the plans of the alliance. I don't think any of these stars would want to be seen dead with the ANC!

In fact that is a good strategy. Point out whom the stars want to be seen with. Get a huge collaboration, make a gospel song about 'hope'.

Then they could also try to get more personal with the people by sharing recipies for a shoe string budget. Good savoury food could be the trick they need to get things done!

Organising community watch people through the alliance as a make shift poilce force would bring a lot of votes in.

How about if they were to get more advertising on black radio stations. They could try to have interviews on the radio and then spell out in xhosa what they plan for the country?

If they were to organise paid for rubbish collection in the locations, they would grab more attention. They could set up with thier puppets the collection of rubbish from the people with thier 'missionaries'. Also they could distribute condoms.

But the real way forwards now is finding flaws with the ANC. Basically they could point out, or show, that the people that rule them are not performing. They could show, simply, a comparison between south africa and other african states, as to what they have and what they do with it. There is a lot of waste! They could show, as the story with the talents in the bible, how the ANC is digging a hole and sticking the money away instead of making it grow. This is a popular story with ministers, maybe they could do this on the radio? A simple advert could show, in all honesty along with evidence, how the money is going to waste with the ANC.

It has been shown the people do not know who thier councillour is. They could have a personal column where it is like a reality show, where freely broadcasting images of the allinace leaders in parliament. They could open a new broadcasting station with this in mind, actual politics for the people. All this with thier limited funds? Or maybe that is too much to ask for. How about they creat controversy so the news comes to them. They could get extra 'airtime' with this, but getting this to the townships where the majority is might be difficult. They could try a weekly newsletter, like the ones Zille issues, printed for the people, about all sorts of issues. Maybe leaving the negativity out of it - the ANC's contribution that is - would serve them right to the front of the wish list of the people.

But also they could organise a deappreciation rally. If the people that are demonstrating for the ANC were to see people not blaming others for the problems of the country, but rather those in charge, then tight come to thier senses and rally too, yes?

How about if they were to also provide a cell phone message thing with prizes for the people, like a lotto, for providing the right answer to the question, typical of typical a 'product' awareness?

Now, if they were to show that the people in charge of the ANC arerounded by people to, together, do very little, they would show that education is not required to become part of the ANC, which is one of the reasons I am against it.

If the ANC was to run for re election with the same cabinet they would still be saying the people owe them something. It is not the people that owe the war heroes, but the president that owes the people for being there for them. What have they gotten out of the leadership? Nothing! These people are lazy.

I suggest that Zuma be taken to a lie detector and shown how little he has done for the people. The alliance leaders could do the detector and get endorsements from all sorts of leaders, pressuring a to do the same, but he cannot because he would be found to be a fraud! Basically, to make this easier, it could be put into point form from the last elections, ticking and crossing where the parties have performed.

How about if they were to set up thier own mini economis sectors? They could try to get people organised and supplying themselves with jobs in a mini economy in the townships. These new businesses could be funded by the alliance and people could make use of the two hands they do have instead of the money they don't have. These businesses could be started utelising the skills of the people, or, they could buy the business and send the people for a essential training course, minimal in costs, and renumerating all the time!

Then they could try to get the people to outright reject the ANC. This would be possible by getting people in thier free services to grab some pictures of the leaders looking at poverty, on the sly. These could be sworn statements by international journalists ab state in South Africa, and what the leaders think of the conditions. Remember Zuma is always dancing, so clearly he is happy when talking about poverty in his rallies, yes? No doubt some of them will smile, as they take things for granted, but the alliance, though their truthful disposition, would not. Maybe the community could set this up for the people of the communities where the ANC rule? No doubt they will uncover a lot of neglect?

Soft targets would be the army, airforce and navy. They could enlist these people as missionaries once they are finnishd at the end of the year to do some good for the people, as that is what going to thes services is about. Then they could get these agents to go around spreading the word in uniform, maybe around weekends or something? They could send brochures to these stations and use the free time for the soldiers as to whom they are fighting for. This could be done by resevist cops too, who usually have some time to

spare.

What the alliance needs is a face in the townships. They could go to the old people and get the younger people to listen to them out of respect, maybe retired police and all that... to speak out, of course.

Then they could also try to supply straw and hay and a few people to build much cleaner structures out of the squatter camps, maybe coating these with a coat that will be spray on and also be a flame retardant? There is some new housing!

If the alliance was to go to the homelands they could show people their plans for the country. The owners of shops in these places are usually white, but these are educated people that might endorse the alliance at a tiny cost, yes? Think of how many people go to the shops to buy their goods!

Then they could also try to make an alliance with the freedom front, in so much as they represent the farmers of the country. This leads to jobs on the farms, and, they could gather funds to get the people to grow farms, supplying themselves with an income, yes?

Then they could also try to stabilise the economy. If everybody grew food there would be no other source of corporate movement. They could supplement the country with free pesticides, like roach repellants. Then they could also try to lead a literacy thing where they go around in their shirts and educate people on how to read, making the core reading syllabus the propaganda of the alliance and ANC competitively.

How about if they were to include the whole country in their affairs? They could make everyone feel like they work for the alliance by taking all the unemployed and making them commission based survey purveyors. This means they get paid for each survey they bring in. Along with literacy, which it is easy to read and make a cross, just like voting, they could complain, and it would feel like the alliance is there and listening. They could basically flood the system with these things and pay ten rand a hundred signatures or something. Not too much for them I hope, maybe five rand... This will make the alliance on the tip of the tongues of all the people!

What does the ANC have what the Alliance lacks? Ebrahim Rasool! The alliance needs to get into supporting religion in the country. They could start with showing the line that religion has taken in the world, and that they want to convert the Muslims to Christianity. This will sit well with the Christian majority, and if there is offence, it will not affect the alliance at all. The Christian loving country will be firmly behind this if they play their cards right, and will influence churches all around the country where people gather that go out into the communities.

I would like to see the nominee become very involved in the country, as is Rasool.

The quickest way to win the elections would be to get the politicians to say what they plan for the country, or how they feel about the country. Of course the ANC doesn't even know what country it is in, so watching people read from scripts prepared for them would

be funny to watch.

How about the da helps set up email recieving on the cell phones people have. They could send thier minions out into the countryside and send messages for free! Yes! This could also be...'propaganda'?

This would be a good start for the alliance to be seen breaking beer bottles publicly. This would be a message that they are against alchohol abuse, and they would secure more women's votes, easily.

How about they go out once a month and throw a pary style braai for the youth and middle aged, and maybe the old aswell. They could try to serve a lot of free foods and this would not cost too much, maybe with the soccer idea?

Then they could also try to speak about raising children in this country. What do the people want for thier young? Is it the way things are, or are they willing to look elsewhere? Maybe the alliance could fixate on this for a speach or two? I am sure this will win a lot of the older people, or generation, who is seeing what is happening to the youth, over.

The best way to grab votes is to be seen doing good for the community. This could be further done by investing in taxies. They could buy a fleet of taxies and get certified drivers to ride them with the logo on of course, and run this like a official business.

What the alliance needs is a good slogan, did wonders for Obama, yes?

How about something about money or jobs? That is where the ANC has ploughed in though, so, something about rapists would be better. They could place a slogan based ono raping women, no raping children, no raping of the country. Or how about drugs? Maybe murder? Maybe they could say "No, no, no," and use that as thier slogan. No rape, no drugs and no murder. Things that lead to these things are nearly always money or need based, yes?

A good slogan could also be yes to education, yes to jobs, yes to services? How does that sound? No no no, yes yes yes. Think about the terms of the ANC with three presidnets, saying no to all of them.

Then point out Mandela, what did he do for the country? He took votes, and he gave very little. So did Mbekhi, and so did Zuma. Lots of taking with very little action. Lots of good ideas with terrible ways of making a great thing of very little. There was hardly anything done. I bet the people don't even care about Mandela today! Think if they were to be at work, would they cheer when they heard his name? Think if they live in a shack, same? Mandela is yesterday's news, and the alliance should not be afraid of him and his legacy - it doesn't go that far when it comes to food and housing!

Then they could run a anti mandela slogan, good heart, no delivery. Same for the rest. Then they could ask if they had a bad heart but delivered because it is thier job, and that

parliament is full of people trying to impress each other with big words they don't need, it slows the wheels of justice down. Gone should be the days of big words being important. Think of how many times the councillors make things complicated, or, even confuse the people with their big words? Think about that. They must be speaking to the rich elite!

The usual tactic of the ANC is to make the area ungovernable by making the squatters very angry and making them burn cars and busses that pass by.

This means that to stop this horrible outbreak of violence busses and cars must be given a fence between the location and the road. Very cheap!

The figures for the election look quite good right now. If the squatters and the unemployed do not vote, that is twenty five percent to thirty percent of the vote not going in. Couple with that the nearly guaranteed votes from the white ten percent, the coloured ten percent, and the indian seven percent, and you have a very good situation so far. Basically, in a country with fifty million people, that means that - to min max - the country has only forty million voters in it. Thirty percent of that is automatically going to the alliance, meaning that the black vote remaining will need only be about eleven percent of the black vote. Hopefully the alliance can make a plan for the realising of this modest goal?

They need nine million black votes to win comfortably if the squatters do not vote, and, living in terrible conditions, a whole fifteen million people written off already, with fifteen million people voting for the alliance, basically guaranteed. That is thirty million out of fifty million not voting for the ANC, meaning that they need another eleven million votes from the black majority.

Seems fair enough, yes?

If it is jobs the people want they can get them by becoming self employed. Don't count on an official job.

Think of all the people in the country that don't have jobs, then imagine them trading together. If there was enough money in the country it would spill over and fill the accounts of these people. So, how do we get them the capital?

[1] The state could simply hand out money. Through remuneration they will make all that money back.

[2] the state could start businesses in these places empowering the poor.

[3] the people could just start working themselves. They could go to the dump and salvage tools for use by themselves. The state could help in this regard by raising regulations for old machinery, and those that can afford to buy new machines could easily replace them letting other poor people use these outdated machines to make a living with.

If the alliance was to act now they could make it a zero percent jobless stat by the next

elections, and offer the same to all those others around the country, as based on evidence.

Another way to grant jobs to people would be to e transport more available. If the alliance was to provide transport for people, they could have more options in the way of getting from point a to point b, and with this, well, it is like making a 'logistics department' for the people that need it.

How about if the people were to organise a group transport thing that would save money? Providing free transport could be done by making the bus service free. How much does it cost to run a bus anyways? You pay for petrol and wages, and that is cheap.

This free transport could deliver jobs to many people. Maybe making the trains free would be even better? Or, it could be free in the morning and cost for the ride home?

It is good to always remember that as many people as it may be that are unemployed, they can all serve each other and trade. Money is something that you don't need to have all the time, person a might give all thier money to person b in exchange for goods, but there should always be a way to make more money!

Employing women of families to make blankets means they can re sell them every winter or year. For the men the eaiset way to be employed would be to run small farms, but that needs space. There is a lot of space in the country, so, if some of it were to be given freely to people to make thier farms on, who has lost anything? This will also mean that the people will move out of the camps in groups of ten or so, alleviating problems there, yes? To build a good farmers house they just need some clay and water or something - much better living conditions, yes?

Now a lesson could be learned in the way of refuse removal, or, the recycling of it. The municipalities could forego this service and the squatters that frequent these sites could have it put to them to salvage what they want and get rid of the rest, paying something like three rand a bin collected and disposed of. This will aleeviate pressure on the municipapalities at least!

Then there is the issue of education. If this years matrics or something cannot get jobs immediately, or wis hto do some service for small amounts of money, they could teach literacy to young and old that cannot afford to have it. If education breeds jobs then it is worth it for them to educate as people as possible! They could so this on open soccer pitches in the morning or evening, maybe?

Maybe they could make a living doing something cimple for people, like using a knife to carve desks for the peopleto get educated, and recycling paper for them to write on. I am sure these bodies will be in for a little charity, yes?

Maybe it could be a direct boost to service infrastructure? Boosting the postal service by a few jobs means there will be a need for a few more jobs in other areas. If they get the percentages right, there will be knock on effects on both sides of that job line, with supply and demand service expansion. For example, they could expand the number of postal

employees, meaning there needs to be more driven mail vans, and also room for faster transit of mail. The mail needs the people to write to each other, and with literacy there will be more communication between people removed by space. Say they are to need more mail boxes then, this means upgrading the mail of the country, which supplies the mail service, and then that means that there is an investment possible for taxing all expanded areas and then taxing components of those taxes areas.

Also in the way of jobs there could be markets set up by the shopping centres and then they could trade in the townships. This would mean hiring people to work in these areas.

Then as far as mining goes, there is very little for the wages to cost mining magnets and farms. Minimum wage doesn't cut into the profits of these people, so, they could pass a law for a quota of so many people working the farms. This would mean selling of the planes that are used and using people to irrigate and poison the plants instead.

Then they could also pass a minimum quota for all fields of business. This would mean that these businesses would expand, creating more jobs, and, if done consistently, would mean that there is more jobs, and a market for them to trade with, as everybody now has a job complementing all fields.

Other jobs that people could look forward to would be working at restaurants for no more than tips. If restaurants agreed, then all people could work there for just tips, like restaurants, stores and petrol pumps. This could spread to all commission based jobs and there could be a whole lot more jobs for people. Think of retail stores just paying commission and not a minimum wage - with the focus on job creation this will justify the whole agenda, yes?

Then with this in place people could go door to door selling their wares. The money and time that people save on petrol and travel could be spent on the people delivering the goods, yes? Of course it would be a bit of a problem at first setting it up, but the rewards for all involved would be great!

More for jobs would be to maybe head for a social democracy in line of making some things provided for, like transport and capital, and otherwise running on taxes for services. How does this sound? An opportunity for everyone, and, not taking too much from the main tax payers - the middle and high class. It must be remembered that most of the taxes paid to the government comes from a small percentage of people in bulk.

Then, forgetting about these minor maybe one percent tax revenue, the people could easily get jobs. In fact if the taxes got raised to twenty percent for all sectors and income tax there would be enough money for the state to employ all people in the country - easily. The state could provide minor services for free, like garden services and domestics in their own union that works with the state, in this case the alliance. This organisation could protect all walks of life, even broadening itself into a legal and psychiatric services thing.

Then, the alliance could try to create more jobs by running night shifts everywhere. If this was the case, that the CBD was lit up all night, the people would be able to work with their international customers all the time, being awake and working while Asia and America are

also doing business. Just run a night shift, double workforce and double productivity - that also means the business owners will be able to skim more off the top - incentive of course!

Then on the note of money making, how about they go ahead and let people electricity by peddling bikes for themselves? They could sell the excess and help alleviate the power problem.

That or they could try to go door to door getting old things from people, things they could trade in for money, or, other goods. These could be throw aways, or maybe for christmas the city could run a collection service for this and donate them to the poor?

How about they also try to organise a community forum where they pool thier skills together and then advertise their services, at least another pair of able hands, yes? This was done a while ago wih the men on the side of the road project - i they could go sit inside and get the people to come to them that would be great, yes?

Maybe if they were to make fires that are able to capture heat in the form of electricity, like a battery, that could be another form of power generation?

In the way of campaigning they should also make a big deal about why the people vote for the ANC, it is because they want what is best for them. The way now is to prove that what is best for them is to vote for the alliance. Another aspect that plays into the elections is fear. They are afriad of becoming second class citizens, again.

This reminds me of a debate I had about China, "you cannot eat rights". Think of the way they remember living before the nineties, was it that bad? Think, asl, learn. Learn that even if you are not allowed to vote you need to eat. What is there to eat now? What was there to eat then?

The main thins that they used to live in the homelands, not outside the cities. It is from hearing how great life was - dreaming if you will - that people live in such conditions today. If there was a person telling them to stay where they were they would not be under such conditions today. Think of how life has changed? Think of how it has changed since Mandela got released. They get to vote, they get... what else? What have they lost? Houses, food and education. They should think about these things instead of thinking about freedom - think of your children - would they rather live outside your house or inside your house and listen to you.

But of course this is not the case. This is a 'worst case'? Really? Is it because they are, clever? Is it because they are corrupt,*cough*, I mean diligent and care a lot? Do they have big hearts? Do they give t ocharity, do they give what they have personally? They just sit there and shamble from meeting to meeting thinking of money and power abuse, yes? Evidence? Ask your children who go to school, the teachers get the papers and are good people that service the community, and they will tell the truth. They are not better, they remind me of a bunch of sloths that sweat a lot as they slide off the desks in parliament.

Now what can the alliance do for the people? Does some person who rules out of popularity through fear of other people... well, is that right? What have they done? As much as possible or as little? It is a part of culture to vote for the ANC, not something of wisdom. When you vote with wisdom you listen to both sides of the thing, and when one doesn't respond, they should get thier attention.

So, what can people do to get what they want? They might go as far as protesting where the ruling elite can hear them. The alliance doesn't have the option of satisfying the people in the west, so, they should go to the state in power. If Zuma is Zille's boss, what does he want done? Is she not doing what he expects? What does he expect? Why doesn't he come out and reprimand her in front of all the people. Has she failed, or, is Zuma the failure? If not her or Zuma, is it the ANC?

The protests will cause a great deal of harm, and there is also a notion of superiority by the alliance. They say that the alliance does not care about the poor, but, why would the ANC care about the poor? Because they are black? Does being a black person make you care about the poor? Does being a white person mean you do not care about the poor? It is not like they can go and take away from the white people and give to the black people, they are trying to make more for both!

With employing more black people they stand to make more money through taxes. This adds up, and the more people in total there are working in an area the more money is spun off. If the people can only see that the ANC does not care because they are guaranteed the votes because they are black, and that the alliance will work for the votes because they are not black. Who is working here? Is the ANC doing anything? WHO is doing something? Look around the country and see where the people are happier, in the cape or in Guateng - not happier as if someone had made a joke, happier because there s more for them. The alliance has provided more with less, as the 'boss' is the ANC and has all the power, while the servant - the alliance - has provided more with less.

This means that the alliance is working harder. If you take a farmer and give one a tractor and the other a horse, who is better equipped to run the farm? Now how does the horse do more?

Maybe if the alliance was to be bouyed by dipping into thier own savings and providing food for the people, by way of creating thier own farms, they could make a lot more money and also gain support. Maybe they could just go out and buy some farms, the harvest season is near or just gone past I think, depending on what they are growing.

Imagine a lot of new products flooding the streets of the townships where the poor people are branded with the DA logo? It costs very little to run a farm, yes?

To get more votes they could also look to what won the elections for Obama, also talking to a very large group of semi educated people. Health care could be a point of thiers, but taxes would be lost on these people. So avoid it! They could promise free health care from more facilities, or promise to build more hospitals for the people. Then there is education -

health care and education should be the two things people would supposed to be looking for in the state in our country, so promise it, unless there is a better plan?

How about the alliance goes to town with people running a american style promotion out of the speaker of a jeep? They could ride around and use the speaker to promote the alliance while the driver rides around?

Maybe the alliance could educate the people to how a proper elction is run. They cold show on a saturday night an american election, or, petition for the show the west wing to come back to Etv so the people can be educated to how the country is run, more or less.

Showing people the way the rest of Africa runs elections would be an eye opener. There is so much more posturing than here in South Africa that it is really sad.

If they were to show how two sides look good always, and that they must look at both sides to see what is on offer, they will be more educated voters.

By the way has the ANC created any jobs yet? They promised five million jobs in five years. Thi means that to create a business where the job is created costs about a million rand for ten jobs, so, that means that they will need more than a ten billion rand for this to work. The sooner they start spending the faster they will make the jobs, so why haven;t they started yet? It doesn't take a miracle to create a few jobs or set the ball in motion, yes?

So now when the ANC gets people to protest, they are sort of making a mess instead of making it clean again. The ANC makes a mess, and the alliance cleans up it seems. Think of the metro rail strike. If the state is with the drivers, and the drivers are not part of a majority, then they are not serving the people at all. This is where they get fired and replaced, knowing full well that they are needed by many people they shun thier responsibility, but, with the lovely constitution that Mandela and the other fools drew up, there is no way for many people to get to work.

This is what happens when you don't have majority rule. The ANC refuses to side against it's own employees and then has to be on the side that harms the people. Oh yes that is correct!

With this idea of majority rule, they have the blind support of the people, so far. Hopefully in the future they will see that the ANC needs a good kick up the arse, yes?

The alliance is called democratic, democratic like Obama they could say. George Bush was part of the ANC republic side. The republic is a old way of colonies, where the settlers have sway over the natives, but with the democrats they ended slavery, for example.

Let's look at the people bringing us the news. Are they friendly? They look far too serious on SABC one, so serious about being better than the people they are talking to that they actually become nasty and unfriendly when they read the news. Look at a late night with Loyiso and you see him making fun of the ANC! That is funny to watch. If you are not

laughing or enjoying this show then you really need to become more aware of your climate. Read the newspapers and stuffd then watch it. It will reveal a lot to you, and then the idea that the ANC is a joke will find it's way into your mind.

How about if the alliance was to show that the ANC is full of friends and family instead of being up to ability? They could use a family tree to show that the people employed are not really able, but rather just jump into the pie with the others because they have ties to them.

When is it safe for a shebeen owner to be a doctor?

I think since I hrd the basics of American politics that the Alliance could offer half days on Thursdays aswell. If the work load is going down, due to the recession, and with the influx of imminent competition, the work load should go down. This will mean fresher work from the employees as they will be in a friday mood for thursday and friday, yes?

Now, for the alliance to make more in roads into the votes hearts, they need to offer them something never offered before. I suggest that they offer the voters money! Yes money from thier coffers, maybe fifty rand per vote. This is not illegal, and will secure them votes from more people. But maybe that is not enough, so...

Why not offer them discounts with shopping? Better yet eh y not open alliance shopping centers in Johburgh and Cape Town, especially where they are not, near the outskirts of the city or in the middle of the townships. This will be an excellent oppurtunity to make money and secure votes, showing the people that they can eat alliance!

Maybe they could try to employ all of them? If they all worked for the alliance, who has a few billion to spare, they could hire the whole township so they could work for the DA. The funny thing with excess man power is that with the addition of money there is the oppurtunity to make money. They could all put it to the people like a business - everybody gets thier alliance membership card and then they can shop at makro or something like that. They will be short listed with a telephone directory of allthe other alliance members that they can do local business with, excluding the need for foreing input into thier business, yes? This could go down well for them, or the ANC could copy them and it could all go up in smoke. At least they will have a conversation starter to go on as they meet and exchange business proposals.

In this coming election I see the da is not sledging but rather playing thier hand as if it was for them and not against the anc. There are smaller parties too that will try to sledge, but if you confuse people there is nothing left to get on your ballot.

Now, for the da to win the election, or, get as many votes as possible, they should try to bait the voter with some options. If they offered transparency of the state they would win many hearts. They could say there will be no corruption and that they will audit themselves every so often with the people able to see inside at any time. If they reach for the people they will win some over with this - why not start now? If they were to open thier doors to the public it would look bad for t tt do the same, and we all know how corrupt they are. That is something that unfortunately comes from being a ruling party I would say, that in the 'mess' that people cannot see, some take advantage of it.

Then they could also try to gouge an eye out of the ANC by calling them lazy! If it got put to the people what they could do, and what is being done, I am sure they will see right through this ANC of scoundrels. Lazy is the key word.

Maybe breaking up the marches would be a good idea? If they were to observe the promises and 'adjustments' made at each speech, they will see that they don't really do anything except pat themselves on the back for what little they do do. Instead of doing the same they should point out how much more they will do, not being lazy.

This means when the company doesn't supply services the people don't pay. If the people pay they receive services. Look at America, they have a lot of private stuff, but even they have this thing called an army and a police presence. If you did away with all of this then there would be private security and stuff like that if you pay your bills. This president nonsense the birds, they only stay around because they meet with other presidents and make great speeches - they are not needed, and neither is this rubbish about campaign trails wasting valuable billions on looking good for the people. But that is my idea...

So how does the ANC supply jobs? They need to employ more to Telkom or Eskom? Telkom and Eskom are where the jobs get lost - the more companies you have the more trade you have from within, then they have needs, then their needs are met by more companies to service their needs, and so on.

The easiest way to secure more jobs is to put money not into some fund that says it will provide jobs, but rather into a loan scheme for the people from a type of bank where the people can lend money to start their own business.

There must be a way to create jobs without money - every body has a pair of hands that they are capable with. If these people that are capable could receive the funds necessary to start their own business, and prove that that is what they are doing with the money, then all is well.

Capital GIVEN to people the more jobs started. The six tax points guarantee that the money will find its way back into the coffers of the ruling party through income tax, sales tax, retail tax, import tax, export tax and wholesale tax. These taxes are set at approximately twenty percent each, so, the more you give out the more you receive back. This money could be given out on good faith with more police employed to make sure the businesses are thriving, or, an independent student thing where they go around making sure the business is thriving. If they fail they just get more money. The body could demand pay slips for all the ways the money was spent, and upon receiving these, they could issue more money.

This is my old scheme.

The new scheme would see the people being able to buy the equipment for their ventures through the state. The tools may be bought by the state - then rejuvenated through taxation - then the people, all having tools, would make it impossible to get by selling them off for some more beer. This will generate the jobs necessary for the people.

As for service delivery, they need to dig up the location to install the proper toilets or whatever, and then that will irritate shack dwellers. If they were to build the toilets on the outside of the camp, then there is case for easy service delivery. There simply is no way through for vehicles, but the alliance could generate more jobs for the removal of trash from the camps - which is where the votes lie.

So, I encourage all people that suffer from service delivery not to vote. They have not been serviced and they do not owe anybody anything. The rest of the people that receive services should stand in the lines to get what they want, clearly somebody is listening to them to keep them coming back. What good does another vote for things to carry on the way they are doing?

Of course if you want to vote for the alliance, who tries to deliver services to keep the morale of the people high through their need for votes, as they cannot rely on some old man to get them votes, then they are doing things for the educated here in the western cape, and they keep getting votes here - among the educated - then maybe they will bring education to the people voting for them again and again?

To get more jobs they need to break up the big companies and then try to also get money into the banks. Or, they could supply skills.

The alliance could use some of their money to train people into being good business people or at least have a basic skill of some kind. They could work shadow people they want to work as, yes?

How about more about this work shadowing thing? If they were to allow a certificate to be issued for a small fee, say twenty rand or something, then they could shadow many people and then take their certificate to the bank to show the bank so they know that the person has basic skills.

Then there could be a committee to group them together into a team. The bank could assess the 'team' and then decide if they want to open a new venture with minimal input and a lot of output! I say this because they won't be paid much in the beginning, maybe a casual fare?

The group could be allowed into the work premises and then work for free for the day, acquiring skills. This means companies could double their output for the day, which means they can catch up on delays where they have not met targets. Then the people working for them pay them to work there! This works for all parties, of course.

Then how about more schools in the locations? They could build a prefab there and run maybe four classes a day of thirty people - leading to upliftment in the form of more than a hundred people every six months... per prefab. The costs for this will be nominal of course, so it is easy to achieve and great to have.

Once the people can read they may get a certificate from that minor school to study night classes at a school, also out of the pocket of the alliance, more minor costs.

This is all possible with money. The more municipalities the alliance has the more they can do, as taxes get collected at municipalities. This will be great for the alliance, as they will provide voter education so that the wool will be pulled over their eyes no more, win win situation.

Then there is the issue of taxation. This doesn't matter to a shack dweller, and they are not really going to be voting in this next election. I say raise tax rate on them by one percent and lower the tax rate on the middle class by one percent. This will not be felt by the poor, but the middle class will feel it and be swung to vote for the alliance.

Why not look at a permanent house to build for the shack dwellers now? Not some goofy house, but some tents with poles? The shack dwellers could swap their building things for the tents and the scrap metal dividends will pay for it all. I am sure that people would rather be living in tents than in shacks! This could even be possible right now by buying tents from all over the world to support the people - say four thousand rand for a tent by ten million shack dwellers equals forty billion rand, which, if they get the municipalities they can deliver like in a few days! They could start with Cape Town to show they mean it, then branch forwards with the areas they win - If they get a few billion per province, then they could easily provide for each province they get, yes?

I don't know what the alliance thinks of this yet though...

Then the alliance will be able to, with more money, provide the construction of proper schools in the locations. This will require around the clock security as well.

What if the unemployed teachers and the uneducated were to use the schools in the holidays? This will put to use an asset that is just sitting there!

How about the fact that school children teaching their parents to read? This is also good.

Then there is the idea that apartheid will come back. How will we do this when all the cops are black? This country has black soldiers and cops, so there is no danger of this happening again.

What if there was a way to provide jobs from the state? The costs of those tents means that the state needs to make money somehow. The alliance should buy up old buildings for renovation in the city centre, then sell them to the highest bidder. They could also raise tax rates on the upper earners to make sure they come out on top. If they were to up taxation on the rich they could provide more for the service delivery needs of the country.

The alliance should get a slogan. They should say something simple - all the best arguments are simple.

The alliance should become a corporation. They could buy those old buildings and then renovate and rent them out. They could even have their own businesses there - none of this monopoly nonsense though, just casual run of the mill jobs to provide services and products that people really need, like their own broadcaster - the ANC has the SABC, the alliance could own a Western Cape broadcaster or something. They could show shows that people want to watch and then advertise for free, yes? All that while making money for themselves. I know Joburg had Bob TV or something a while ago.

Take a look at the water utilities, electricity and all that. They are all ANC owned. If the

alliance was to get involved in competition for them, they could make more money too.

What else do the people need? Food! This could be remedied by offering food stamps from the state. They could sell coupons for cheap foods and then the people could eat better - say especially for vegetables. Or...

To make food more affordable they could issue this for free! The farmers could have their farms bought on credit by the state and the farmers could be paid to provide free food. The outlay for a farm is not nearly as expensive as people think. The maintenance for a farm is next to nothing! The alliance could buy the land and plant seeds there - land they own and do not use. They could easily raise their own farms if that doesn't work out for them and provide free food for all their people.

So we have tents, jobs and food. Is that a winning voter call or what? How about there is something done for the hygiene of people? There could be a shower put up next to the toilet, all enclosed with bricks. This will cost also next to nothing! The soap is so cheap that there could be a much cleaner brand of native.

Tv's, cars and radios for all!

The more votes the alliance gets the more land they can sell to develop. If they sold all the hectares in their states they could provide free tv's, radios and cars for everyone.

Another way to supply everyone with these things is to simply give them money for them. The money they stick into the people will find its way to a retailer, a wholesaler, an importer and a middle man. These points are all taxed at about fifteen percent, which means they need seven points to tax people on to make all that money back! It is so juicy and attractive, building proper houses and giving people money to buy them will go out of fashion too.

Then the unemployed will also be given money - just given it! These tax points don't go away, so they will make all the money back once again.

Each time the money changes hands it is taxed. Add that to income tax for the majority of the country, and you have enough money to buy these things - especially in bulk. Then the money comes back to the state even more than it was before.

This collapses if the state collapses. It is only possible with an organised system of inner working. If companies could just grab as much money as they want then there would be no people working, just lying around. By offering a minimum wage salary to the unemployed - for free - they could drive labour costs up, meaning you will have enough to survive, but it is still attractive to get more, yes?

With all the votes coming in there will be much more to do. Where else is it lacking?

Education is a big deal. With the DA's new television channel they could educate people on how to read and do basic math with them. Those guys that get like an hour now and again could be hired full time to educate grade one, two, three, four, then one again. This

will do a lot for the country, and the number one aim of education is voter education, as that is what will hold the country together.

Then they could also try to educate people about diseases on the channel. Things like tuberculosis could be educated against, or where to go to solve it, what to do...

Then on the channel or two they could also show cooking programs on how to prepare a low cost meal, and then also they could educate people on how to draw up a budget, with accounting tips.

Also they could try to form an employment facility. This state run service could match people to their jobs quickly. This service could actually create jobs for people - when the boss wants someone that is cheaper to do the same job they could go through the service, and then the person who was educated could apply for a business venture's capital, using the same service to look for people to work for them.

Actually the whole country could be employed by the end of the month. When a facility closes for the night, they could open them at night also to do business overseas. Europe is on our time line, but America and China do business at sleeping hours. Running a night shift will open the doors for investment and make more jobs for those laid off by the recession, or require a month's or a week's training to get the unskilled to do the job in these hours. For a job you need assets - facility at night - and you need business. Having met those two criteria the whole thing should off the ground years ago!

Okay, how about what the alliance can do for the people living in the homelands? They will benefit too. They will receive more schools and stuff with tax money collected from them. With all that money going around all children could go to school. Hospitals could be properly manned and maintained for the sick.

Actually, the thing we really need, being the crime capital of the world, is more police. If you take every unemployed person there is and then employ them as policepeople - reservists - then there will be so many policemen that crimes will dwindle. If they pay them a salary they will relocate at the several tax points as money is spent. There is no need to create more jobs when there is a sore need for police, and a supply of police people to choose from.

Then there is the factor of textiles. A lot of the textiles made here never see other shores. If there was a way to make people buy local goods then there would be no more nikes and the likes in the country. This means a huge sector of employment can be salvaged. All that needs to be done is for the state to raise taxes on these goods so high that nobody can afford them. This will see our economy grow quite quickly, providing jobs and such for many people.

Conveyor belt metals

Wouldn't it be nice if we could put on a conveyor belt and make metal? Well, if

you can do it with nitrogen four, you should be able to do it with metal too!

Well, if we were to make a cold meeting point, and have some lasers meet there, we could make 'liquid metal' and eventually solid metal. This can be done by intersecting lasers into a meeting point where they collect, so we would be making metal from light. The metal will form by cooling the radiation in the laser and then taking the gamma rays and solidifying them. This can be done by using a laser that adds volume of positrons and neutrons to one point, where the metal will be first liquid and then solid, naturally, after a set cooling time.

This will make making things like rails very cheap and easy. This could make sheets of metal for car bodies.

I guess you could make gold and oil from lasers too.

The gold could be made from intersecting laser beams that bombard the area with all the chemicals to make gold, and the same goes for oil.

Syria

I find this to be the most disturbing place of all with regards to cracking down on protests. To get rid of the leadership, fairly, there needs to be an election.

I suggest the west pays Assad twenty million dollars to step down. This will save them on expenses for the inevitable war with this place, and will save many lives.

How much is a life worth? If they were to send aid to the new government like is happening in Libya, then there will be more to gain from bungling the leader - Assad.

Or of course they could try to reason with him. He has a chair at the united nations. Or they could slap a warrant out for his arrest. They could bring in cia agents and tell them to arrest him and get him out of the country via chopper, or his family. They could call it a prisoner of war and then capture his family members. This will not result in war, as they have no chance of getting at the west. But, that is immoral.

Which means they need to weigh up the situation. He is killing innocent people every day. His life is not worth more than theirs, so, send a drone to take him out, or sell one to the resistance. This will only lead to good things.

Italy up three million over strike

I suggest this fragile system be done away with. It can collapse, and it has, and will again. You cannot lend to see the system right again, as it devours your reserves with each coming end of month lending for non repayment.

The only way out is to wash the debt away. If they wash the debt away, they will be debtless. Inflation will not go up, as this is private banking - in what way does private banking influence public banking? The public is so far away from the effects of this debt washing that it won't effect them. Then they just make loans to other countries too scared to do it themselves, and wash that away aswell.

Ask an economist how it works. I am sure the states lack of debt will not influence the inflation, tax rate if not lowering it, or anything else.

More militant islam

People have tried to stop the war on terror by using politics, but how about a more moral approach?

If you kill someone in the name of another it is flattery. God being perfect does not need flattery, as He is beyond this silly feeling. God is perfect and would not kill another - where in the Quran does Allah kill someone? He reasons with them. If He killed them he would be sending them to hell.

Westerners and your own people do not belong in hell, they need thier chance to be reasoned with first. I call for a public debate broadcast on radio about militants and why what they do is wrong. What militants do is wrong because they do something wrong to get something 'right.' The right thing is to live in peace, not to kill for peace. Maybe it is abut money? Maybe they fight for the welfare of thier countrymen? As I have said before there needs to be some debate in Arabic as to why killing is wrong.

Would they kill thier own family? Isn't that someone else's family they are killing on the streets? Would they kill at all if another outcome could take shape? I suggest a cease fire where there is a debate about what is right and wrong before any more of this needless killing takes place.

And on top of that they need to be more inspirational. If you need to hide and use people, you are a criminal. Ask about the police, they will tell you you are a criminal in a society that has mosques and teachers as a part of it. If this is wrong, what are they fighting for? They fight for the spread of thier culture, but are not part of it!

Tax rates

If vat was levelled at twenty perrcent, what would change? Well, people would generate five percent less income, but what would that mean for the state? In america there are one hundred million tax payers, each earning about two thousand dollars. Two thousand minus five percent means they will be losing one hundred dollars a month. Not a big issue.

Now for the state that would mean they would generate another one hundred one hundred million dollars a month, making it ten billion a month coming to the state. That is one hundred billion plus a year. I am sure there is a lot they could do with that money. Up the tax rate for crying out loud!

The west and their wars

The west is having a lot of wars at the moment. I am sure they will be involved in Syria soon. How do they finance all of this?

They should all leave these wars as they are. They could be involved in arab spring more later, so they need to get the books right.

If they were to raise taxes to stay afloat, they would be churning money into the war machine and that is where it will stay. This robs the state of all it's money eventually. All this money goes out of the west and into the oil barons hands, where it is hoarded. What needs to happen is for the west to get this money back! It circulates in the middle east then! But then, if they limit thier patrols they will negate this trend.

How much should wars cost? If they send a million men over, or a billion, it makes no difference, as feeding them there is cheaper than feeding them in the west. They are paid regaurdless, so that is not much of a cost. Then there is munitions production. This also circulates in the west, along with war machines production.

What if they were to check the figures again. As far as I remember hearing the wars cost at present a trillion a year... I think there is some corruption in the war machine.

The soldiers should get about two thousand dollars a month. The fuels should cost about, for twenty choppers, maybe three thousand dollars a month each. That brings this sortie to, with a hundred thousand soldiers, to two million and sixty thousand dollars a month. How does this add up to a trillion a year?!

Or maybe if we could finance these wars better they would be 'solved' quicker?

If the state was to raise taxes on all munitions bought and sold by themselves, they could lower the prices through a continous buy and tax them so much they can buy again sort of thing? These wars could be financed by donations of the super rich. They could simply do soething corrupt and blackmail the super rich into sponsoring them?

Time machine

there is such a thing as time and I hope we can move along it. The problem is if this falls into the wrong hands, if it works...

Now, to get along the time line it needs to travel from west to east. By going so fast as to, maybe, half the speed of light, we could go back in time! Or maybe we don't need to go from west to east?

If we were to make a nitrogen four room, one that can travel at great speeds, then there is room for travel along the time lines. It is a fact that time moves more slowly on the rims of some planets, so, if it is possible to move slower in time, it is not fixed, but, might be fixed as to moving forwards.

The core of my beliefs on this subject are based on 'reactions'. Reactions are what keep us going forwards, so, by reversing the reactions that go on we could go back in time, theretically.

To reverse the reactions in nature we need to get them to merge instead of dividing. If things grow by dividing, and get older, we need to make them go backwards by merging the reactions. This is true throughout nature, as the objects inside your body and in nature reproduce, we need to get them to merge together, thereby reducing the natural miosis. To do this we would need to merge the atoms around the machine, on the outside, but instead of reducing the atoms of the whole world, we could reverse the reactions of the area around the machine, sucking it with those back in time!

Maybe we could try to reverse the atoms of the perimeter of the chamber by reversing the reactions going on in the chamber? A powerful jolt of energy could propel us backwards in time hopefully.

How about we start atomic merging on the outside of the chamber? That would make the 'cells' reach inwards to the machine but be kept at bay from encircling the whole world by a physical presence, like a non reactive material, like something artificial maybe? The reactions inside the outer chamber would suck the inner chamber - where the people are - into time, back or forwards. This would require a lot of energy, or, a superb understanding of miosis in the natural world.

The natural world doesn't need to go down to the atomic level to be understood or observed. It is better that we start at a level that we are familiar with, like the 'periodic level.' This will allow the chamber to be sucked into reversed periodic reactions and go back in time, or forwards, depending on the machine. Of course if you go back in time you probably won't be able to return, unless you take the correct equipment!

To reverse the periodic level we need to first observe it. Take the trees, or whatever, and then find how they react with the world, then find a way to reverse this and the other reactions around the outer chamber. The outer chamber will be sucked back in time dragging the inner chamber with it. This could of course result in the things inside the chamber just getting younger, or something, but let's hope this is not the case!

To reverse the reactions of the periodic level we need to just 'shoot it up' with merging periodic materials, like a mouthful of anti light, to a various degree. The anti light will drage things back with it, and anti light can be made of darkness - the default of the universe of course. This could be as far away from darkness and liht is from darkness, but the other way - into the negatives. This can be done by removing all the radiation from the light or the outer chamber, and anything that gives light too. Maybe shooting the insides of the outer chamber with anti matter will result in all electrons and neutrons, and everything smaller, being removed and the travel being possible. This will result in the matter being destroyed and it will merge into the bigger periodic levels and redistribute itself into the world as it takes the inner chamber back with it.

time dilation should be central to tie travel. If gravity and velocity and closeness to mass affect the moving of time, then as I said anti matter for the outer chamber would start the desired reaction.

Then there is the problem of gravity. In space things age, so, there is still matter in space. By taking these chambers into space, and emitting a anti matter shell around the chamber, we could travel back in time!

Of course we need to reverse gravity and then emit the anit matter.

This means that if we were to emit a 'flyton' - as opposed to a graviton, which is the sum of the pressure of the ozone layer on the objects, with cold air dragging it down - then we should emit a very hot outer chamber along with a trip to space. Then we should emit all the things I have spoken about together, somehow, some way!

North korea

The koreas have a problem - nukes. The north wants them, the south doesn't want them to have it.

As a test for the north, i suggest that the south send out spies to analyse the north's opinion of the south again, the people and the house. Maybe they could flash pictures of the south and see if the north reacts with disdain, maybe they could help lift sanctions under which the people suffer. With regimes is it common for the sons to take in the fathers footsteps, but this is not always the case. Let's say that the reflex of the new ruler is not like the hardened ideals of his father? How do we find this out? How do we find this out to sit in a court of law?

I think the best idea would be to take out some ships laden with chaff. Let them sail around in the waters of the north and see how they react. If they fire upon the ships the chaff can take out the munitions and they sail home. Doing this continually will make the north a little less shocked at seeing the south's ships in thier waters. This is the first step to showing them they cannot win - let's get along?!

Then they could fly jets loaded with chaff. Then they could redirect thier armies to do things out of the ordinary. North Korea must then be submergd in publicity from the south so they are not scared any more. It is not the armies you are trying to win over, it is the leadership.

To win these leaders over you need to send gifts to them come join the party is the message. I am sure they will never attack south korea because there are repurcussions, as with all attacks!

Sanctions

I find sanctions to be soft and tepid. They do not get anything done correctly or reach the goals of the blockade.

Instead I encourage free trade and instead they tax them for human rights abuses that are returned to the state's charities. This will encourage good trading and then the people will prosper regaurdless of who rules them.

To get them to stop doing these things that they are blockaded for, they could issue a warrant for the arrest of the person doing the blocking, or, dissalow credit rating to them, and otherwise directly disrupting thier lives, as there is no point punishing the people for the wrong doings of the president.

I think most sanctions are overlooked or accepted as heritage from previous regimes. One day I hope most sanctions on places like Burma and cuba will fall away due to lack of interest of productivity looking good between the east and west, or whatever, and then they will simply take them out of the way like the berlin wall.

A long time ago the us placed an embargo on cuba. They did this because cuba was nationalising foreign property and businesses.

This needs to stop. they cannot even dock in the us for six months after docking in cuba. This means that us residents can still buy cuban goods, as they can use a middle man, and the same goes for the states. That makes this embargo useless! The assets remain nationalised and then the goods still get through. This harms the stock market too - imagine another percent growth each year or so? If america wants to get these assets back under thier control maybe now is the time to talk. They have been lost for so long, and sanctions only harm everyone.

When someone nationalises something they don't steal ownership, but rather management positions. Think of all the money still flowing through the coffers of

the states? This means that everyone still gets paid, and if there is a problem they sell the business or asset to the cubans or other investors. There is no need for sanctions, as they are troublesome, slowing down the flow of money, and otherwise useless.

Naxalites

This communist group wants to bring in communism, being communist. It is the people's right to vote for who they vote for, and failing to come to a decision with votes that they like, tough. If they were to lay down their arms there would be no more deaths. They could be acquitted on grounds of political reasons for their 'plight' and then they could go back to their families. They surely have dependents, do they want to see them in abject poverty? Communism doesn't work - there is no economic growth, and surely they can see that the many homeless in India would swallow up all the money. If it is the whole countries decision to adopt communism, they could feed all the homeless and poor. If they were to adopt communism, they should adopt a form drawn out by experts at the UN and in the west. The russians had a working version of communism, but decided that it wasn't for them.

In adopting communism there are many things you miss out on. I am sure that the main reason they don't want to go into communism is the abandonment of big business, as businesses like to turn a profit. Of course they could work with the state to supply items for them, but the bare minimum of stuff for the people would mean that they would all be poor.

I am sure that their families back home are poor too. They see a way to promote a better standard of living for them, so it is for their sakes that they fight. But, I must ask what it is exactly that they want from the state for their families? Their families are better off with it the way it is, so if they knew that i am sure they would stop fighting.

Being so noble as to try to uplift all the homeless in overpopulated India would be quite something. Why do they need to kill people? That will not bring in a new government, and results in jail or more killing. The proper place for this is at universities where they can try to sway the students to march with them, if their case is so comely. Then they could also try to get the side of the old people in the districts, moving freely around with a banner and all that jazz as they go from district to district in plain sight and kill none and lose none. I am sure they would like this, and the answer is to simply lay down arms and do it. What do they consider to be better, killing to meet resistance, or like a clever cat they were to make all the districts over the walls and beyond the trees into their mates?

If they were to win over the army somehow into the 'hands' of the global

'marketplace', well, there is money for all parties to be had - and that means better lifestyles for the leaders. Tell them that - lifestyles - what is life without a lifestyle? If they are evil they will say yes, if they are good they would already have said yes, but let's say they inherited a world of war from their past leaders. It is now the opportunity of these leaders to make it right again, and it can be right, all they have to do is relax. Nobody is coming to take anything from them, only to improve their lifestyles.

I am sure this whole nuclear thing is just one big misunderstanding...

Co operation vs. competition economically

When you need a reason to even think of for example charity then there is no need for that in ourselves. How many of the charities are doing well? If we were more social then there would be no empty little charities going around.

The human species, as you would call it, operates on a needs base. You need to eat, clean and sleep yourself. You need to feel full at some time or another or become unhappy and then think only of yourself and your misfortune. The need is there to live, not make others live. Then there are your children, who you do think of. That comes from our social side, where the people will band together for warmth and encouragement - trust. This is a need too, so even being good to people is based on a need we need to satisfy in ourselves. It comes from within, not outside coming in - the outside coming in tells us how to cope with the world.

When we do band together, we feel trust, as I mentioned. This is a need to feel secure and care free and happy.

Well i suppose this is about communism? Well, that is where we turn the whole nation into our trusted and loved ones, but people are too unhappy with the boredom to do anything good, not pushing themselves to be better than they feel comfortable being. Communism leads to slow economic growth as leaders do not make plans for the well being of the country and then do not, somehow, bring it all together. With a thousand ghettos in the house communism could work, when it comes to dealing with corrupt people from other nations, then it won't. Truly though, what is a billion in stolen funds compared to the trillions sweeping the country? Corruption is not the problem, it is getting involved in other countries that are not communist where money disappears.

If a whole continent were to adopt communism then it could work - africa for example could do well together, but as long as they are trading with other countries that are free, they won't do as well, as they are non competitive in their own approach and the other party is a business.

Kosovo

Why do they still fight? They had a war and now the borders are clear. If they need to protect the borders... what are they protecting them from? If some guy walks in and does some business, as that is all they can really do, isn't that trade? That should be encouraged. How can people hate each other so much?

If they were to take a tractor and dig a ditch between the two places, would that help at all?

Stuff the past! People died, does that mean more people have to die? If there was a war between Britain and France, does there still be a war between them? People should look over the borders to civilised places and think how they do it, and, how is that? They simply respect each other now.

There should be a border, but it should not be enforced to the point where people die. Nobody is going to build right on the border, are they? What worries are there? They should all trade and stuff and carry a passport and leave the weapons far away. Using batons will suffice, if they both agree to use batons, yes?

It seems the new nation needs to be recognised, and the barriers are in place to keep them from reaching their potential. This means they should just ignore the barricades and trade with people on the other sides of the country, logically, using the round about route to get around the barriers. This is not a big problem. Of course removing the barricade would be a step in the right direction...

What do they have against each other? They have a history against each other. What good is holding onto the past? How does a Serb differ from an Albanian really? These are the sins of their fathers and should be cast away. There is not going to be more killing will there?

Cultural science

There must be a scientific way to describe all cultures. I used to think of it a relay thing, where your parents instill in you culture from the time of your birth, and it is. This means that cultures are based on ways far back in time. The biggest change to all cultures is the desire for peace, where people before were willing to fight for their gods, today in the west this is less likely, instead fighting for freedoms of people and family.

When observing the middle east and north africa - the muslim culture - we see that they are still willing to fight for their gods. This is something that the west has progressed away from, except in Ireland and Eastern Europe. In East Asia there is no fighting for gods because they never worshipped gods, so they are less likely to 'war'. Now how do we show that the way forwards as a people and society is to fight for freedoms rather than gods? This means you are fighting for yourself, basically, which is what freedom brings.

Now I don't see a whole hell of a lot of people in the near east enslaved, but feeling enslaved is what the people feel. This means they fight for themselves ultimately, just like in the west. They also fight for the people around them, but that is a society thing, also self

in the ideal of society.

So, our cultures are not that far apart. We fight for ourselves, all of us!

Now when it comes to etiquette, you may say that in some africa societies it is polite to burp! This is because it is a token saying thank you or well done, I enjoyed your meal. These are also 'manners', so we are not that far apart either.

So as you can see, cultures are not that far apart. If you were to ask me, I would say they are all the same maybe!

If we were to observe the differences, there must be a likeness. If culture is based on primal instincts, guiding us as to what is right or wrong, then there must be a link between the primal and the cultural.

How about we observe an example? My favourite, the burqa, is required to maintain modesty in the near east. This culutre they brought to france, and it was fought over! Yes the french rejected this and said that the women may not wear this to the office. This shows that a woman is expected to behave like a man in the west, ironically it would mean there is no difference between men and women. The women fought hard, and I am not really sure how it turned out, but there was a legal battle.

This shows that there is a difference in culture between east and west especially. I class africa as being part of the east, as there are many things that women are not allowed to do in africa on the whole, but then again there are many democratic countries. If we were to say that cultures are different, that means there might be some difference between people, and seeing as how people al have the same primal drive, there cannot be a difference. The challenge is to find how they are similar...

They are similar because the men tell the women how to dress. You cannot wear a wedding dress to the office can you, but you may wear a tux. This means there is a similarity - the men tell the women how to dress. One one hand in the east it is called resistance to temptation, in the west it is called overdressing, but in eier place it is the men dominating the way women live.

Does anybody have an example of how these cultures are different, and we can work through them?

I would like to point out that there are moral absolutes. Moral absolutes include believing that you are right and that people love you. If you ask a man that steals if it is right, they will think of it and eventually decide that they are right. A man that steals is believing that he has rights to those goods, so steals them. This is greed, or necessity, but even if it is greed, the man or woman will believe that they are 'right people' who still warrant an opinion. They also believe that they do not belong in jail, and do not turn themselves in. If they do turn themselves in, then they believe that was right and they are still right. This applies to murder aswell, as they do not turn themselves in, thinking they still hold an opinion, or do, in which case they also think they are right.

Everyone believes they are loved. If they do not believe this, they will seek love for themselves, and believe at the slightest smile that they are loved. I am not saying they are loved, I am saying they think they are loved.

Now that we have found two moral absolutes, we can see how people have the same survival instinct. If they didn't, then there would be some use for sociology, but there isn't! I gather that it all comes down to whether they are free to do things based on their own beliefs or normal human thinking. Finding the likenesses will uncover the difference and why people act differently, as they do. But, are they aliens? If they have a different culture, then it comes down to what is acceptable depending on what they take for granted and what they shun, but there is still a reason for these things, or they would just sit there doing nothing.

Yes, we are all the same. If there is a way to divide people, it is through popularity of these things. If you kill someone, you are thrown into disarray in the culture, whatever it is. Stealing, raping and all that are also things where we find common ground. You may say that raping and being forced to marry a man is very different than in the west, but, wasn't this the same thing back in the days of knights and the like? In those days in the west, if you were raped you were also forced to marry the man! This leads me to believe that some cultures are not undeveloped, but rather different in terms of liberty, allowing liberty to different things. If of course they were not set out like this, then we would have some real differences.

In sociology, the current form of cultural science, it is far behind in terms of up to date reflections on things that happen in the world. If you were to say that cultures are different, what makes them different? I used to think it was a real system, where the people would simply follow the whims of the parents, and the parents the whims of their friends and peers, and the whole would listen to the king. This was set in place a long time ago, and has changed slightly in some places and a lot more in the west and far east.

In africa and the near east, it would be safe to say that these people are, not backwards, but lacking in terms of liberty. The more liberty you have, the more women are respected, and the more respect there is for life. Of course in these two regions there is very little liberty, with dictators all over the show. The people do not rebel so things stay the same. With every revolution comes a new awakening. With each awakening things change. If there is a revolution, then the people want change. So, what makes them not rebel? You could say that they are afraid of change for themselves - in the west a good ideal was the abolitions of slavery, and that caught on into the whole world. With this liberty there was a new era in human kind.

Now, if what does sociology teach you? I have no idea, but I suppose it is way behind and teaches you the differences in cultures. Why not look for likeness in culture? Is there a likeness in human thinking? I think there is, and sociology needs to be reworked.

Let me sum up my position then?

Culture comes from what is popular with the society, and what is popular with society is defined by your peers, making up the society. What is done is down to what the people love, and being human beings they always love the same things. This means that they all have the same culture, except that due to liberty it is undeveloped in certain places.

Sins of our fathers

When we engage with a person or country that has had a bad past, we often remember what they did and think they are the same person doing potentially the same thing. This is universal culture to think this way.

The sins of our fathers should be forgotten. If this was the case then there would be less hostility. How can you hold a party accountable for the sins of their fathers? Is it inherent that they will do it again?

I think this is not the case. When people 'grow up with their fathers', they tend to judge themselves against their fathers - try to excel this way. With this also inherent quality, we would try to be better, naturally. Now the sins committed by germany have been forgotten, but the sins of the east have not. Think of iraq and iran, they are held accountable for what one person said. Categorising all the leaders of the region are not represented by one person are they, and if that, it is not the leaders saying these things.

Has the supreme leader of iran said he wants to wipe Isreal from the map? Even if he did what would that mean to isreal? That he hates them or something, does that mean he would carpet bomb them with nukes? Why does the white man forgive the white man but not forgive anyone else? Compare Italy and Germany to iran and north korea. Is this fair treatment? Why doesn't some nazi idiot shout that people should die? The people have changed. With the education and western influence in the near and far east, well, they have also changed. I said a long time ago that we should get western culture to the regions, but that has already been done. As soon as the leaders come to an agreement there will be no sanctions and more peace - all the west has to see is other white people that look different.

The lack of understanding as to what people will do based on what happened before is ludicrous. The only reason china is accepted is because they have the world in an economic chokehold - don't they hate the taiwanese and dalho lama? How are these 'yellow faces' different to iran, or even less different to north korea?

There is definately a lack of understanding. I suggest a survey for all the parliaments by the west, and vice versa, to find what is going on. The people and

leaders will be better understood with figures than a notion of their history and what their fathers did.

Sociology doesn't give a great return for the time you waste with it. If the people adhered to what others see, then there would be no need for this. If this is the foundation of the way we see others, there will never be an understanding between people.

If you were to observe the human being, you find absolutes in the decent population inhabiting that country. These are not aliens of some sort that come from a different way of thinking, these are other human beings. No human being likes to kill another and feels good about it, although they lie and say they are. Which human being likes to kill instead of lie at home in front of the telly or go out to a restaurant to eat?

These notions we have of other people are wrong. I can safely say that people always want more money. I can safely say that people always want more power. I can safely say that everybody like to impress their children therefore loves them. These things are universal.

Now, when it comes to a universal human being, we need to observe the things that people like and do not like. People do not like hiding in the shadows blowing things up, not even if they are mad or some other silly, petty idea of some people's. People like to live it up. Americans are also sick of the way things are, but they protest because that brings better results. No doubt the people in the east also protested for a while, but now they feel driven to kill. They do not enjoy this no matter what you want to call it.

Why do they feel motivated to kill? They think it will bring results. There is no need to kill. To go ahead and say they are different sort of people cannot be true. If they were different they would walk backwards and look to hang out with people with leprasy. If they were different they would not protest to begin with. Liken this to the slavery thing we saw a few decades ago and you will see that people will fight for what they believe in. The people fight because they are desperate, or, lied to. They hear the lies of the 'Bushes' and get militant, looking for their way forwards, enriching the other.

Now, offering them a way out would be to leave, but then the bushes will push for them to follow the people to the recesses of their homelands and then bomb them there. That is why we cannot leave, not because they are barbarians, they are jut being used by the powers that be.

Now we need to remove these powers! We need to get to the clerics and find the ones that lie to the people and route them out. If we were to remove the strong hand of the adulterers, then there would be great progress. The leaders lead them to bomb places, not the followers.

We can find the leaders by eaves dropping on mosque 'meetings.' It is easy to spot the people that endorse the 'way.' Then arrest them - the clerics that give the

undertaking backbone.

There has always been some misunderstanding of how orders are dealt out. I suspect it could be through the mosques, as that is where the people get inspired and led astray potentially. Who would suspect a cleric?

I would like to add that geo politics is old and outdated. If you look at these areas where there is conflict, the people don't actually want to fight, nobody likes to kill others for no reason nor put themselves into harm's way.

If your father beats his wife, does that mean you will? If your country doesn't buy food made by child slaves, does that mean you will? These are people too, just like you and me, with the same psychological things as us, genetically. This being an atheist forum I am sure there will be a lot of agreement with that 'evolutionary concept.'

Art and science

There is no art that cannot be defined by science. Even art has a mathematical value, if you read the topic it is under. It is under that topic because there are angles that make the art more beautiful. The stone work of a church, for example, is 'mathematically perfect', as it lends from the formulas of the great artists and their theories that too have become science. Music? The same. Language? The same. Culture even has a science behind it. Just because someone hasn't discovered it yet doesn't mean it is false.

Since beauty has science, and beauty reflects all things perceived in life, and every culture is based on emotional compliance or something, there is a science to every art.

To elaborate, a culture may have more rules or less rules as to what makes people listen to you, based on emotions, based on senses, and senses see and hear the same thing. So, if they hear and see the same thing then there is a right and wrong thing to see as something or other. Right and wrong cultures? Yes.

Cultures are based on what the relay to them has been. Relays come from parents and elders handing them down to the 'youth,' then they become accepted. To be accepted they need to appeal to the 'youth.' If there is a way to say that killing is good, it is through relays or cultures. Many people inside the culture actually reject it, leading to revolutions in the culture, where change takes place. When it is rejected, it no longer appeals to the emotions, that get their info from the senses, that get their information from the others in the culture. So, a culture changes when it is rejected, and, a culture becomes a culture when it is appealing.

To be appealing means that the emotions find it comforting, so, if the comfort afforded to people by their senses goes down well, then it will become a culture. Every culture is appealing to someone or other, so, how can it change from culture

to culture?

Where they are, they are always becoming more liberal. The longer a culture is around for, the more it becomes liberal to the point where it changes. That is why people have different cultures, can you see cave men stoning someone, something that used to happen in the middle east? Then you can see how they all have the same starting point, and are at different levels with liberty. Sometimes they keep some things over and then it stays in the culture, based on emotions based on what is going on around them, all starting at different points.

Universal healthcare

I think universal healthcare is a very good idea. If they add ten dollars to the total taxes to finance this, they will hardly feel it, but everyone may get it. This will not put private hospitals out of business, as the rich are fussy, so, it is not even catering to everybody! It is a steal for the state, and anybody that floats universal healthcare will get a lot of votes from the poor.

If you count every hospital in the country, there are not enough beds. If they were to take the total they get from taxation for this, they could add new hospitals. This means that they will need more money! Of course, now we need more capital.

If they were to observe how much in debt they are, they will not have the capital. This means they need a fundraiser. Of course with the troops being pulled out of Iraq, all the costs of that are dealt with, so now there is an extra trillion to spend a year. Of course they could put that to the hospitals, but they might want to pay off some of their debt.

What about buying debt? If the country was to buy up debts of other countries, then there would be a monopoly of debt. If they were to take what they owe each other, and start cancelling it like that, then there would be no debt. Who pays for all of this? The IMF? If there was to be a sudden influx of money to the IMF, there would still be interest, and this interest kills the countries in the beginning. This puts them more into debt and then they take out more loans. This means, if America owes a bank one hundred trillion, and a bank owes America fifty trillion, they should scrap it so that the country owes the bank fifty trillion. This means the money will not grow out of credit, which is actually stifling the economic growth, but rather that the interest will be cut off. This means money will only grow where people owe the banks money.

If there was an extra trillion in the US coffers, they could make a hit and run stock deal. If you were to buy up all the stocks of a company then sell it the next nano second, you would make a pretty penny. Nobody suffers as they just paid for high level stock, and it will decrease slowly, but the capital will be there. If they were to do this, then they would be able to build some hospitals. We are talking zero inflation and high level stocks! The business benefits, the country benefits, and the only people holding their heads are the investors, banks paying for stock with the

funds of billionaires. The banks could be convinced to get on the wagon and buy these, then sell them off to spread the problem, so that everybody loses, but, paying so high a price for stock, they will either sell them quickly and then lose minimally, or, they will be hard assed and keep them. If they keep them the company is now worth so much more, and they can pay their debts. This will raise the value of the company and then the stocks will revalue.

This is how to pay off all the debts and for the hospitals for universal health care.

More voting

The presidential race should be down to two parties who offer different things. They should all have a day where they ask the people why they are gop or dem. If they say they honestly just are stuck with it - which is something I think is real - then they should go over what each party stands for, just for a day. This should be adhered to, or publicly jeered as to when they do something going against their parties code. As far as I know, one side is gun toting and bible bashing, and the other side is full of rich people. That might be as much as anybody knows, so they should in all honesty dress their campaign to these promises.

If they want to mak it so it sounds nice, good for them. That is their right. If they want to smear the other, that is their right too. I myself tend to be neutral as I believe in the family, but am not really a bible basher, and I believe a few people should take the needs of the people under thier wing, so as to protect them from themselves, much like a parent to a child. That is the way I see the Gop.

With regaurds to the dems, I don't know much about their campaigns as they seem luke warm in everything they do. They are more passive than the gop and that is because they cater for the less inteested people of the us - the people that don't collect rubbish or things like that. These are the people always complaining and never really protesting - lukewarm as I said. But then again I come from a middle class family and would likely do the same!

Republican voters

I think the gop might get a lot of votes. This is because the bizzarre concept of being led by someone different is over 'fun' wise. I am talking about a black man or a white woman, because at the end of the day that is their first impression, beyond what they are saying. They will now likely vote for a white man before those two 'things.'

How influential are jokes about political people in getting votes? Think about it, how much does that soften up the people and bring them into the homes of voters? I think a few good clean jokes about the republican nominees could bring more votes into the swing of the gop campaign...

What about identifying areas of people that always go to vote? The likes of unions could always be bet on to vote, as they are so involved. They encourage all voters to go out and vote - it's members no less. That is a huge demographic, so maybe they should target them and try to be seen with them, as they nearly all vote...

I think the people get fed up with the way things are, so they look for the exact opposite. By saying, and promising the opposite, they will get a lot of votes. If they were to institute slow reforms, on purpose, they will see gradual change. Think of Obama, he promised a lot of things, and delivered them slowly. He has kept all his promises, but now they still want 'change.' If change is what they want, then they will change their votes surely.

I know a lot of Republicans voted for the Dems in 2008, so they need to get the Dems to vote for the GOP. If they want to see real turnaround, maybe they should try to offer them my health care package. It allows for a great deal of leeway on costs, so will never be taking more than the budget.

Or they could promise something new - to overbudget on purpose so that nothing will suffer, so that no hidden costs will ever mean that the people won't get what they were promised? Think of all they could do with that?

Nearly everybody in America has a job. To get the poor to vote for them, as they need the money and they need the votes, they could reintroduce GST. This means that the tax comes on levels for goods, reducing essential items way down. Things like bread might be much cheaper, milk too, as well as eggs, while wide screen flat TVs will cost much more. This is the way things should be. Whoever thought VAT was a good idea didn't think about the costs onto the less fortunate.

This is the way to go for votes. There are plenty of people who will loyally vote conservative, and many poorer Dems will vote for the easiest burden on their pocket available. That will also change the lifestyles of the less fortunate, and after all, that is what makes people happy, fortunate, and a lot of other things - lifestyle.

Maybe if the conservatives were to show what they have in store for adults - parents - they would do better? The conservative stance should be shown to all major cities except New York, California and Florida. These places are full of young minded people, and therefore they will nearly always be liberal.

Of course reminding people that they are parents, and the better way of life is to say no to young ones, maybe they will get more votes. If they were to gear their campaign towards that end, then they might have more numbers.

But the youth? What do they have to offer them? They should be half for the family, and half for job creation the way I see it, that is the key to the youth, and the parents. Even old people will agree, so count on them too!

If the Republicans were to put a real nerd as their candidate, it will be a stark

contrast to obama - which the american public is no doubt sick of. They should choose a weak speaker, someone totally the opposite of obama, then they will be saying to the public that they were fooled by a, pardon the pun, charlatan.

What should they put into their campaign besides that? Well, they should not campaign at all! If they were to spend all that money on building relations, and getting publicity for industry and charity, they could leave a great impression.

Think of what the people in commerce think, they are usually democrat, yes? How do they gear their campaign for democrats that might vote republican. They could get ron paul as their candidate, and win over nearly the whole of commerce. Then there are the veterans of industry, who will they vote for? Make them the running mate!

If the republicans were to ask their supporters what they vote for when they vote for them, what would they say? If they were to ask a person on the street what they are voting for when they vote for them, and video tape it, they could air it so as to say what they offer. What would they say?

I am guessing they would say they are voting for the church, they are voting for the family values, and they are voting for the little guy.

Now, what would the democratic people say they are voting for? What would they say? I have no idea!

Well, Obama's views do represent the views of the party, as he is a member of the party. By bailing out the businesses he went for saving something that should have gone down, but how far would it have gone down before those devalued equally powerful shares got snapped up? Not long at all.

When you hear about big business playing a role in the parliament, this is an example of that. This particular example shows that the state is in league with the corporate machine, well this party at least.

Now, I must ask, did any republican parties in history ever bail them out? Who is in league with whom? I mean, I can see some union bosses coming into contact with the conservatives, but not big business.

I have a further suggestion - why not look to who they have had meetings with so far, over the last eight years, to be fair? The media should shed light on what is going on in the house, as they photograph who goes in and comes out of the white house. These scoundrels that collect that sort of information are all over the place, so, why not collect them and compile a report? I am sure the less guilty of the two will be willing to pay for this service!

The wealth gap

Why does the wealth gap need to be closed? All that needs to happen is for the rich to give more to the poor, or employ them more. This can be done the doy style!

A rich man could build a factory for a hundred thousand dollars. This could then be carried over to be sold or furnished with equipment and people. This is employment, which is not a hundred percent.

Then, the minimum wage should be raised. Think of how much money really goes to wages?!?! Think about it... a few dollars an hour over say a hundred employees means a few hundred dollars an hour. Please bear with me...

[Walmart Salaries | Glassdoor](#) Shows how much a typical walmart employee gets paid...

[WMT Key Statistics | Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. Common St Stock - Yahoo! Finance](#) shows how much all wall mart stores make...

Now, how much does the typical wall mart store make? Is the salaries paid very very small, a fraction of a percent of income? I think they should pay their employees more, it won't cost them a thing. Raising the minimum wage will result in that money also being spent and taxed, and returning to circulation in the 'system' rather than going into the market where it dissapears over night if they lose confidence.

Think of it, why not triple the minimum wage? Nobody will suffer, and then the people will spend more in the supermarkets. Good for state, bad for nobody. A hundred employees being employed for nine dollars an hour means eight hundred dollars an hour. Unfortunatley I couldn't find the income for each individual store, or an average for them, so, if anyone could be so kind as to try to help me out on this, I would be much obliged!

Triple the minnum wage in every first world country. It will help the state more than you think... and the people.

Sharia law vs christian law

This is what divides the world. Only in north and south america is this topic not really important, but the rest of the world fights tooth and nail over this. If we could find a happy medium, then there would be nearly global peace! So off we go to find the meeting point...

I find that abrahamic laws are pretty societal. If we take away from them, well we could lose out with divorce or whatever that is, and in the opinion of world peace it is pretty hard to make people worship god. Other than that it must remain, but could we add to it for these countries? I wouldn't be surprised if in London some happy go lucky bomber

targets markets or something, so we need to 'get sharia law in' to 'keep it out.' If there are enough sharia law places then there will be no world terror, i figure - well not in these proportions.

What do we know about sharia law? Does it say you must kill? Does it say you must steal? Does it upset society? It does none of these things, so what is wrong with it??? People are fighting in north africa and the middle east, with concern coming from europe and eastern asia. The muslims have spread far and wide, and where they are impoverished they will not sell out on religion to the abrahamic laws only. The best thing to do is get more information on how to give the people this. It happens in iran and saudi arabia at least. Maybe a thing to consider would be why are the poor so willing to fight for what they believe in?

The poor often have little to do with luxury. The more luxury you have the less you fight! You see this in america too, at least, where the republicans are usually the poorer people and are also very religious. Could it be that money breeds sin? Surely not... right?

If we were to look at this from a psychological stand point, we would observe that poor people have less to be happy with, but, have the time to spend with family, strangely. For some reason they have a happy family typically when in the rural areas. Would it be that demolishing all churches would satisfy this need for peace? I hope not, let's get back to the psyche? If the person who has less loves more, then maybe there should be more wealth distribution. This will occupy the minds of all these rural people and then they would be happier, distanced from their loved ones. I understand also that families in the middle class have a lot of love, but time spent with them is less compared to the rural people. What is it about being impoverished that makes people think their lives are not worth anything, and the lives of others are also not worth anything?

Maybe what is needed is a lot of love? Imagine a radio station that is tuned to gospel music all day long? This simple luxury could be what is missing in the lives of the rural people. I know in my country south africa they go mad for gospel in the rural areas, so why not try that in other muslim areas? Al jazeera is still in business, so they must support local stuff. Imagine a muslim radio station that plays muslim worship songs all day long. Think how important the music is to people that go to concerts and watch mtv, buy cds and go to night clubs or trendy restaurants to listen to music? Music must be the way to get to these people and relax and soothe them...

So is it a case of sharia vs. abrahamic laws? Is it that simple, or are the people not exposed to enough of their desire to feel with god at all times? I guarantee you that feeling as if god is with them more they will relax more, dance more, feel better.

But now it is a political thing! The west wants to 'domesticate' the east. The problem with that is that there is already a identity that exists out there in the outback, and that it wants to remain there. I am sure with some gospel music there would be great strides forwards.

What is wrong with the law of the prophets? The law of the prophets has led to the laws of the west and east. The thing about sharia law is it adds to the laws of the prophets. The

laws of the middle east tend to be more societal than others, as they tell people how to behave regarding one another than the west. To sum that up we would say that when we behave ourselves regarding the family, it is obvious, but in the middle east they have stated the obvious.

So, when people fight for sharia law, they are fighting for the obvious. There is no need to fight for the obvious, as it comes about naturally. They are fighting for nothing!

The 'pages of sexism' become apparent because the men carry the family name into marriage. The male is more thought of as the breadwinner, because the children are supposed to spend time in the care of the mother. This happens in the animal kingdom too, so is a instinctive thing among mammals, cold blooded reptiles and everything else. This was the law of the family back then, but nobody challenged it. It has been challenged because nowadays women don't have as many kids and work, and because they work they demand rights. That is why women have rights now, because they have been allowed them. I bet that a lot of women themselves would have held back their peers for the sake of the family values, but look at the values of the family in the west and compare them to the near east... There is such a 'disgusting' side on the west in the eyes of the near east that they will probably never change, so good luck for the rights of women there.

Religion follows a typical path. If you have a grandfather, you will sacrifice something or other for them? You sacrifice for your family, and taxation is the sacrifice made to the state. Everywhere you need to bend over and sacrifice, follow rules... god just gave us some to make us happy!

I find that these people did question their religions and decided that they will still embrace them, after much thought, or no thoughts, of course. Hopefully they thought about it for a while!

This is actually more of a tradition versus rights issue it seems. The points of women not having rights under tradition for so long means that we should look to why there was tradition. If a woman did not fight for her rights back then, it must be a modern anomaly that means a woman had a man fight with her towards rights. So, from tradition we get to progress to rights.

Does this mean that all traditions are against rights? When do traditions become law? What other traditions are out there? Christmas, thanksgiving, super sweet sixteens, marriage, medicine and all forms of education. It is only tradition until written into law, and then also it must be voted on by a lot of people. This means the traditions of the few become the laws of the many.

If we were to look to flash points of violence where people fight for sharia law, we find a law of more rights to be held there. This is where people find more reason in the traditions, and fight for them. Is it right to fight for the end of rights? Clearly this is an issue where the few fight the many, so, like the political side of it, the traditions of the few could become the laws of the many.

What do you say to a person fighting the state for sharia law? To give up and go home?

They want to bring the laws of their religion into being - they can do this at a private level! They could make house rules for sharia law, and then their god would be pleased. If their god wanted them to fight all the other people of other gods, then they would have told them so. Of course, as is typical today, all gods want peace for their people, and a lot of love too. They could go home, they could sit with their family and love them, and they could bring sharia law into their own houses. If they want to bring the children of another god into their tradition, then they have no right to do that. Tradition is something that guides people into a way of thinking for the sake of itself, it is not an absolute nor a requirement.

Did mohammed bring the sharia law into being? He did not? Then who can argue that this sign is of no consequence? If he himself could not bring it into being, then should it be? If people want it, they vote for it. If they fail to vote for it, they bring it into their homes passively. They do not kill over traditions, do they? Why kill someone over christmas? Is this some idiot that doesn't understand the traditions of others? Who's house is it? Who's country is it? It is for them to admit defeat and lay down their weapons, for folls they are. They are fools because they want to bring their ways into someone else's house, like hitler, like stalin, like christians too. All people want to bring their traditions into the houses of others, and that is why men carry the family name - it is expected that the man carries the weight in the family with regards to work, and that he therefore has the ultimate say in what goes on there.

So why do they fight? They want to be the men of the country! They want to be the boss. They want to tell others what to do. Telling people what to do means you carry the burden, but they just kill those opposing them. If they were to see that they are being fought by other rational people, then there is surely some irrationality there, as rational people do not fight. If they are not rational, what are they? If they do not stop fighting many people will go hungry. They fight the system, the system is the countries means of rationally existing. This needs to go to the churches first - they need to go in there and apologise for being ignorant, both of them. Both sides are ignorant. They could just let them have sharia law, but they resist it, and, everyone is ignorant in the face of god. If both of them can acknowledge that, then there is hope. For them to say they know more than the minister, is a slap to the family of all they serve. The people that should have a say in what they should acknowledge or not should be holy men, and these holy men will all say to stop fighting.

This is why the irrationality falls on the rebels. If they keep fighting after hearing the voices of the lord's trusted people, then they will keep fighting for being the boss, and killing because they want to be the boss. The real trouble makers are the leaders of these factions who see the way to power through the mass slaughter of the innocent. Does it matter how many guns you have? This is a holy war... does Allah war against Yaweh? Why do the people fight then?

I think muslims are pushing for total sharia law in the war zones, and that is their culture. What we are doing is going there and trying to stop bombings, bombings that come about

because there is no sharia law.

There is no way to end this, so, give the people the sharia law they want in their homelands - most people won't notice anyway!

I don't understand what this has to do with me, yet I agree with the ideas of mixing religions to form something that the people are comfortable with. If we were to take the people that commit the crimes and ask them if they are wrong, then they would try to twist it into something that makes them right. That is where religion goes awry, yes?

Is it not through twisting things that we arrive in the first world? Look at their crime levels, then look at the crime levels in iran for example, only three percent of the total people in jail are women! Isn't that good? We all know that crime levels in 'sharia countries' is very low, and this is no coincidence. I would attribute it to a stance on saying no to the evils of the west, those arrived by by twisting the words of the bible to mean what they want them to mean, or, ignoring them altogether!

If you drum religion into people, it is like brainwashing. Drumming the right things into them, a sort of 'gentleman's agreement' for the men and women, you make them less likely to commit crimes. This is a good thing - any poison that kills the virus is widely accepted as good, and these words are not even poison, they are family orientated.

I know a lot of you may think I have gone loopy for sharia, but this has happened recently only, as before I was opposed to this as it was restrictive for women. On the other hand, leading to such a good way of life must be good. I can see why people practice it at home and then 'fight' for it, but I cannot see why they actually "fight" for it?

This what we have today is not a war on terror, it is a war on sharia, and, one that neither side will win soon. The only way to end the war is for america to prove sharia is 'evil', or for the militants to dominate the whole world, which is far more likely. I have a neutral stand point here in africa's southern tip, so have an image of the west and near east aplenty! You will find mediators are often from neutral standpoints, but I only mediate here on cutting edge!

What I propose is that there be a vote for sharia law. If people abstain that means that they are lazy or really westernised! It is typical of western countries to find people disinterested - the adult emo generation! I mean, I think I put it perfectly there. "No use to me, someone else will do it..." The only way western values will survive in near eastern and north african societies will be a break from the western emo generations and a gamble for the future in a christianity pride parade, or else it is doomed.

For a long time now I have been sitting here atop my mountain watching the world speak. It speaks by doing things - like body language. If this emo thing leads to crime, the people revolt - well some of them anyways! If you were to go to an american woman and tell her sharia law is coming, she will protest, but if you ask her to go to a march against this she will decline. Something for nothing seems to be the mindset. Nowhere in the world has worse emo than south africa among the white culture, we never march! It is seen as a

black thing to do, or a racist thing to do. We have a culture here of being kings and letting the darkies do everything for us, nowhere will you find white municipal workers or diggers in the road, even if they were starving and unwanted. It is far more common here for whites to sit with their families complaining about the mess being made by the anc, who make quite a mess. By the way our president has promised five million more jobs over five years one year ago, and nothing has been done yet. That we call the 'puppy generation,' or i do. Nothing loves so blindly as a puppy, so that is what happens here for the black people.

Now back to the sharia comparison. Instead of the west fighting a holy war, they should go home. after apologising. This emo bush guy declared a war on terror, this terror is actually not related to the 'sharia warriors', but to poltical sources. There is nothing anyone gains by blowing up a railway. This is not terror, just a poltical thing. They should chase shadows in the houses of parliament, not on the streets of afghanistan. These are the pawns, the real people behind it have something to gain from blowing up a railway. If you ask a 'sharia warrior' what will happen if a railway gets blown up, they will say they would have to take a bus! Nothing more.

So when they blow up banks and military installations, it is because they have been told to. Arresting them, people sworn to silence, will lead nowehre, because they are clueless to the real problem. When a bank or military gets blown up, they will say it is because the money is not flowing, or they are occupied. That is a fight for freedom. The people at the top see an occupying force and twist it to their needs. If they were caught then the war would end. The real war lies in the alleys of the senate, where they need to identify who will benefit from building a new subway, or which banks are not being blown up! It is that simple. Once these people have been caught, leave the area, let the people vote in sharia law, and then it all ends peacefully.