

Deuce works, by Brett Nortje [up to 06 May 2012]

Terrorism.

The state of terrorism is preposterous! If you were to withdraw all the troops from the region and instead run a program for new recruits you would see that the state of affairs could be dealt with easily by the new recruits, costing the west a fraction of the price, if currency conversion is to be believed, of course. Afghan wigs are cheap, huh?

Failing that they could easily try to make a 'lawful' society by giving the terrorists what they want. They fight for a purpose, so what is that purpose? They could simply make the area a peaceful place by taking the militants and using the electronic magnetic pulse theory in the rural areas. This would mean that they need to generate a pulse of magnetism that will jam all their communications and weapons by magnetizing the weapons so that the bullets get attracted to the barrels of the guns and then they will make for jammed weapons. They could do this with borrowed energy from the nearest power station channeled into a radio wave of energy that will make the weapons worthless and useless and then basically mold them together, yes?

If physics is to be believed we could use a 'south polar' charge followed by a north polar charge over these areas to stop the combat worth of these factions. The baddies will then be dealt with primarily, helpless, yes?

Bombs. To stop bombings we need to get rid of all the organic components in these areas. To get this done we could simply 'gouge' them out with a sensor to find all combustible materials from these areas to see where the combustibles are. This could be achieved by using a beam emitted from a sensor to check where the materials are, identifying linen and other things from deposits of manure - which goes into these bombs. Then we could program the sensor so that the beam picks up 'scents'. Failing this from a sensor we could use a 'chemical sensor', like a dog's nose, more or less, detecting these things.

Prevention is better than cure though, so what could be done across the table?

If the west was to figure a way to reason with the terrorists, give them what they want, what is it they want? I want everyone to come away winners, as usual, so let's ponder this for a moment, yes?

The militants want a better way of life for their families. The leaders are crack pots that offer them a better way of life for their enlistment, so they are the grand wizards of this scummy deal. To identify them and get rid of them would leave rebels without a leader, but let's try to reason with the leaders first, yes? Picture if

you will a elderly man who has had it drummed into his head that the world will be better if the people he leads was to kill in the name of God or their families. To reason with someone like this would be hard, yes? If they were to have it explained to them that the men they enlist could better serve their brothers by becoming an able pair of hands at home then that would clear the air, but, if they were to be religious, then they would be harder to reason with.

As far as I can gather the leaders need to understand that Allah does not want to see death in His name. Think of a community elder, would they want you to kill in their name? Is Allah not even more mature than these elders? So, why kill for God? Not understandable, yes?

Getting the younger impressionable youths away from joining these ranks, failing to get the leaders to abandon the cause? The youths could be shown that the people back home need to be sought after by them, by them making new entrepreneurial ventures. Bombing people that are bringing money into the country is not good business, yes? But how could they get jobs?

For the youth to be employed, letting them walk the streets and see wealth and not harbor this hostility towards others means that they need ideas for jobs. I could think of one or two...

How about if they were collect seeds from rotten fruit in the markets and grow their own subsistence gardens? They could trade thier best fruit for money, water is cheap, land is cheap, a bus out to the areas is cheap, fences are cheap. If they have no money they could set up a schedule where they rotate the shifts so that they can guard their venture from thieves, yes? Cheap cheap cheap! [Feel like a chicken... yeah!]

Or, how about surveys? They could go door to door, costing nothing, collecting surveys for the state that they could 'freelance'. This could raise capital, and capital makes for new opportunities. Oh yes!

Then there is the prospect of picking up a shovel and trying to go 'drilling' for oil. What you need, more or less, is a drill that you can use your capital to loan. It is a long shot, but if enough people rotate this they could make a find... huh?

An unemployed person is an extra pair of hands. Not worthless - worth less, but still worth, yes?

Well I find my cronies back in the near east, what do put onto their manifesto?

Ok, well, if they were to stop the fighting by giving the terrorists what they want, and that is the US out of the area, then they could simply sell off all the businesses to Afghanistan businessmen, maybe at a bit of a loss that the west could

compensate? Trillions in with war, millions out without war, no loss of life, easy does it! Oh yes!

Now why they don't give this to the 'people' there is beyond me. They could still own the business shares from abroad, maybe forty nine percent of it, or get it bought and sold quickly. This could mean still bombings from university degree students that study for years to become part of a 'mobile bombing movement', I mean what the heck?

I think this is the best solution to the conflict, which brings us to Israel!

Now they cannot do this in Israel, but, they could simply observe that for the sake of their brothers they all dismantle the land of Israel and join with Palestine, yes? Maybe a ten state country where the delegations are all provincial will solve this, yes? Otherwise...

They could also try to sell the land to the Palestinians? This would mean that they would simply sell their homes that are under threat to the Palestinians and then move into Palestine. What can be said for religious landmarks? What is it to spill blood of innocents to get the leaders to give them 'their' land?

The way see it if you move out of your house, and someone moves in, then it is settled. To come back and demand your house back is radical, but to buy your house back is sensible. Now what has happened here is that Palestinians can freely cross the border and worship, but why not build a better alter nearby? To fight over territory is primal, yes? Are we not superior to dogs? To have these feelings towards others is really bad news.

So, if the conflict is to be resolved then they need to build a train into the cities and sites where these shrines are and then they can all worship together... duh! What more could they want? Jews want to live there, they will stand by and watch as people come into their ancient home, problem solved, yes?

The Taliban needs to be reasoned with if we want to stop violence, otherwise arrested. Let's try to talk it out first...

What do they want? They want to fight Americans, if the Americans left then they could fight the police sponsored by the west for the region with a fraction of the costs of running this war, or, they could inject the money from their coffers into the Afghan coffers for running the war, which means less transports. The Afghan army could swell overnight and fight while the Americans went home. The Afghan force would cost Afghan dollars or whatever to run, so will cost a lot less, yes? Wait that is violence! So we need a non violent resolution... duh!

Now with the Americans leaving, they will still want to seven eleven them, but with a fraction of the price to beef up security they could easily deal with this. Americans gone, insurmountable force of cultured intelligence... what can they do

but at least stop? To bomb their brothers would be stupid and would lead to them having no argument for the people they use for hiding to support them and shop for them for food, for example...

This is really starting to niggle at me, this whole near east business!

The Americans should just leave. This saving face business is for the birds. The money they save would be redirected into the economy and they could beef up security. Forget seven eleven, a few people died. Look at earthquakes that will come, more people will die! Cost very little too. Sending a few thousand men to die in order to right a wrong - vengeance come that - is WORTH LESS! Let this rabble dream of doing the same again, or, let Europe, the next likely target, deal with it. They could combine forces to insurmountable odds and flood the region with nearly natives of the area and then speaking the same language lead to arrests instead of fighting a Vietnam. The bases are closely guarded and need to be found to make progress - taking them out will win the war. Getting to them will take intelligence, not shells. Let the cops do it while the people relax without shells going off right next to them, think of them personally, as if they were human beings. They will slack off, get drunk, brag, but only once the states has shoved off. What is there to lose but money and lives... think of all there is to gain! Oh yes!

How about they all enter a chat room and deal out ideas and deals? This could also be done via a boy runner between them or radio, yes?

They could find all magnetic components in weapons with a radio signal sent outwards capturing the 'feed' in another country close by - broad band. This will expose all the armed militants who use force and threats to get what they need from the people in the 'homelands'. Picture two ripples from two stones linking up in a lake, yes?

Now that should sort out the munitions, but what about the bombs? They are usually made of metals too, except the cheaper option of using manure and stuff like that, but those are all based on 'bio mass', which means that it could be picked up the same way by looking for chemical signatures of bio mass, a scent if you will - man it does stink.

So, you will need some form of radio wave that picks up, especially in the cities where this should be flushed - yes? - a signature of scent. If you can pick up radio waves you can pick up scents, but how?

I suggest they try to use a gamma ray to see what is absorbing the gamma rays, slight as they may be. Still ones the size of people will obviously be people asleep, but smaller deposits will be, um, not yet flushed? I highly doubt that! Go ape poop guys, hope I helped!

Child labour

They should do away with child labor and replace all the children with robots - cheap, better, no mistakes.

The budget for this would mean that it would require minimal maintenance and then they could actually just walk around listening to my radio 'vibes' all day. My country has signed into a cement deal with the Chinese recently and I don't see why they need to cover anything up - business is business. Please remember that these children will be able to pay for their families to actually buy goods. If they were to be fired or replaced they would suffer. Think of a whole life where labour is the only option - why not develop skills now rather than later. When it comes to child labor, well, there are two important factors - the humanitarian law acts, and of course the cultural differences. In the east it is common for a family to share the appointment of office from generation to generation, look at North Korea, look at the Clintons - it is like a brand name, yes?

Now with replacing the kids with robots they could alleviate the sufferings of the world by instituting a dole system. Ok I will let my whole hand show...

My monetary standard works not from gold but rather from assets. Gold is something you make jewelery out of, customarily a good barter system. With the assets of a country taken into account, well, the more assets you have the more money your country has, yes? That means the state could chip in and make cars and get money for it, unbelievable, yes? This makes way for the dole system what will like save the economy and feed the poor. Then...

To make sure everyone is fed, they could institute land development for farms. Each country has so much unused land it is a joke. Selling this land cheaply will provide so much capital for the state that they could live off the interest without taxes - yes no taxes! Yes! The bad jobs that nobody wants to do can be done by robots, everyone will have a commercial sector job... oh yes!

Now when it comes to saving the children these two factors could do so much for them, the poor, the hospitals, police, charity, land development in Africa, stop wars - as everyone is fighting over resources like land and grazing for their cattle when simple plastic pipes could deliver water to the unused areas along with nutrients for the soil to make it fertile so those who choose to live a simple nomadic life may do just that. But that is Africa, yes?

Robotic future, dole. No problem.

Religious stuff

Ok, seriously, I talk to the lord for about an hour a day, and that doesn't make me religious. Living for the sake of others makes you better in the eyes of society than worshipping God, and if society is to be believed, giving more than you take is giving back, making you worth more to those around you.

What can you do for the Lord? Don't you think He gets bored with people worshipping Him all the time? Does the Queen demand that everyone tell her how great she is twenty four seven? Is the Lord not greater than the Queen? How then can one be content with sitting idly by and watching his plan unfold. What if I were to tell you that there is no plan? What if I were to tell you that everything is set in motion as a surprise, a surprise for the Lord, to see who will please Him, yes? If You were to create something then how could you just with the same thing in repetition, so...

What if I were to tell you that the Lord as you know it does not exist, not an all knowing, not an all loving, and most importantly not an all powerful God?

If God were all powerful he could cure everyone of their diseases all at once - why suffer? If God is all loving He must have a favorite as Jesus was supposedly a part of Him and had a favorite apostle, yes? He had taste buds while alive so had a favorite food? He loves those he throws into a fiery pit, or there is no 'pit', meaning that there is nothing to fear. Why not lie to get people to act well. Society is based on all these things. When we get to moral absolutes everyone wants to feel loved and right in what they do, and we, made in his image, will feel these things, so will He. We have already discussed why He is not perfect, yes? If we were to see God as not being perfect, we could say that He fears nothing so will look for a reason to be loved, yes? I believe that the Holy Spirit is part of the universal consciousness and can be decoded by any spirit in the know. I have my own mathematical and cultural formula for telling truth from lies, but that is my own secret that I use to bestow gifts onto others - but that is besides the points and the debate, yes?

Now seeing as How God is not perfect why worship Him? If I were to tell you that energy will learn responses and look for the path of least resistance each time it circulates in a given area then it will learn things, so that is how God 'formed' from the creation of the universe. My working theory is that the dark matter would separate and then contain helium - there either was something or there was not, yes? In this case there was something... yeah!

Now, if one god why not more? different frequencies of energy that would gather and learn, very slowly? This would lead to a society where the norms we experience would result in a society of Gods, and naturally they would either get along or there would be no universe - in this case they obviously got along, yes?

So, if there was to be this universe then they would all gather and desire to be

thought of - loved. The people they created would need to satisfy them. Look at evolution... there is some critical things missing! Think of reproduction... where there is no need for reproduction there is no reproduction. I find it hard to imagine a world where the microbes would be alive for long enough, one at a time, [maybe each eon?] and then find the time to find something else that happened to exist at the same time to reproduce with, or even conceptualize doing this with! I mean what would drive them to reproduce?

Think of the ozone layer, how did that form? Ozone is formed by gasses, and lacking trees, which need an ozone to exist, would mean that, well... they simply would not exist and neither would the ozone, yes? So we can get rid of this stupid idea of big bang - if there was an explosion then there would be still a pushing force in the universe, and, well, there is actually a pulling force if you consult the Wikipedia that says that the universe is being dragged further and further apart!

So what does God want? It is the act of a coward to lay down and beg for mercy from the Lord, but the act of a great man to provide for their family. If there ever was a scheme for society it would be based on fear - primal survival mechanism, so that is what God means when He is said to be perfect, that He fears nothing... because He is so powerful, huh? Oh yes! Then you would want your people to live and breed in peace, like your 'fantasy'.

Now I back up the fact of creation, yet again, with the fact that all races are produced by different gods. Think of the pigmentation of the Arabs and the Jews, for example... how did they settle there as white people when the climate says they should be much darker? Look at China and India, look at the climate! Then look at the gods that revealed themselves to the people - the gods fought over Egypt and India and Europe to make them their people, yes; to gain their attention... duh!

So what can you do for God? You, as his creation, can make Him proud. If you give more than you receive then you could impress Him, yes? To act as a good member of His society would mean that he would love you more, as we have seen that Jesus was selective in whom He healed, that they come to Him and He not go to them, yes?

floods

These people need their homes restored to working order. I will devise a plan for prevention and cure, but cure first, because they need it, yes?

So, if your house is flooded, or your city mind you because they do not live in the ghettos of Texas or the outback, we would need to get the water the heck outta there, yes?

To get rid of the water we would need to use something to evaporate the water. Something like that could be built, but we need it NOW, yes?

If they were to use a heater created from a, [my favorite] laser, or course, we could heat the laser to evaporate all the water to 'good' levels. The laser could take priority over the businesses and homes that are not using the electricity at the moment, and well the laser could be set to heat it up, maybe borrowed from a lab or a university, yes? The laser could be set to heat the water by placing a mirror at the other end that would concentrate the energy so that it will dry the water quickly, cheaply and painlessly, a few lasers of course.

As for prevention, well, each time there is a flood they could just do this over and over. The state could provide a laser for these purposes in each suburb in the... fire department?

I hope that clears that up. No more flood problems?

Child abuse

If parents were to love their children then they would not abuse them, but, what is child abuse? Is it right to correct someone when they are wrong? Is it right for the police to use force to correct wrongs? Is it right for parents to use force to correct the wrongs of children?

I used to support this punishment, but I find that harming the child joy wise when they are wrong and rewarding them when they are right will see that they will do as well as they can, yes?

Now, if parents were to go this route it is up to them to make sure that they do not 'go over the line'. When a parent loses patience, well...

Now, nobody has perfect patience, yes? If you were to be irritated to the maximum then you would have your stress levels raised and react, pushing a child down from a standing position to listen when they threaten you, yes? When it comes to caning then you need to rather lock them in their rooms if you can, but, a scuffle might result.

Ok, my job is to stop child abuse at the moment. If you were to have a child that is bad, ignore them. It is a common custom, and a very serious one, that results from the East where people are ignored. Think of them going out to come home to repercussions, looking for money, yes?

To stop someone from hitting a child would mean that it is up to the child to be good. It is not the fault of the parent, but rather the fault of the child, except where the parent is a bully, yes?

If there was to be a subliminal message on the radio and television to love your children, and images of things that people find scary related to child abuse, then they would never ever abuse their children. This is one of the crucial steps to 'achieving Eden', and I am sure that the voters will vote for it, meaning it is ok, and

the law will actually back it up right 'damn' now, yes?

Failing that we could also try to 'inject' foods with testosterone destroying elements so that they could become lame as lambs. This will make the parents less stressed and the children less physical too, a government standard of course. What do you expect me to do teleport all over the country and man handle all parents in the act? Give me a break!

What do you term abuse? If a child is punished, then that is good. To see your deeds come to fruit is what is needed, yes? To walk around your house like you own the place and do unlike your parents say is bad, as they will want the best for you, unless...

They are bad! How many parents are bad? Think now, is it instinct to love your child? We are talking about very weak people indeed where they will abuse their children... oh yes! Now, if the child is wrong in the eyes of the 'caretaker' - the one elected unofficially by the state to care for the child, then it is simple. With enough money this could be monitored and children can always talk at school about what goes on at home, especially with phys ed teachers paying attention to their children that they care for.

To stop it in the act? Well... that would be hard, but, I bet I could give it a shot!

Now, if the child was to be trained in martial arts at school, a good exercise again, with the help of the phys ed teacher, they could defend themselves and cause enough damage to their parents that abuse them when the need arises. To teach now the child to restrain themselves and the philosophies of peace and good will towards others would mean that the child would be able to be of a strong heart and care like wise for their parents, yes?

Poor phys ed teachers, seems I use them a lot! Think of total exclusion of all this nastiness?

Or, we could simply try to find abusive people before they commit abuse by the people at the factories and work places - the doctors - having a random routine inspection of a psychological level to see what the parents are up to mentally. Then they will talk about their children and with the help of innuendos get to the bottom of this, finding past acts where the parent thought they were actually wrong, or, conversely, right in hitting their child.

Never underestimate the power of this psychological stuff. Then they could stop it before it happens again. Easy!

service delivery

I have my own plan for service delivery where it will cos barely anything to run the

state. I hate repeating myself, and all my best ideas are gone, so I am forced to well repeat myself, maybe coming up with a new idea here or there, yes?

To fund the military, which is the priority of all presidents for some stupid reason can be accomplished by private wars. The state has no business in wars elsewhere, yes? What the heck does America want in the near east? If they were to outsource the costs to business people that have something to gain from these wars then there would be a case, like *cough* oil *cough* business peoples, yes? Why do they not get interested in Africa and South America and that Tamil tigers gig? Huh? Outsource the costs and then, well, taking care of your fellow earthlings or human beings could be made fast and cheap. Alternatively they could use just air support in the wars as the standard African army has no anti air weapons, easy money, wait I feel a song coming on, how does it go? Na na na na goodbye or something... oh well! That or the army could be sponsored not by taxation but by the people that want to fight! Soldiers salaries cost a pittance of the costs to run an army, so, if they were to take from the soldiers where they actually want to fight or not, well, most will not want to fight. Simply disbanding all armies will result in no more wars, yes? Making it illegal to have an army would make it easy for the UN to stamp authority on the situation and use instead police people for defensive purposes that will use hit and run tactics in the cities themselves. What is the purpose of defending your borders? Is that land worth anything undeveloped? Wouldn't you rather have a open field where people can move freely from city to city, or, with the prospect of illegal immigration there stands the fact that everyone will be able to pay taxes where they are. The lure of the homelands will mean that people will farm there and live peacefully with police protection - armies are only there to protect against force - force requires force to resist, yes? Without applied force there is no need for defense against force, so there is no need for an army, but rather a police unit. Scrapped, let the soldiers become able police people, yes?

As for retirement there is a fund for that as well. If the state was to forget about managing this then they could make young people pay taxes supporting the older people, or is this already done? More cost effective? Well! If you were to make the state pay for the retired persons then there would be remuneration through taxation as money is spent on essentials for living, yes? Six tax points, raised to twenty percent or so, bulk buys of assets, lavish development, more assets, more money, economic nirvana... duh!

The point that brought me here was the hospital strikes in my country South Africa. Now when it comes to teaching medicine it is easy to consider that each body has so many cells per cubic inch, with a set ratio of blood vessels. Simply observing this means that we could make an actual simple formula for medical science, not this 'content based drivel' that is force fed as if it were broken glass into the assholes of medical students. Seven years.. yeah right more like two weeks with revision... duh. Doctors could be mass produced and then even people in shanty towns or squatter camps could have money raised for them by the community for the sakes of the communities well being, yes?

Teaching, well, we could easily use advertising to sponsor text books and have a computer on every desk in every class. With the standard of technology there will be no need for people to work technically other than to oversee and design robots on their computers, fed into another machine, leaving the technical work for maintenance, yes?

So we will have nearly zero costs, a dole system, major lavish economic growth... the future looks bright, but, while I am repeating myself...

The cure for cancer is to shock treat the system with red blood cells. These cells could be gained from school kids who are healthy and need some pocket money, yes?

The cure for cholesterol is stomach acids, perfectly genetic and eating away at the fat in the blood stream, yes?

How about mental retardation? That is hard to cure but is possible by using slats to stimulate the nervous system to communicate better, raising the awareness of the retarded persons, yeah?

Lost limbs and paralysis? Zygotes with critical components and growth hormones will stop this bad state of affairs, slowly, but better late than never!

So there we have cleared out a rut of beds at the hospitals. I apologize for all the researchers that will lose heart with their experiments, but I am sure you are able to study something else, or, live off the dole system with all these lovely assets, food, housing, cars, televisions that just make for more stuffies for you, yes?

To build more cheap houses we should use cement based on sand merged with waste plastics to be reheated and molded into a mixture that is super strong and 'dirt' cheap... pun!

Stopping volcanoes

If we were to funnel the volcano out the other side to the sea or down a land fill with explosives, the magma would not harm anything.

Stopping storms

Storms can be called strong winds and heavy rain. To stop them they could simply emit a wave of electricity to stabilize the air surrounding the area. The current could be sent via radio transmitter with a, how do you say, ten minute break in power consumption for the area, yes?

Storms relate to broadcasting by interfering with the transmission, so the relationship must be two way, duh! If a simple transmission will be over powered

by a strong storm, then a strong transmission should overpower a storm.

Even better they could cure the storm! If the storm is a low pressure system they could emit a sonic boom into the wall of it as it spirals upwards - yes? - and break the waves that 'wash' over the storm. This could be done with a turbine on the ground.

The storm actually would start on the ground with the cold air and then rise upwards. This means that they could actually see the storm off and then destroy it. This would require a lot of force, so, detonating a lot of explosives at the base of the actual storm, as the eye enters it... maybe if it were devoured by the storm into the mass of it, would disrupt the currents and viola the storm is gone, or, 'out of shape'.

Explosives. Gun powder is cheap, yes? Disfiguring a current like that with force should be easy enough I guess... duh!

Stock ideas

Trading Forex is the easiest way to make money if the state don't want to help you out. If you were to not need money it is fun to play too, like gambling, and with my advice i will be able to transform you into a great trader. Forex is a three trillion dollar a year 'business', and the stock market is nearly a hundred times that - never thought there was that much money in the world, huh?

** The main problem with the world economy is that the states are borrowing more money than they are able to back up to pay off old debts. Then there is the fact that there is some StUpId investments going on where the bottlenecks of the banks will not allow for trading between banks due to pessimism. When it comes to making money when money moves between banks it gathers interest, meaning it grows, poof out of nowhere. It is all imaginary, so the problem would be that the imagination of the banks is that they do not lend to other banks. If one person has all the money then there is no interest collecting, yes? **

Now, for you first tips! For trading forex you need to observe the time lines. Some markets are open when others are closed, an the peak is not with the Western continents, but with the central and Eastern continents where the most gold is. Forex is bout trading currency from one form to another, so obviously you need to have something to make something.

If you were to trade with the Euro and the Yaun then you could catch them at the same time. Forget about the Yen, it is a pittance in volume and worth and honestly is on borrowed time. It is not actually worth much nor is there a lot of it. If you

observe the amounts of money in each coffer at market close each day you would see that it remains static more or less as the markets close to the previous day, after the money has circulated and people find comfort in certain currencies - imagine holding tight onto your nest egg as you sleep wondering what will happen? Concentrate on Central Asia and Europe as they have great volumes. The listed currencies on Forex are the best ones to work with of course, as they were chosen to be worked with by the traders to promote 'faith', yes?

Now, if you were to observe the market close each day you could pin point the previous days close. Then in your free time you could factor in seasonal changes and new graduates that will enter the market for loans for houses, but this is usually done at graduation or as they find a job. A huge cluster of this is done at the same time each year, not on the same day, but in the same month more or less. So, you could find the spring break loans coming in to grant the parents luxuries for their kids, like time share and camping, and see... oh... there they go... to some paradise somewhere! This will probably have a tourism only economy and then they will be able to convert capital into 'expirables', yes? With this in mind you could also see that everyone has spring break of summer at different times hemisphere to hemisphere, duh! I am sure you could do the math with your broker...

To trade stocks as I said is a three hundred trillion dollar a year market. If you were to observe the shipping routes you could gather, with minimal research, like getting off your ass and phoning about three people, when new ships will be built. If you were to see that cargo will then be spread and delivered faster en masse to places then you could also gather that the delivery of goods allows for clearing the bottleneck of back log for orders and provides for new orders to be made. This will mean that more money will change hands, so even as slow as they do build ships, they get built and generate more 'space' for orders, generating more needs for the industries. All economies work off of needs in the form of raw materials processed into useful things - period. If you were to buy something you have a need for it physically or emotionally, yes? If not it won't get made! This gets processed by the commercial sector and then orders are placed by the middlemen - one of the six tax points we have identified of at least six tax points.

Otherwise, I think you prefer stocks, yes? Let me conjure up another remedy for an empty bank account... oh yes!

Now, if you were trade stocks with other traders, let's say that you swap them, you could easily make cheaper deals in bulk. If you buy, say, a lot of something, you could exchange it for a lot of something else, yes? This means that you could get to the good deal quickly and grab it and swap it at a profit, allowing the producer to deliver and then proceed with the next order - the opposite practice of the automotive market at the moment what with all their back logs and what what, yes yes?

I hope you can find a group of traders and make sweet deals - bulk is good, the

early bird gets the worm... duh!

Seems now the administration wants to allow for tax cuts money to go into furthering the businesses, yes? As I have explained before the worst way to spend your state money is with tax cuts because that means that the money vanishes basically - you pay yourself and you do not get anything out, yes?

Now, to make this work, they need to simply take what they have and then make a way forward by making the money grow for the businesses by making them private banking accounts that have great returns. This can be accomplished if you observe the state of affairs of when a lump sum is thrown together the small business may get an equal share and the big business is given a minor share for them, but how to regulate this?

Simply the investments time is at hand. Selling land on the outskirts of the city to developers will raise plenty of capital for the state and that will go into circulation at the six tax points fully restoring the revenue spent.

Now, to take this further they could easily take the small businesses and merge them. If all small businesses were to merge they would be bigger businesses, yes? This would provide more assets for each business and then they could become, for lack of a better term, big business!

What do they gain out of merging? If every small business was to merge for the sake of survival, think of the asset worth and net worth with regards to loan credibility they would have, not like they would need a loan though! Maybe if say twenty businesses were to merge together then they would have enough resources to pool together and become a major player on the markets?

The reason money is actually dissolving from the market place is the bottle neck where it cannot circulate with pessimistic investors. This means if one bank has all the money the other bank cannot do anything and there is no activity and there is no taxation and money is 'destroyed'. With the seasonal release of new people in search of loans with qualifications this means that there is a desperate need for money in the world, yes?

Now, if there was to be some righting of this, please observe the net worth of a business. If many small businesses were to band together and merge then they would easily 'weather the storm'... and grow! This means that they will quickly make money from here or there, as there is no alternative to their service in the whole city. Sounds a bit like a monopoly, but bear with me please. This could go from country to country where they could import goods, but prefer to buy local. This international ring will keep prices down, but not that down, so expect to pay more... with... your job you would otherwise not have!

Okay, so my sweeping reforms are not in the mix, oh what to do now?

Basically the sole trader could help themselves and the markets by investing 'correctly'. With each asset you own you are worth so much more, so, buy all you can. Join a group where you all buy everything you can, especially small businesses. This will lead to you gaining 'stable stocks' that will always perform because people want to try a new product, and that can be pumped into surveys on how to improve the product, yes? This will lead to new brand power. This will also lead to those businesses buying stocks in their competitors and then they will shore them up too, maybe buying them out, they keep their jobs, the business expands, voila, good all around.

The incentive for the sole trader is the capital in the form of assets they will 'collect'. If they buy these power houses, small they may be, they will always take money home. Little change will lead to modest gains, but for them they collect appreciating assets... great stuff, yes?

SO go bonkers on small businesses, forget about wall street, that is too competitive and will lead to everyone taking home a share, go for the kill! Think if everyone shares what they make on wall street then they will all come away at a modest gain, wouldn't you like to walk away with a whole business? Then you can collect these and merge them into one company. Starting with resources is a great way to build an empire, ending with tech will lead to a vast array of fully working operations, and, will lead to less costs or you. On the plus for the state the state will be able to keep all their money and see it spread 'thinner' over more area, yes?

Go all in on small businesses that are performing, and then play with them. Most of them should survive, like pushing small birds from the nest. Is only nature silly!

Another easy way to make money as a sole person without capital would be to sell yourself as a service. All companies need an extra pair of hands, so, selling yourself as a personal assistant for the day for a nominal fee would lead to a major relief of stress for people that need some menial work done in great volume, yes? Like filing, for example, could be done by just about anyone that can read and write. A two day contract could lead to much input into the squatter camps or townships of an area and then there will be capital there. Services = capital = stress relief where you could spend your time trading Forex or stocks, for example, or market yourself better, or just play solitaire, or even go do some shopping, balancing our time and helping the country. I am sure ten dollars, five pounds or one hundred Rands a day wouldn't hurt anyone! Think of all you could do with your time. All you need is this allowance for time management - remember you are supposed to deliver, not be there to deliver, yes?

Strengthening all currencies could do the trick, yes? It is possible for all currencies to grow simply by adding a few zeroes onto the currency and then seeing the markets try to adjust to it, slowly, through currency trading. With this they could add zeroes to people's bank accounts, then cut the zeroes off five minutes later and voila, you have your imaginary money.

Prices will adjust slowly too, not knowing how much to charge, but for that day you could collect assets from companies that are not ready or asleep and then buy buy buy for the rest of your life on the markets, like Christmas for all traders, importers and people like that that will affect the economy positively.

Now what I am charged with is raising the South African currency. The problem with that is that when someone goes up the others go down, so...

To get this right we need to manufacture more gold quickly, so that is still the norm for a currency's strength, yes? This can be done with sand, tubs and compression and heat, or...

We could easily try to make the currency stronger by buying all the gold as commodities. First come first serve? We could work out a deal with Africa to buy ALL their gold and then they will have actual money that is respected globally to pay off their debts, which is not gold based, yes?

Now with that in mind we will have so much more money based on gold and then we will be able to print more money and flood the country while the other countries can lend more money for development or pump it straight into assets for their country, 'in sourcing' for building or raw materials, opening doors for more development - there is still tons of raw materials in the world, and all we will need in the end is wood which is 'eternal'.

Or of course we could sell all our gold, pay off our debts, grab development, and so forth.

No, they are based on assets of the country, land and vehicles and buildings. They are on the actual soil of a country. The currency is used to reflect the wealth of the country, that there is a dollar for every thing to trade, therefore it is actually false to base it on gold. Gold was used because it was pretty and worth a lot as if it were compressed money. But seeing as how the state will not follow my advice...

Now I advise the people to print money for themselves and exclude the government from the circulation. They can be paid as if they were a company and work on what the people decide is the best amount of money. They can use anything they want, like a barter system.

What if a whole city slashed their currency for internal trading and then observed how it works on the outside for trading with other cities or 'markets'. It could be like a provincial or state standard, yes?

Now if there was a way to get rid of the state they could easily brush them off and trade as if they had no fears. If everybody does it at the same time, there is no way they can arrest everybody. If they rally, it is only a few people. This is the 'people's

way out of the recession', you bet your ass!

Simply slash two zeroes off all the prices. See what happens. All of a sudden people can afford more, food costs less, tourists flock there. The only place where you fall behind is imports, but what do some countries have to worry about imports for, it is exports that matter! Every country has metal mines, livestock... what could go wrong?

Cholera

If it were to be observed that Cholera takes away from electrolytes and water supplies, replacing the water is easy, it is the electrolytes that cause the problems... oh yes!

Electrolytes can be administered by adding meat to the diet as that contains 'metals' as far as I am aware.

So, simply feed them kids lots of that mutton and water. Sorted?

Politics in south africa

With the state of affairs in South Africa it has come to a boil that the leadership is calling each other people that expect as if it their divine right to lead. The question that must be asked is... why? Why would the divine give right to lead, or why would the people give a divine right to lead? Seeing as how it is not up to the powerful to elect leaders, it is up to the people, but I think it was a matter of someone getting out of hand throwing their toys out of the cot as it were, yes?

Now how is this backed up? To point at someone else and make them appear infantile is bad sport, but politics is not fun and games. Think of how much is said positively and how much is said negatively... really! Now when it comes to backing yourself up, that is positive, like building a house. When you are in the running do you build a house to rule from, or demolish the other persons house? That the other person did not have the mike first mean that they will either choose fight or flight, and then it comes down to ability and charisma - never underestimate charisma, yes?

If the other guy is to make a submission they will look mature though, so this is actually centering the focus onto them, making them it, making them the thing on the minds of the voters. Without a spare thought for the other candidate they will become a vote of a certain type called 'plan b' with little known about them.

Just deserts.

Racial tension in the near east.

The racial tension between the Jews and Arabs is a old problem. It is said to be about land, but what is wrong with crossing the border with a passport to get to worship at the places you desire? You cannot move the place, and your house is not nearby, so it is a day's drive or so to get to once a month or so, yes? Would the Palestinians actually move closer to these places? They would likely remain closer to the places they are at now.

There is also a problem with refugees from wars that need to get to Palestine. The Arabs have no money to facilitate them, but can easily donate a cheap tent each and a few dollars a day to the cause of feeding them, yes?

When it comes to racism it is based on physical differences - presentation. The person looks different, they are perceived as being different by the sub conscious, the base operations of the brain will alert the person to a lacking of familiarity with the other person.

To get past racism we need to look past what we see. Think of dress codes between 'factions' of the youth - this is also identity and will make you look more like someone else and less like someone else. This unfortunately causes fear! Fear is not good, trust is good. Familiarity is good to condition the brain to recognize the other person 'type' - comfort zones will be established, yes? This could be done with children with integration, or, with adults, as it is never too late to change.

Young adults and mature people could try to simply force themselves to talk to these people. They will gain more knowledge with these people and then the subconscious will not fear them, as long as it is not false, yes? If it is about getting something done, like work, well look at my country South Africa, people are so comfortable with other races today "by hook or by crook" due to delusions of self image of being a trendy open minded person or due to interest in the other culture true.

Now in the Near East there have been many attempts to bring the people's together. What is a man to think if they both need food and the other will not accept that the prior is feeding them? What is a man if they do not accept that they share a planet with them, and if religious, what is a God that creates men as they see fit and has this input or their creations refused by others? I take it is not religious!

So, if there is a problem with race based on skin color, does it hurt anyone, does it take food off the table? If you genuinely don't like someone for whatever reason it is your business, but seeing as how it is about land, well, what makes a place holy? Is it due to what has happened there? What has happened there? The people will all celebrate with each other on a bench in the park like thugs, territory is for animals! Your home is all you own, the state owns shrines, and gangs own areas that they 'piss' among. How do you want to be in a gang with the Lord? Make a

new place special, build a new shrine, heritage is due to archaic laws where the assets are seized by cave people from the fallen, and some wise guy said that they were related to them. A dead person is not physically related to the child, land is not physically related to God He may appear anywhere. It is not asking them to move for you, it is you building a new house for them and if all land is theirs they may come and go as they please. If they were to smite the Jews with some fireballs then there would be clear signs of this, but, if the people were to see a temple fallen, is it not the fault of building a house on the beach and seeing it carried away with the tide and then building a new one? If you were to build a second shrine for all the others to worship at, why not build a second temple for you to worship at too? If you can pray in your house, then you can pray wherever and can build a new temple if you wish to gather to worship, yes?

Well well, money you say? Hard to imagine how that comes about... yeah! If there is a problem with gold and coal and oil they can be produced locally, so fighting over desert sands is stupid, yes? It is the fear that you will find it hard to live with encroaching settlements that makes for a hard time for both parties.

So, to stop this racism, maybe we could try something new?

If there were to be a way to make people accept each other, including European ethnic cleansing, then it could come down to drugs that make you sleepy, dousing your fears, but then people won't be able to work, so...

If there is to be a 'cure to racism' then it has to appeal to the subconscious. The best way to do this would be to use sex, where the sexual content portrays members of the opposite sex as being desirable. Heard of guys that say they like a country because of a musical group? How about media getting involved with breaching out and publicizing the works of other regions in the area? This would lead to the other region being a part of their lives, say, a week day broadcasting of languages and images from other regions? Other than mass hypnosis this is probably the only way to do it, like a jack hammer to the brain where they will 'pop' and accept the other 'race'. Racial rejection only goes so far before the reflex is to accept it.

This is also a recurring problem. To 'solve' it... like... right now... we need to pass a UN law where every time a rocket is launched the place where it was launched from is investigated and then a picture is taken and reversed in light refractions to see the identity of these people and where they went. That takes care of hostility!

Now, to end racism totally, they need to pass a law where the words black, white and Jew are not mentioned at all. Every time this is said they will be reminded that they are different, but calling each other a guy or girl would just mean that they are fellow humans or citizens. Or they could learn to laugh about it, take it as a joke, that we are all different. Then they could say that each other has big ears, like those of a different race, yes? This either way would lead to the softening of racism, and then it will become competitive like it is in the west where people try

to be more politically correct than others, like an employee of the month all the time.

To stop racism they could just point out that there is no real difference between the people other than their tastes and skin color. That is all that divides people, like your neighbor has different pigment to you and different tastes, so do people all over the world. Not finding fault with your neighbor, why find fault with your neighbor far away?

It is a social relay disease, where the parents and peers of the people dictate the norms for the children and they develop a way of accepting this into their knowledge and reflex mental reaction base. This is also due to them looking different, as if there was someone wearing some flannel while you are wearing leather, for example. This simple differences identify and restrict people in their way of life and then they will be part of things and look down on other things, because everyone wants to feel special.

So, with that in mind, there are no words I can type here that will further this cause I feel, until later of course when I can take another 'crack at it'. This means it could all be done by subliminal messages through media, but that is immoral? I think not, maybe advertising? Simple hypnotic messages in articles where they are told to accept one another and then that they will be punished for this or that they will prosper if they do. State cleared, of course.

Okay, now to stop racism we need to gauge what sensory input there needs to be to make it into the subconscious, as that is where it comes from. Now when it comes to racism people are all about looks and cultures, or what they perceive as cultures, okay?

For people to see everyone else as a fellow human being they need to dull their senses into non recognition of the person that is of a different race to them. This can be done with alcohol, or, seeing as alcohol has properties, we take the mentally relaxing ones - as racism is based on fear - maybe with excess amounts of Kava in the system - a plant that relaxes people without interfering with clarity - will not only relieve stress but also make people relax around each other, especially in 'racist' realities. With enough of this in food stuffs, there could be tolerance everywhere!

More jobs

There is a need for more jobs today in all countries. The easiest way to get a job is to go work at a company for free and get them used to having a extra pair of hands and then employing you due to a need for you, yes? But how about something fresh?

If you were to get a job you either join a company or you make a company. If you were to deal the companies rules saying they need to expand, with land

development, big companies could build housing around the city center and then the companies would see themselves fitting in there with more jobs to deal with needs from supermarkets for food to components for things that they will need to sell.

The largest sector is technology and food stuffs. If you were to provide things that people find luxurious like entertainment and foodies then you will make money. The problem is you cannot hand down rules to companies to over tax them, but, you can start your own companies. If a business is in trouble please realize that you can liquidate and buy your assets back actually making money, changing the name of course, but how many names are there out there?

So, you want to start your own business, huh? All you need is capital, oh yes! The best way to do this is to start a industrial style business - a factory. Later today if you see there are a lot of pledges for this then you could branch upwards to a commercial sector business, yes? So, how do you start a 'factory'... how about in your garage? Think of your work force that do not have houses that can come work for you - one hand washes the other. Basically you do not need capital for this, and I am speaking to young school leavers that need an income and a way in life. If you were to grab a few odds and ends you could produce, not these airy fairy bead necklaces, but work on your own brand of products. You will need metals and plastics, but let's work with plastics, yes?

If you were to take a empty drum and a few charcoal bits, or take an old barbecue, then you could shape the plastics and use a simple mold that you could produce with the barbecue tongs, making the plastics soft. Then you could try to produce things you think people will need, like... buying electronic components, which as yet are cheap, then producing, maybe not the first time, a radio or cell phone! This is a huge market and as I said the electronic components can be picked up with your pocket money, oh yes! Then you will be as if it were a home bakery, allowing your ability to flow. Think of how many people would pick up these items if they are taken care of, think o the capital you could gather and then join to form a real factory! But, all things start with raw materials, so...

Producing your own cement is a good idea. You could easily do this by taking excess plastics and sand and throwing them into your barbecue and then molding them into a great sticky grainy cement. Then you could apply for a land development grant, with your assets being the 'cement', allowing for surety on the loan, and then build your own structures. The world is hardly covered with buildings in urban areas, or, you could build upwards - think of the longevity of something like this! Then you could sell them at a pretty penny and see all sorts of needs arise and be satisfied, expanding other companies or making new ones... oh yes!

Everyone could have a job if they had capital. To get capital you need skills and certificates, or something that makes you above the rest. This still requires money from the bank, so we need to harvest resources without capital, making a way to

more jobs through something that is freely available. The main question is getting paid, so, if you want to get paid without working, above the dole I suggested, then listen closely!

If you want to get paid you need to make a business venture. When there is a need for a service you will find that the people will be paid for it. Simple things like services should be used, but what service is so common yet overlooked? How about tending to barbecues while others relax and mock you for having to work? How about riding a bicycle to wash cars and being a domestic? If every household, even municipal housing, trailers and that, were to have a cheap domestic, you could build up your capital. This could be achieved by a contract where you deny the unions and enrich yourself - perfectly legal. Only, at most, thirty three percent of a country is unemployed, so that means there is a shortage for this service! You could easily clean, cook, washing, mopping and do anything while home owners are settling affairs elsewhere, as easily as registering a cell phone, yes?

All we need to do is to get the billionaires involved. Cannot reach them? Then how about their bank accounts? That is where all the money is. What is needed is less repayment on small business loans, and great repayments on big loans. This will discourage walmart from expanding and increase the amount of small businesses in the 'lime light.'

What we need to do is get the money out of the banks and into the hands of the majority. I propose a bank account scheme where the billions make up new accounts, like hedging - that is legal? - and then these huge accounts just float many smaller ones. I am not talking about borrowing from them, I am talking about making it legal to just give out small business loans based on how much money the bank has - that they just go about loaning money to people. They will be able to reclaim from the reserve due to them being citizens of the country and therefore covered by the reserve bank. Oh joy.

And of course we need to make other avenues for people to be employed. If there is a need for something, then it will be satisfied, because, as soon as one business starts up, they provide a service for the rung above it. This means that they will either use the new service or the older established business expands and hires more people. It also delivers a need to the rung below it that makes that more comely for others that want to supply a need for this. This leads us to the raw materials areas...

The most important thing is that the raw materials are supplied for the country. This means that they will go up in value as the world expands around them. Then there will be a demand for more metals, foods stuffs, and plastics and industry. If these are not supplied the whole thing will flop.

The best way to employ the youth is through getting votes. They could have petitions they collect and sell to the parties that be throughout their state. The real problem for the state is that they need ideas that benefit both the person who needs the job and their bank

account.

This can be done by marketing. If they got their marketers to find the undernourished parts of the market, they could set up a business sector investment in the youth that facilitates this sector. Then the unevenness will settle all over the related sectors, and then there will be growth up to how the structure was before...

Let's look at IT? IT serves a sector it gets supplied from and supplies to. If The sector that supplies IT was invested in by entrepreneurs, then the demand for it's supplier would go up. So, IT's suppliers suppliers demand goes up, so does IT's supply go up, and there is definitely a demand for IT. By supplying one of the resources you supply the rest of the market.

With more people working there will be a demand for more foodstuffs or something, so there will be a knock on effect. I think it is best to start investing in the raw materials, or at the other end, not sure which really.

Teen flings and grades.

Teen flings are a relief of hormones, but too much leads to even more time being devoted to the thoughts of the other person. If someone can divide their time between studies, hobbies and hormones, then that is good.

Actually I think it is a cultural thing. If the person is heard of as having a 'fling' they will feel more loved by their peers, yes? The other option is to work hard in school and get love from their parents, but obviously people want to be loved by people far away from them that makes them feel bigger in the society, so...

If the teenagers were to be rewarded with testimonies weekly by teachers as to how they have performed, and have their free time granted to them depending on this, they would work hard to sex hard... oh yes! Or...

They could try to reward the teenagers with monies for their grades, but that is old, so...

The teachers could allow pupils to skip days of school by performing well, say Monday. If they were to perform well they could sit the day off with the rest of the class doing their work for them on the Monday where they will get it into repetition and gain more exercise for their own good.

With the standard of education as it is most of the work done is revision anyways. How often do they actually learn something new? Each week they might branch out into new areas, so, dividing the classes into areas where pupils are separated and then giving them days off this way due to work load processing would mean that the kids could take days off and maybe have assignments.

Alternatively they could try to set them assignments on Monday and Tests on Wednesday and Reports on Fridays. This would allow the relief of work load from the teachers and pupils for the achieving classes. Why do lazy pupils mingle with hard working ones? There is no stupidity here, just sloth, due to these 'flings'.

The teachers could make them hate flings by letting them play spin the bottle in the classroom! If they were forced to say no then they could get a hard line towards this sexy stuff and feel shy and then get this romantic social posture nonsense out of their systems. If they say yes then they will do so after class for the last five minutes, or at the beginning of class to excite the kids and stimulate brain activity so they could work harder and, yes, listen!

Perverts online

To stop sexual child abuse and child sex rings we need to take finger prints where the child was last seen. Simply brushing the surface of school gates each week would help pin point parents and visitors in parks and schools, but mainly in parks and malls, yes? This would help, but we need a cure... oh yes!

If we were to use a camera and reverse the light reactions, we can rewind the 'photo' so that we can get information on all crimes committed, if we know the place they were committed at. The photo carries light gamma rays or something, which I have previously researched on a simple wiki light look in, and then we can capture the light refractions off of the solids and then get a clear picture of what happened, yes? This could be done with a computer, of course, and then all crimes can be solved quickly.

The method is where the light reflects off of the surface of solid matter and is actually a few million years old, as it travels at the speed of light and then bounces off of surfaces. This could mean that, easily, we could find the children and then solve all other crimes too, yes? Rewinding the photo would mean taking the light in the photo, or digital camera from something the size of a web cam or something, and then capture the light 'refractions' gaining depth and color into the case at hand. The picture could be taken by the camera and then, seeing as how it is millions of years old, yet gone, be taken by reversing the reactions of the light, 'bringing it back' by taking the photo and entering it onto a computer and then rewinding the image of the area, getting a good look at the identity of the person and then through multiple images, maybe a hand held camera to allow for the following of the 'event' physically, to the whereabouts of the people.

Of course then you could also get a good look into the history of cultures and events, but especially other crimes.

Cheaper plastic surgery

Plastic surgery could be made simpler by using snail gel as a basis for 'better' skin. If we were to take a 'ugly ducking' and simply administer some natural stuffies to them, there would be a much better market for this, yes?

If we were to take the agents for, would it be skin 'fats', or bone mass... well, if we were to go as far as cell mass? Yes! Cell mass it is, skin and fats - that must be the way to make someone look nicer.

This could be done by making the cells larger or smaller, or 'organs' below the skin to reshape the face of the person, yes?

Now, if there was a remedy or system for this it would need to be... fat building or fat destroying on these areas, cheap and simple, but, if it needs to go as far as bone structure... where the face is not properly developed or something...

Calcium in milk or similar substances could be used to make bones grow out of proportion to how they used to be, yes? Or similar calcium destroying things could be injected [sorry but no oral stuff this time!] into the bones while under sedation.

This is more effective and much cheaper than today's surgery, yes? Maybe if all the technical work was done by conventional doctors it would be done quickly!

New lie detector thing.

For the new lie detector test we should try to use a neural impulse decoder. This could be accomplished by taking a blood pressure reader and seeing when the body feels 'nervous' or 'glad', dictating fear, easily readable by the accomplished people of medicine.

Or, to be more accurate, we could easily try to use a nervous system reader to read the neural impulses of the person 'in question'. This could be done by taking a electric reader of an electrician and measuring the amps of someone that lies and tells the truth, easily seen by systematic study, unless, the subject thinks they are telling the truth. Asking men questions about affairs or something that is hard to answer would do the trick, yes? This is similar to a lie detector but works on actual impulses, dictating the fear of the questioned accurately. If there was a way to see what was really going on we could try to make a way to see what is a lie and what is the truth, yes?

Basically if the person was to raise their pressure due to continuous questioning they could be so fearful they could tell the truth and it could be considered a lie. So, to tell the truth from a lie we could simply put the person to sleep and whisper questions into their ears, giving the best results possible. This will give a great array of answers to questions and then the person's conscious will not interfere, but...

For a in house inquiry where the results are show in the court room we could easily make the wrist watch idea of mine where the person is hooked up to the wrist watch to 'detect lies' and measure their brain activity, which could be used during pauses as they lie to

make the lie work. Answering correctly is always desired, but the truth is always altered due to change, so, the longer the pause, the greater the change and the more the person is lying or diverging the truth, yes?

Pauses should be used as an indicator of lying, obviously.

Now, for this to work better they need to make it fool proof! This could be accomplished by making them write an account of the ordeal and then the hand writing specialists could decode it. Getting them to write a page without being able to rub out things would mean it would be an elaborate lie indeed, yes, but still possible, so...

A heat detector could do it. When someone is accused of something, with 'venom', they get hotter, yes? When someone is afraid they get colder, yes? Now, with this in mind, a super sensitive reader could easily read the person's lies from the truth and then reveal the truth... oh yes!

Morality

Anyone can be immoral. It is society that makes you moral or immoral, yes? If you find that something benefits society, it is moral. To steal benefits yourself, but to benefit the other is 'helping' society - to give more than you take! To do this is good and is flawless under societal ideals, yes?

Now to be immoral would not be to not worship God, to the contrary, if you wasted your time doing this instead of helping others it would be anti societal - immoral, yes?

Ponder what it is to be anti societal and immoral. Middle class is immoral! Lower class that hears and helps each other is moral. It is that simple.

Trojans!

To make a good anti virus software program you could simply use the active programs that are called upon and then deliver them up to the anti virus program. This will see which programs are being used and 'protect' them. Those that interact with the computer without the computer calling for them will result in the program being identified and then monitored.

Simply it works on what happens. If something happens and does not relate to the users input, say a program is stationary for a minute, and then suddenly begins working without the user's input, then it is 'isolated' and dealt with.

Eta

This faction is potentially a threat to the well being of the people of Spain and France mostly. They want a separate state, so, they need to win elections. They can afford to sell their homes and move to a small village where they can all be 'together', yes? This requires minimal costs and changes in their lives too! Or...

They once, their past leaders that is, to win the people and land over with politics. It is too late now, so they could all just fade into the masses and use their 'passion' to heat 'debates' up so that the logic can get through.

There is no point in killing people for 'attention'. The state either responds or it does not, and always serves the people. The state cannot 'submit'.

Strikes

There are strikes that have been going on for weeks now, nearly crippling the economy. The obvious thing is that they want more money, yes?

This would set the state back quite a bit, but the cost of living must be going up because otherwise they would not be doing this. The state sits and barks orders and the people need to be paid. They are not asking for a great sum, but rather a eight percent increase. With all the lower income in mind, that means another hundred and fifty million rands cost for the state per month, coming to about two billion or so annually.

The state collects taxes at six points so raising or lowering the taxes to twenty percent across the board would mean that they gross one hundred and twenty percent of what they pay out each year or month. This means that with a small amount of state loans they will be able to start actually repaying them at about ten percent or so, overcoming their debts slowly, or they could just sell land for development around the cities or outlying suburbs, bringing more tax in along with absolute capital income where they will be able to just pay off the loans they have themselves, yes?

Adjust taxes, remember that the more money you dish out the more you make back through re numerating your own currency, and voila, already you are all set!

The problem is the pessimism after the 'recession'. The recession was not caused by some housing bollocks in the states, it was lack of lending between banks where the money did not grow in confidence and pledges nor interests. This led to a gradual demise before a head long plunge as the markets 'tried to hang onto the edge of the cliff', and then it was like what a mess the dog where they really didn't

know what to do so got their money out investing in the biggest banks, leading to even less cooperation between banks, and when one person has all the money in a city there can be no trade unless there is resources, and that is at an all time low at a ratio of people per resources - again, lack of development!

Now, to get out of this rut we must be able to produce metals and oil artificially. Bio fuels does half of this, so with my theory of compressing sand and heating it to age it, we get metals - continued use will make gold of course, but that is outdated! Who uses jewels for their currency, it should be assets, meaning, the more assets you have the more your currency is worth, yes? If you produce a car or house, for example, it is an asset of the owner and the state, raising the state's own currency value too. More you make, more jobs, more capital, more investments... Hey yeah we going to have a party, yes?

I hope that takes care of all strikes, easily accomadated with my own plan that took about a month to fully form. Has anyone got any complaints?

Okay, once again I want all people to come away all winners, so, we need to satisfy everyone, yes?

If we were to take the strikers and simply pay them the wages they want the state could subsidize the businesses and then keep the wheels rolling!

This could mean that the state will pay more in to tax more and receive all their money back... and then some!

orphans

There is always a problem when orphans are left without parents. With enough money they can be cared for by the state. Or...

They could get convicted people to have a job if they adopt a child. These people have very little left other than the prospects of love in life, so should be able to be released 'early' if they adopt a child, yes? This second chance could be rejuvenating. Monitoring the prisoners and deciding which have truly reformed with snap shots of what they are doing, maybe with a subliminal test where their responses dictate if they would commit a crime again if given the chance, then they could get off the hook and into a happy family as a true learned person who knows what wrong is and is not wanting to ever return to the life they led, leading to them being extra careful with children. Or...

They could build hostels around schools for these children, buying up property and then developing it to their needs for the kids. This is not ideal, but is better than having them roam the streets, yes? Society will benefit with this happy foundation for the kids I hope

mobs

The state of Russia today means they have a lot of mobsters in the house. Weeding these 'people' out would need some effort, but I feel up to it... duh!

Ok, first thing we got to do is find them, but how you might ask? Well they could simply take the president and ask them subliminal questions and to make sure they live they could live in their white house sort of thing as is practiced, yes? This alleviates the president at least, so he has a license to kill!

Now the president can appoint someone in the house, like the cleaner of the house, sending them to spy school. There is a lot of free time for them so they can snoop around here and there and earn a pretty penny as well while they enjoy their free time they could weed them out from within, observing then proving in a court of law what they are up to.

Educating women.

It is a common practice here on earth to put woman down. It is customary to make the man work for the family, and is a western custom to make the women work. If the man was to work harder, or marry their women, then the problem would be seen off, or...

We could tell the women, that, with the state behind them, they could form women only businesses! This would get rid of the threat of men being nasty to them when they want to work also, yes? Then they could provide more services for more income for themselves to spend on others woman's services.

All this requires is capital, and with a good loan the state could inject this into the economy and through the six tax points redirect the money to themselves again growing the GDP by twenty percent 'off the top.' Or...

They could all provide their own capital by doing simple work they normally do for a service. I am aware that in these settlements they would, for example, carry water or cook for others. They could charge for this service meaning there will be money changing hands and then they will be able to support themselves. Think if a man pays the woman they do not marry to care for them they will be looked after financially.... oh yes!

Oppression of sharia

I think, and I am sure that all 'free people' think, that Sharia law is oppressive. I back this up with the idea that people that need to alter their lives are less free. But, if someone is to wear clothes as a custom, if you look at the customs of Africa a few centuries ago they did not need to wear clothes, then it is all 'subjective', yes?

For one people to say wearing clothes is good and the other to say it is bad then that is fine. To punish someone for not following the customs would be silly though because they do not harm anyone! They might make a person unable to resist talking to them, but other than that it is not a force of any kind, so should not be met with force!

Otherwise, apart from head scarves, the adultery thing does not, evidently, make for a bad society. Once again absence of force should not be met with force! If someone does something 'passive', then they have not harmed anyone nor made life harder for anyone, so, if they were to commit adultery, then they should be fined, at most, but what for? Have they torn the family apart? If so then the family could have been in the wrong frame of being, as they would easily be torn apart by a weak husband, and not a weak woman. It is up to both parties to commit this act, so, they must be mutually inclined.

If this is lust, it is natural, but to break your 'certificate' and then devour the flesh of another is not moral, so, if there is any action to be taken the certificate must be taken away rather, punishing the husband and wife of both parties as to not be allowed to be together any longer, not a physical beating, but rather a loss of love they should otherwise not be allowed to keep!

Or of course they could just forget about it and then move forwards... Lingering hostility is bad news.

Alternatives to uranium

Iran needs more power! If they are not allowed to enrich uranium, then there must be a viable alternative choice!

Now, if they were to take the fission of isotopes, the binding needs to be lower than that of the prior form, so taking a solid and making it a gas has a less binding value, making it a great alternative to uranium, yes?

Water! Liquids form from ice, or gas form from water would do the trick. Use a laser, maybe on sand or wood then, yes? Uranium is the only thing that was used though! So...

If they were to take some metals and then strip the atom down to the things - the strands - that hold the atom together, they could compose their own atom, but that is so last year... yeah!

Think of a simple solid metal holding this together. Taking away the troublesome things will allow for 'holes' to be 'filled', and then you could add more strings or 'delete' them too. This may take time, but is cheap.

When it comes to making bombs out of these they could simply send up rockets that magnetize the area so that the atoms become south polar and then north polar excited, scrambling them - let's call this the magnet bomb, yes? Or even a gamma ray to intercept the nuke could do it. Who will worry with these clumsy things any longer? Disarm!

Bio tech

Okay, the first thing you need to know about this is that all diseases are identified by the red blood cells and dealt with by them. If there are enough of them, think of a swarm of ants fighting a centipede - no chance.

If red blood cells do not beat the disease then they could easily just modify the red blood cells into a lethal cell. This cell could be injected into the system and then fight the 'disease'. If there was a way for the disease to be beaten then it must be fought by the cells by taking the red blood cells and merging them with something acidic, like bile. This could be done by taking this acid and letting it, instead of fighting them the previous way, they could dissolve the disease by smothering the disease cells in a glucose layer and then ejecting the acid into the cell, killing both the 'red blood cell' and the disease.

Now these cells would be artificial so they must be produced with the following in mind. They need to take a red blood cell and a bile duct, and have the bile duct produce the red blood cells. This could be done by taking the place where the red blood cells come from - the bone marrow - and then placing some bone marrow into the bile duct. This will produce these great little things that are needed. The bone marrow will remain alive in the body and be covered in acids to deal with the disease, and there is no threat of having duct acids in the blood stream, is there? If someone was injured and punctured their ducts into the stream then they would die if that was true.

Lethal operation, not too difficult, but let's make it even easier, yes?

If there bile duct was to be punctured in the advent of a disease, by a tiny long pin, it would gush acids into the stream, and things that it identifies as 'friends' will be

spared and devouring all those things that are whole things and not 'parts'.

Goodbye all diseases is what I say!

Making life a game

In life I have always found that it is fun to be a child. Children play games in their time and then develop a social conscious. Think of a nutty old man, he will definitely be a person who plays games and cleans up his place and then savors his 'territory', so, it is a 'primal' thing that leads to happiness!

Now the more social you are you will still feel 'empty', looking for more and more friends to satisfy what you think will make you 'full'. Drowning yourself in a world where you are not trying to impress people the whole time, or talking about things that do not matter, like what you did to your neighbors dogs toys when they landed in your garden, means you will be judging yourself, and usually winning, maybe without points, but it will be fun. I promise!

Playing the game of life around the office doesn't mean you don't do your work. Think of it as cooperative playing on a team, like a sport! This will lead to fullness and well being of your mind as it is always occupied - no more of this empty dragging yourself through the colas of waiting to talk, instead you will be talking to someone that always listens, if it is God or yourself, of course. This will keep the topic something you truly love and will obviously lead to prosperity.

Play the game! Yeah... duh.

Public spaeking

This is sort of like advertising. The person needs to be 'popped' with all the 'fear' inside them as that is holding them back from being all they can be, yes? This fear is social, so, to make them drunk and then act stupid won't work. What we need is a person who reaches out, who sees their wallet on the line, who is desperate and can... focus. Focusing fear is important, as it is energy. Attack! Go for it! Roll the dice - what you got to loose? If you have developed a thick skin, do not develop a pessimistic attitude. If you lurch forwards all of a sudden it is far better and more natural than waiting for the bell to ping on your muffins, yes? Being out of time and having to is much less good for you than simply a preemptive launch. Get them while they don't expect you, and your true natural 'glow' will come out. Think of all the falseness and cultural nos that people follow - think of shocking them out of it into a true conversation... oh yes!

Child slavery

This is a terrible problem in Africa and I suppose South America, Asia... hell all over the world!

Now to right this problem the authorities need to suppose a person buying a lot of groceries all the time would be feeding someone, usually a man, or even a woman. This will usually be all over the place, so 'marking' them [before you 'take them out'] would be advised. Tailing people in undercover cars to find out where they are going will find and destroy the franchise, then the children that are still being smuggled there need to be rescued, yes?

Using thermal imaging on the edges of places suspected or reported of children being held will reveal a lot of little bodies and them being still will mean they are, if uncomfortable, warranted for investigation - in rooms or lairs.

This could be remedied by - the molestations and prostitution - by a simple camera reverse reactions to follow them from the last spotted scene to the... well... where they are. Or...

They could easily take the same thermal imaging in the sewers and find all basements where there is someone for longer than twenty four hours and get a warrant. Or...

They could maybe try to use sonic radio waves 'from post to post' to detect sounds of unhappiness from rooms or anywhere in between. Cries for help, for example, could be answered, yes? Or...

They could try to gouge out the perps by using dogs to hear the sounds of agony and reward them with treats when their vivid hearing detects some of this. Maybe combining option three and four would do the trick, verifying the ordeal completely? Or...

They could try to detect these by water bills, for flushing and showering, which they would surely afford their captives, yes? If they want to keep their 'stock' clean for 'sale' or personal use then they will need to use a lot of water, more than the people in the house listed, and there is usually more than one in each house. This will get you into the ring, and or a lessened jail sentence, they will squeal!

More nukes

If it is power they want, like for making a power station, then they need to make a new form of power. They could do this by taking solar power and absorbing all the gamma rays they can. This can be one by taking the panel and then making it so they have cotton in them as well so they receive more power coming in, so, they will absorb the power more. This will be channeled out radio actively so that they can be absorbed into the metals of the panel, and then they can absorb much more power to be used.

If it weapons they are after, who will they use them against? Is it like a play ground where someone kids get candy and others do not? Think of it, when one country has a nuke there is peace, when two countries have nukes then there is stress and 'threats'. If there were no nukes then there would be one of two scenes, total peace, or, total hostility.

Now, to prove one or the other we need to look into human psychology because it is human beings that point these weapons at each other.

If one person were to have a gun trained on you and then withdraw from it, which is apparent here, then there would be an immediate surge for vengeance or survival. If the countries are militant, then they need to have a motive for their soldiers to attack or justify it to them. Or they could just want to be paid, so...

Offering jobs to these soldiers as police in foreign countries will remove the threat all together, yes? If they were to just have no army left they would be unable to defend themselves, the peacekeepers march in, the police see no laws being broken... what a mess? No! What a way forward.

With the threatening countries out of the picture then the whole world could disarm. The UN and ICC could outlaw military practice, as it is not defensive [defense force!] - it is aggressive, duh! The police is your defense force, and if everybody stuck to that nobody would even bother to attack a city... for what? To kill some kids and old people? Think about it, all wars are about land, but what is the purpose of borders? To keep people out. Facing the break down of borders they will come to the city and see no jobs and quickly go home to their families or however they used to live, not even worried about money any further, taking what they need instead, yes? In and out, quick look around, back home. The reason they stay is because it might be difficult to get back over the border the second time, but facing no way to reach their dreams of wealth they will no doubt return home. No need for borders, no need for wars, no need for soldiers, no need for nukes!

I think we can all agree to that... yeah!

Somalia

The war in Somalia is because of religious ideals. These can be met without force.

It is childish to resort to aggression to make someone else act like you. If you were to run a house that has rules, that is your right. To go to the neighbor with a gun and tell them what to do is not saving them, that is their house. So, who is right?

When someone fights for a way of life they need to keep in mind that their way of life is different to others. Think if they made a rule of what to eat on various nights, would that please Allah? If Allah wants to control your life, or at least 'call shots' in it, give advice, then Mohammad would have had a lot more to say. What was said is the basics of a good life, prosperity and joy and pleasing Him.

If He wanted people to die for His ways to be lived out he would send plagues against people in the west, yes? If He wanted to run your marriage totally, then He would give more instructions on how to be happy, and seeing as how He never gives advice on how to be happy, being happy yourself comes second to Him being happy. He is pleased when people do as He says, but that is slavery, yes? If the whole world was to live the same way then He would be even more pleased, but, what if the neighbor has a better understanding of how to live? It is not clear everything that is said, so leaving it up to clerics to deliver the word unto you would be the best choice - people that have their whole lives based on understanding and are clearly not fools. These people never call for 'arms', but rather patience, petitioning and so forth. If you love Allah you must listen to these wise men and then absorb what they say - or - you will become frustrated with your neighbor, one that lives differently, and then maybe resort to violence, yes?

Listen to your clerics. They do not want this! Please understand that this will not solve any problems, and only cause suffering and grief for all others. Now where does Allah say that you need to do these things? For a God to ever say that you must take the life of another, have you ever heard of joining a gang? Have you ever heard of adopting a child? Take... or give.

Now seeing as there are developed African states with air forces, this is not winnable. All they need to do is get all the people out of the city, then carpet bomb it repeatedly, leading to a total submission of all forces. They do not have any anti air craft weapons, so they will not lose a single plane. Imagine something like a farmer's jet coming over with some contact poison on board, something like anthrax? This will result in the city being saved and then the soldiers dying, and for what? Or...

Seeing as how the main city is on the coast they could use ships to launch some rockets into the city without reply. I am sure the Western coast of the near east could muster a small force and instead of having simulations they could target practice into this city, using live ammo and then getting crucial military experience, yes?

Please, listen to your clerics. How are your ideals different to those of other human beings? Be reasonable, that is what divides us from animals!

Stopping murders

Murder is a real problem, and ending it would be grand. Let's see what I can do this time?

Okay, if you got rid of incentive to murder, by outlawing gangs, providing money - like socialism [think of how much murder there was then!] and maybe even threw a bit of advertising on how to deal when you are accosted by a person you think will murder you, not through force, but through 'verbal dissent', you could scare the person who will be feeling high at the time.

The high feeling comes from a sense of power over you, or fear of you. Of course without money being a driving force, maybe with a dole system of something, providing assets through my economic system, would take away the fear of not having. Then there is greed, but, if you know there is no way forwards financially, then there will be no problem. So, back to 'aggression actually'...

To deal with someone that is offensive to you you should always point at them. This is a social humiliation where they will feel as if they are a bad guy socially and being a social animal they will be scared all of a sudden. Then you need to confront them by walking right up to them! Point at them and walk up to them... they won't know what to do. Heard of the hunter becoming the hunted? That is what they will feel socially! This is terrible and will at least rip out their spine and make them weak, so a woman could easily overpower the man as the muscles relax.

Well that is more or less the same thing. But hell I haven't heard of my technique being used yet, so let's think of a new one?

How about if the women - usually - were to make eye contact. Women could develop a penetrating gaze that will blind the man or cause him ocular impairment temporarily with the right look, according to "covert instant hypnosis", you could deter or even intimidate someone that has gone so far as to pin you to the ground. This is like fighting without fighting. Lucky I stumbled upon this!

Racial smells

Many people think others stink when they sweat. Think as an African when you meet a Swede and in summer and you smell their stench? Think as a white person when you smell an African in the mall? All these smells really make racism and trying to get along harder, yes?

The solution is to rub cream on, as if it were deodorant and make it out of stuff that kills smells. Excess deodorant will do the trick, but, if you want it to last you need to stop sweating all together. Think of municipal workers, they will sweat because it is a biological function, so we need to inform the brain that it no longer needs to sweat, yes?

To do that we need to eat the right foods. Basically it could be easily available a pill or something that makes you not need to sweat any longer. This pill could close all your sweat pores - aftershave in your system, or aftershave on your body is far more effective than excess deodorant. Lathering this on will result in closing the pores so no more sweat will come out, stuff we don't actually need. To put this into a pill would not be that hard, or, the state can easily put these chemicals into the water supply, or you could drop it into your own geyser through a novelty switch.

Then you can brush your teeth and get a kiss from me you desirable black women!

Making skin softer

Skin dries up with age and sometimes black people have harder skin than white people getting in the way of relationships. With my advent of getting rid of the smell of black people, Indian people, and all other 'smelly' people in whatever climate, let's move onto making everyone's skin as soft as a babies bottom. Oh yes!

Now to make skin softer you need to make the cells on the outer layer less dense. If you were to take the cells and then make them further stretched apart, by adding water, or any kind of plasma liquid, then you could make all skin softer. This could be done, once again, by rationing off doses into the dams of skin liquidating and moisturizing 'sticky' stuff - stuff that sticks to your whole body and then makes it easier for your skin to keep the liquids in, being the water, of course... like a gel that is drinkable.

I imagine the football players might not use this...

Communication

Wouldn't it be great if all people could understand each other, even in the most sensitive cases, where they would need to see right through the people? Well, let me take a crack at it!

Now, for this to take effect, the people need to form a knowledge base. Culture is

relayed information over a knowledge base, so, it is relayed from person to person, basically, yes?

So, for all people to be able to communicate they need to be able to understand one another. Verbal charades? No! I am speaking of tones in the sounds of languages. Babies identify objects then sounds for the object. But, how do they learn the 'linking' words between them? Is it through trial and error? How is it animals are able to communicate so easily with their young?

It all comes down to sounds. The sounds they learn as being relayed to them are really made from people agreeing in their community to use those 'descriptive' words. With our internal software we could easily out learn a animal, yes? They stick together through primal instinct - check - we have it too!

Now, as it becomes primal, one person will have to think of something and make up a sound, of one sound, for that thing. The other person will be able to tell what that thing is by the sound the other makes - I have done this with my nephew a few years ago and he knew what I was speaking of when I made one syllable. Then if it can work for us, it can work for you. This will be ready quickly, but communication will be slow, as people haphazard through it and will find success at most turns, but not every one. This will clear up communication between whole continents and the world. The tones used should be done after they examine the thing, or 'charade the deed', and then they will make a guttural slur of some sort when they least expect it.

To make this into a written form, the western keyboard should be used to best describe the slur. The subconscious will decode it perfectly once agreed upon as a norm, as all nervous system information relays are the same, and then they will be able to make it into a written language for the whole of earth, uniting the world completely, yes?

Now we have phonetic communications! Now we have written communications! What is there left for me to do... huh?

Beauty therapy

If there needs to be a way for making people look nicer then it must be medical. Plastic surgery goes a long way in reconstructing the face, but there must be a cheaper alternative that won't make you look ugly in your old age.

Now when you need to look more beautiful some surgeons suggest snail gel. If this is true for restoring skin or skin health, then there must be a way to make someone look nicer naturally from the world we live in. So...

I am aware that most young people are ugly and develop into someone 'healthy' looking later in life. Then they will need to age their faces to look nicer, yes? Think of how good an old old woman looks, how she smiles usually? So...

If age makes people look nicer, then surely using growth hormones will make people look nicer quickly. Think of a developing face, think of a fully developed face, strip away the fat... voila! Most people that are ugly, in my mind, are people that have an 'undeveloped' face. Women need definition and men need muscles, yes? Bad skin can be remedied by snail gel, then you need to strip away the fat, so...

You could easily do this by talking a lot, developing muscles and then definition and then it is understood why shy people are usually not attractive, unless they are shy because they are attractive. The best long term remedy is to talk a lot, but to look good for a short while open and close your jaw a lot, maybe quickly, to slap on a face mask quickly.

Long and short term beauty, yes? Don't forget the snail gel!

But then we get onto fatness again. What an effort to lose them pounds!

So, if you want to lose weight, seeing as how fat is stored cell fuel, simply inject poison into your body, but not into the blood stream. The blood vessels make for dispersal of toxins throughout the body in a crucial way, but for poison from snakes or toxins like detergents to be smeared all over your body in those areas, the things will burn the fat right off you, guaranteed.

Facial and bodily beauty, ah, bliss!

Then there is body shape, including muscles. If all goes well then you could totally reshape your body to whatever you want it to look like with natural things, except the detergents that are probably nearly sold out by now... yeah!

So, to reshape your body, including bone structure, you need to identify that, first of all, bone shape is intensified by calcium. Finding the opposite of this, for example, especially big boned girls, would be... acids, yes? If you were to have the right particulars and a drip you could easily deteriorate the bone mass you have. Or to build it, say you are brittle or something, you could try to use plastics to inject into the bone, displacing the cells slowly, also through a drip. This is all in going things of course, and not natural.

Now to make bone therapy natural you need to rub the right amount of detergents onto your skin and watch as it reaches the bone, which it will. This is cell destroying of course, and with the materials you wish to add to your body you can observe lead poisoning which absorbs all this stuff, but does not get stuck in between cells. To make it add to the bone mass you need to heat your body and lie

so that gravity will pull the 'fluids' down onto the bones, which you will need to figure out without me, or course. These materials will be like putty, which is plastic, and if you can have synthetics into your body through face surgery, you will be able to add to your bone mass or at least reshape your bodily bones with this.

Now for muscles, well, do you want to actually have muscles or just display muscles? To display muscles you just need to add to your bones in a way that makes you look bigger, but to add muscle you need to usually exercise them. This can be remedied through growth hormones, but that is expensive, so, if you were to heat it - the muscle - then grow them with a fungus sort of thing, maybe like a grown toe nail spore thingy, then it would work, but, we need it to work for cells. This could be remedied by cell division of the muscles, so, you need to divide them through excess seamen being rubbed into your body on those muscles, givers of life, activators of cell division, even though they penetrate cells, they will be able to go into muscles and start a process that usually makes the 'eggs' start to divide into a baby that has parts, so will make the muscles divide sporadically.

You may obtain seamen from me. I will be glad to wipe it all over you and then you will develop muscles. That will start the cell division, and be in a warm place to prolong the life span of theirs, also available on a drip, yes?

Haven't you always wanted a tan? How about those self tanning lotions what you can smear all over your body? They don't last long though, as skin cells are always replaced, so they need to become permanent.

If you can get the best tone, say you are black and want to look Indian, or you are white and want to look Indian [seems the Indians have it... yeah!], then you need to increase or decrease your pigment.

If you were to want to become darker you need to usually absorb the sunlight and then lie in the sun where you want a tan. To keep it permanently you need to form a 'layer' of skin over your skin, but that will suffocate your body, as your skin breathes. This means you will need to keep each layer coming up. If sunlight is to make it darker, then maybe gamma absorption will do the trick? Go to your local laser guy and ask about that, it will be permanent, hopefully. The organ called the skin will be able to absorb radio creativity that changes the color of your skin, and then you will be able to change to red, orange, green, purple... anything you like. This will be especially good for albino's who need to fit in in their communities, or who would feel left out of it totally, yes?

For a dark skinned or black person to change their skin to a highlighted brown, or white, green, or whatever, they could go through the right channels of 'gamma correction'. This is something I know very little about.

So today I have made you speak the same language, soften your skin, and radically

change your appearance. I hope this helps!

The fastest way to being more facially a would have to be to blend a whole lot of vegetables and inject them into your face. This will help your body develop too, yes?

How fast this works is very because the veggies will not lose out from processing throughout the body and stomach, centralising all the development in your face.

As the whole lot is absorbed into your cells, you will develop as fast as a plant does, which is pretty damn fast! You should see results within days, as plants develop that fast. This is of course based on plants having growth hormones in thier juices and cells, and that common features are developed ones, meaning they will be grow your features to become less 'oppressed'.

More isreal

This problem could symbolize the emergence of genuine peace in the near east between the Semites. If they were to build these things they would need to take the homes from the Palestinians, but they will pay them to move. Animals have territory, if they want to look into history for justification of what land is theirs then they might observe that the first of their fathers were in fact nomads and had no real land. What is this a museum, a relic area to be guarded? It can make money and feed lots of people, it can otherwise provide shoddy housing for a few hundred people.

How about they sell the land to Palestine? Palestine could legally buy the bloody land and then keep their global image or whatever archaic customs alive with this shrewd marketing.

Is there anything more to this?

It is beyond me what isreal can do for the west. It is evident that some people would rather have the palestinians ignored, as they are militant and breed militants. These militants can be dealt with internally but they are not, and that is why this is so choppy.

If the city of jerusalem was partly and in part gifted back to the palestinians then there would be a great say for peace. Those that want to see a palestinian state should collect and buy those areas of jerusalem that are fought over. I am sure if isreal sold the city to an outside body, they could outsource there and then avoid this 'war'. Or, isreal could take a page out of hamas's book and mix the places exposed with palestinians? Then there would be sentiment back home to bombing refugees and the militants would have nowhere to

hide! Of course that is probably already happening and the blood lust is such that they really cannot have the thing sorted out yet. So...

Israel should buy the rest of the buildings in Jerusalem from Palestine and then have the people there become part of Israel. That will declare the whole of Jerusalem Israel and then they can flog out their border to keep the militants at bay. But this will surely result in more violence, so...

Does anybody have to stay there? They should all get out of Jerusalem and declare it a holy site for both sides of the story.

OK honestly this comes down to something primitive. We have a lot of people that like to be in certain places wanting to go there again, or killing who is there. This is like an animal. If they were called animals by their own clerics, then they would see the morale drop and hopefully rocket fire stop. What gives them the idea that killing other people across the border is the right thing to do? If they were as holy as they think they are then they should try to resolve the matter like civilized people. They have tried to get the Jews out of Jerusalem, and have failed. They need a new plan! They should observe that when they fire a rocket a lot of people die and nothing is solved. This has happened many times. The Israelis should go to war and capture the whole of Palestine the next time they attack.

It is all good to remove the possibility of weapons from the scene, but you cannot stop bomb blasts. Those will continue, blockade or not.

These people are not stupid nor blind, but they are blinded to the truth with all the noise in their heads about lousy Jews or whatever. In Palestine they need their own state, but to be recognised they have to talk peace. The leaders of Palestine want peace, it is not an order from the top to bomb a school. They should just send all people involved to Israel for a prison term.

To get rid of future bombings of Israel they need to have a weapons inspection, like they had with Iraq. If they get rid of all rockets from the stockpiles then they will be able to impose a blockade on Palestine so no rockets enter the country. I think they attack from fear that Israel will boss them around with their 'superior' armies. If they are serious about peace they - Israel - should move all military personnel to the south far from the city of Jerusalem. This will take all the military out from the border and place them in a good place to train stress free. If there is no army present, well, do well meaning people shoot at innocents if they are not scared?

As a further peace proposal they should try to combine armies, so that privates are swapped. Then they will just be like ambassadors for the state and then the soldiers will be more friendly and aware of any attack before it happens, and stop it, as soldiers are supposed to sometimes die, not civilians.

Yes Zionists have the media behind them so what people hear basically is based on what they want them to hear. This brings into the fold the ideal of 'inheritance', as all people

should benefit from things at all times, but with inheritance only one party or designated parties benefit.

Now, if you were to observe inheritance, it is stipulated, upon an old notion of what was right - a monarchial notion no doubt - that people should be able to inherit things from their fathers or family, and the same with land. This stems from family values.

Are family values viable on the political spectrum? If your family stayed in a place, should you have rights to that place? The answer lies in the ideals of this policy. If the policy states that through genetics people should inherit, then this is just a policy. If the ideals were observed through the lens, there are many people who lay claims to this land, as I have read in this thread, turkey, palestine and isreal. Now the family of britain is considered, on religious grounds, to be israel, but who had inherited it was palestine.

The only way out is if they both win. Palestine should become part of isreal, and vice versa. I have no idea what they will call it, but that is not really a problem. This was discussed in detail in two thousand and eight by people representing the west to settle the dispute, and this is really the only way out. An end to this would see the people of gaza leaving and becoming part of palestine again, or at least a halt to the loss of life. The loss of life is the problem for isreal, so I am sure they are fully behind this. Palestine would benefit from a richer state, and they could still hold elections.

To get the rocket attack into isreal to stop would mean much less aggression in the region. If the people of palestine would observe the fact they can visit by train or whatever the holy sites they long for, then they should not need to make attack on jerusalem.

It is a hop skip and jump to visit these sites. What good does it do to have it in your country - what about if it was in iraq? Then they would be fine with it?! Think for a moment, they are killing their own people in jerusalem - moslems and jews walk freely together without chaparone.

If there was to be a meeting point to this, if isreal is the bigger of the two, it will return parts of the city considered holy to the people that seek it. If it means that much to them give it to them - if it means one less death, who can argue? Presidents should be jumping in the way of bullets to save lives as that is what they are supposed to do!

Simply return the sites to palestine. Hell, why not return the whole of jerusalem to palestine? They will not lose resources, only buildings and taxes. If it means peace, what the hell are they waiting for?

If they don't want to return the sites at least to palestine, then why don't they dismantle the sites and send them to palestine? That would surely make some people smile?

Economic

think what is needed is a new financial plan. If the world needs money they should generate it as they will. If all the money stays in the hands of the state, and then come back to the state through taxation. This regulates the states money, or, the money of the country. There will be no inflation, as the state just spends on building and bail outs and job creation.

In this thread I will outline my ideas for a new world economy. I do not plan to put forward communist ideas or anything like that, as I like being wealthy!

The first point I would like to put forwards is a new economic assets and monetary amounts in a country. The assets of the country should be factored into the amount of circulating money, so, for each house and unused land there is, they should have that money made available for the public to use, if indeed they have value. This will take the amounts of circulating money in each country into the trillions or more. For each house or building, or even unused land, there should be enough money for them to trade that asset locally. Without this money they will be going into recession or at least negative economic growth.

I am going to pause here, as when my screen goes off the screen on normal reply mode it stays hidden.

Then there is the fact of unemployment. In my country there is fifty percent unemployment roughly, so this is a real problem in africa. To make more jobs they should scrap the education system and make that money available for direct work. The people that get jobs never use science or calculus in day to day things, so why train them for it? Language is picked up naturally, so that by sixteen they can enjoy a good job without having to worry about education. They should use a relaxed policy with regards to education, and that will save a lot of money. They say, well, some say, that education provides jobs. Education does not make more jobs available, only money will give more positions. What is the point of having a education and no job? There needs to be more spent directly on job creation, out of the coffers of the education system. Colledges will teach people privately for the right amount, and anyone with a job can learn part time at colledge or university.

The money in circulation is not enough! If there was more made available then they could buy the things they need. I propose a one off pay increase of a hundred percent for minimum wage earners, as wages are nothing compared to the running of the busines, where materials take up all the money. This will make it hardly harder for employers and much easier for employees to afford things and send thier money back to the state through taxation. All the money is tied up in materials costs, and materials do not put food on the table for employees, and that makes the product the beneficiary of all the efforts of the enterprise. The money should circulate, not sit on a store room floor and not circulate!

They just generate the money from the empty account into a new one funished with all the

money they need. There is no gold standard anymore, they need more in circulation so just make it for the state and spend it into the economy. Quite legal.

Well, the state needs more money nearly everywhere. This has come about due to the practices of the world - forget the housing thing in america - think of the way things are? The billionaires have all the money. They hoard it in bank accounts. If they started thier own businesses then there would be more money moving, but what I propose is more drastic.

If they want to see the light in the future then they need money now. They should buy all the banks and thier accounts. This means that they will be in charge of the whole money operating in their borders. Buying the banks will be easy, as they count for assets as soon as they are purchased. This means that they automatically beef up the reserve with assets.

Actually forget that! BUy up all the busiensses in the country. They will all count as assets, so no matter where money is spent or saved it will come back to the state. This means they will have all the cards to play with and make sure that the economy gets back on track artificially.

After a quick google I found the imf to be the managers of all money for the countries that are members.

Why they cannot generate thier own money Is beyond me. Being a big thing they should all count up the value of asets in those countries and collect all that money, then dish it out to countries in need based on the assets in thier country. Assets I refer to are buildings and land.

If we want the banks to recover they should increase costs on importing and exporting duties. This means when the rich countries import their value goods from other places, they will pay more. This means that with the three hundred trillion a year coming in off the markets, then it will come to even more than that for goods. This will be at least a trillion dollars difference for the banks or the state, as the rich bear the brunt of what is going on.

Think about it... if the west was to pay more for foodstuffs alone, the people will pay more to eat and sell food laid off onto the consumer in bite size chunks. The ramifications will be immense, as the annual 'stock revenue' is in the hundreds of trillions of dollars. All these businesses do business, and that means exports and imports. This also increases the demand for local goods, but things mking the chinese richer will make the state richer, as well as the importing nations. This should be spent on the deficit, but will probably get spent again, as the people will be able to buy local goods, and, local goods stimulate local economies. Stimulating local economies means there are more jobs and economic growth, but, of course, the option to buy local means they will be putting the countries that aid others with money to aid others... duh.

It will never burst as it will earn interest. Think of all that money going around into banks earning interest, and, do you know how much land there is to sell? Each time you buy land you have an asset that you can base further loans on, so it will be that the land will be bought up rather quickly. Inflation is not a problem, as you will earn more and have more anyways.

This money should be governed by the state. I am sure their economists can conjure a great idea on how to maximise revenue.

Actually I have been toying with a new idea... If the state was to give away money, just give it away, to the needy, when they spend it they will make more money back! This is because the it will be spent in the shops and renumerate through taxation, at many points, making it grow!

Think about it this way, if the state were to give out gift vouchers, to make sure the money is spent, they will make all that money go into the hands of shop owners. Then the sale is taxed, the new items purchased with that money is taxed, and wherever that money goes will be taxed, always coming out of the hands of the rich to keep the taxes coming in. You could see a hundred dollars be taxed down to a hundred dollars, and then keep on being taxed all the way around, actually collecting money for the state, and therefore for the people to keep the growth going.

One hundred dollars is taxed at say fourteen percent, meaning the one hundred dollars has devalued fourteen dollars, and the state has taken fourteen dollars. Now the shop has one hundred dollars, the state has fourteen dollars and the public spent fourteen extra dollars on the purchase. Then the shop pays its staff with fifty of the hundred dollars. The staff loses fourteen percent to the state, so the state now has twenty eight dollars, the shop loses fifty dollars, and the employee has forty three dollars, which they spend at another shop. The remaining fifty dollars is spent on new items for the shop, so they pay fourteen percent, meaning the state now has [fourteen plus fourteen plus seven] thirty five dollars. The shop has restocked to provide new items for the people.

Now, the forty three dollars the public took home is spent on living expenses. Let's say there is twenty dollars rent - one fifth of their total pay - and the rest is spent on food and luxuries. This means that twenty seven dollars is taxed a further fourteen percent, leading to the state taking another three dollars. The items purchased equal twenty seven dollars, so the 'shop' takes twenty seven dollars, pays its staff five dollars, of which no dollars are taxed because it is getting really small now, and then the shop restocks paying twenty two dollars, paying another three dollars tax. This leaves the state with [fourteen plus fourteen plus seven plus three plus three dollars] forty one dollars. So the rate of remuneration at present is forty one percent.

Now, the clock is still rolling, as one hundred dollars is still circulating! The rate of remuneration is forty one percent per 'junction', and how many junctions are there with a hundred dollars? Every turn is taxed fourteen percent, so, seeing as how the rate of

renumeration is forty one percent for two junctions, how many are there actually? They tax the item, the shop, the staff, the supplier, the raw materials, that supply the shop, the middle man, and many other sources. This means they will renumerate at as many sources as there are points of tax.

Actually I am a bit confused now.

Okay, lapse in concentration, I have it now! The items sold do not go down in value, they restock with the nearly the same price they paid for the item, meaning they spend ninety dollars on new items, being taxed again for fourteen dollars, and then seventy dollars or so, at a rate of fourteen percent also. The items do not go into twenty five dollar sections! This continues though the life of the dollar until it comes to miniscule amounts, taxed all the way.

Okay, well, the rate of buying goods is thirty three percent of the total cost for the business through wholesale. Maybe the rate of renumeration on that hundred dollars is evidence that it will not be a good idea?

But if we were to increase the amount of times the money changes hands...

If the state gives the money to a first person, and the first person gives it to a second person, and so forth, until ten people have had it before it goes out to the public, then maybe it can work!

This would mean that it would renumerate at one hundred and forty percent! The it goes out to the public, or...

Also renumerating they could place it into a bank and tax it and have it change between ten accounts. That would mean they tax themselves and then they could basically just make more money for fun.

Each time it runs through an account it will be taxed, realising the hundred and forty percent rate of renumeration per ten accounts.

If one thousand dollars goes into account one, it will be taxed at one hundred and forty dollars, but the amount of the transaction is worth one hundred percent. The banks don't pay either, they are just cheating the system!

There we go, got it. Then they can give money away!

Libyan refugees.

Actually it is good to have refugees finding work in other countries. It is good for the other countries, and here is why...

They pay tax on all things they buy. They cannot have a bank account without citizenship, so all the money gets spent. If they were to take jobs from the top then that would be bad, but more likely than not, and more often, they take jobs at the bottom. When there is a position at the bottom, it supplies a need from above, and supplies more needs from above, creating if you will a need for jobs above. That means that they stabilise the economy.

You should not look at the positions they are taking as being bad. For every position taken there is a position being made for them to be serviced, and for them to service. If you were to say that there are only so many jobs in a country, then there would be no economic growth. When the economy grows it will provide more jobs, and economies grow all the time.

Now if you want to see more economic growth, you need more entrepreneurship. This means lending of money to get them started. It is common for refugees to bring their own power in the form of human workload into the new country. When there is a surplus of manpower there is a surplus of needs for jobs, but these can be created by more entrepreneurship.

What is going on now the world over is a lot of job retrenchment. If they get retrenched they get so much paid out to them. A fool looks to get back into the swing of things lazily by looking for a new job, but if they try to form their own business, they will find that seeing as how there are too few people working they will be able to satisfy needs easily. For each person making money there is another person who started their own business. Picture a web of service needs delivery - they will all compliment each other if planned well.

What is needed is for the lazy first world, pessimistic as ever, to start their own jobs with the money they are getting out. Hell they should be leaving in droves to start their own business!

There are places where they can deliver needs delivery and there are places they cannot. Walmart makes it impossible to get a foot into retail, but hawkers make a living. Microsoft makes it impossible to get into computer stuff, and there is a surplus of programmers in the world. Then again, selling the software is easy enough. Buying in bulk is only possible with a lot of money, so that makes anything retail or otherwise sale related impossible to get into, but there is a way forwards with product delivery - like a guy on a bicycle.

So where are there opportunities for entrepreneurs? Well, the best thing to do is plough into a small town with all your manpower and make it a big city. The monopolies created means that there is room for expansion, so even with walmart they can open one every seventh corner. The fact they don't do this means people will lose jobs anyway! If they were to form consortiums, using the internet or flyers, they could buy just as cheap as walmart and offer the same low prices. For every three people put out of work there are three more people able to buy into the consortium. Think of how far you need to travel for the best prices?

Don't blame the immigrants, they pay taxes too, and create needs.

Who said anything about mass immigration? I mean for the few that do come across, well, you say there is a cost to the country? They work dead end jobs and then pay back to the state through taxes, and the rest of the money they spend into circulation again. If they were to take the money and burn it then there would be a problem!

So, they are not taking anything away. No cost. I have illustrated where they actually service the economy.

They have fled a terrible place. If they can work hand in hand with the people of Britain, then so be it. Welfare is given to people in need, and they need it. Doesn't matter who they give it to, as long as it comes back. Wouldn't giving all the people in need welfare be a better solution? It all comes back! Of course I expect them to work, as do you, but if they cannot then they need to be treated as a cog in the machine of the economic system, as they have paid taxes and such while they did work.

There are many tax points in the country. If a Afghani living in London buys an apple, then they pay tax on that apple, then the retailer pays tax to sell it, then the middle man pays tax to sell it, then the importer pays tax on imports, and the exporter pays tax on exports. So, with sales tax, retail tax, middle man tax or whatever you call it, import and export tax, as well as other hidden costs, anything in the country gets taxed more than a hundred percent. This means that as the immigrants spend money they will slowly see the money roll over into the hands of the state.

If that reverse order wasn't really clear, let's take it from that apple again? The immigrant spends all their money living in Europe. If they spend all their money, it gets taxed along the way through the other avenues. As the money circulates it gets taxed again and again until they are taxing the people for stuff they haven't got. That is how wonderful this thing is - tax! Now as you can see all the money going to the immigrants is revived and rolled over a lot of times to produce more money than they previously had, or, there is a lot of corruption.

Five years is a heck of a long time to wait for welfare. In South Africa we don't even have welfare I think... But why should a first world country treat their people, who have worked and revisited the system, be made to suffer?

No, I am saying that the state taxes goods at many points. The money comes from the people and businesses, and gets taxed over and over again at various intervals. Think of thirty dollars moving from hand to hand? They will be taxed fourteen percent at the first interval, leaving about four dollars, then you have twenty six dollars in circulation. Then,

when it changes hands again, you get taxed another three dollars from the twenty six or so dollars, and then you have twenty three dollars. Eventually all money makes it's way back to the state, yes?

Money never leaves a country. It goes to the exchange broker and then gets exchanged, so it remains British pounds or whatever, and then it will get exchanged for rupees or whatever. Then it makes its way back to the country. Think of this thing called imagination. The little elf behind the counter works with imagination money, all in bank accounts. This money need not be printed because it will never be used. It goes like a tennis ball between the two places.

All money does make its way back to the state, then is spent into the private sector, then goes back through taxes.

And when you go to exchange that money for pounds, so you can spend it? Or back to the country of origin.

Health care

It is a big issue. Should it be free? Factor it into taxes and make it free. The poor will be able to have health care then and then everyone pays regardless of when they need it.

Economically it is simple. If someone pays for health care when they need it it is buying it from the state once and crippling that person financially. This is very bad, so, factor it into taxes and spread it for everyone. It is no surprise that people living in shanty towns need it more than most, so, if they were to become sick there is hope for them. I am sure we can all agree that hope is pivotal in their plight? If there was a nice spread then raising taxes would be good to deal a fair hand to all.

Militant islam

This is where people think that their god will be pleased by them fighting for the god in some way. How do we prove that this is not the case? Simply it would root out the war on terror and easily disrupt the plans of all militants if we could prove that this was not true, so it is important.

If god wishes to see someone dead do they simply not let them be born? Do they kill them them self? Under Islam all people are born from the same god, so, giving them no time to

change will deter them from changing to worship allah. If there was to be many people killed in the name of allah, aren't you killing allah's children? This means there should be no more killing nor fighting, as they are harming the people of their god.

If they stray from the path, do you kill them? No you try to save as many as you can! The best way to do this will be a house to house call with koran in hand. That is the good fight that should be fought.

It doesn't matter if we can win, we have to win.

If there is a need for money then why target the west? They have money. They want money they can work for it. There is great potential for growth in this place as if it were limited by the amount of people able to work. All they need is money, so, why not pull all troops out and outsource with equal amounts of war time money to this place for business growth? The people will be greatly employed and then stop fighting, all having jobs.

Then again, what if we were to ban terrorism? At every corner they should show pictures of hell and put under it that everyone who kills a person goes here, as relayed in the bible and koran. That and then especially in mosques the same. The near east should mobilise an army of elderly, paying them to stop bombings in any way they can, as they can then provide for their children with that money. In the near east there is a great reverence for the elderly - everyone wants them on their side it seems.

How about they also try to prey on the selfishness of the militants? They want a better life for themselves and their families before they want a better life for the other people, yes? This means they can be bought. By making the near east a colony of the west they could give welfare to the people and collect on the oil, making them even richer. There seems to be no other way out, maybe buying north africa and the near east would provide enough money in revenue to justify such a thing?

Well you said something about poor people...

If they want to have an islamic world under sharia law, then they should have it pointed out why it is wrong. Sharia law is old, and the new overwrites the new. Oh now I remember yes you are quite right, sharia law - sorry forgot about it. Anyway sharia law is the way things should be according to mohammed, yes? Well, if there was to be a way for these people to have sharia law they should all move to saudi arabia, where it is in control. Other places do not want it, so they resist.

If they want to get it in everywhere then they need to take it to the courts. Blowing people up is not going to get you anywhere except for jail. To bring wisdom to the people they need to petition the courts to see if they will accept it. The best force to fight them would be a lot of armed women, as they will attract the most attention, then wammo, they come out and get shot. But killing the militants is not the way forwards, proving sharia law to be wrong is the best way to end this. They need the cleric to renounce it in favour of the laws they have. If they were to release from service all the clerics that support sharia law, then

there would be a great taxing of the minds to explain why people will support them. A quick scan of the area with radio receivers set to pick up metal deposits of gun size or larger stockpiled will reveal the locations of the militants in africa, and i am under the impression this will disarm all the people that have capabilities with munitions other than bombs.

To get rid of bombings in the near east and north africa they need to have the area swept with a chemical sensor that will reveal the manure used to create these bombs, or train pigs and dogs to do it. Of course they could set it up in the centre of the city to find all manure smells moving around, and investigate. They could do this by using a intensified breathalyser machine to pick up the scents.

This issue is organised. By getting into the web they will do well to topple the whole thing. I suggest that clerics befriend the cause and then try to infiltrate it. They will be held in high regard and gain access quickly.

We already have a lot of terrorists in detention, maybe what we need to do is send them to american jails, or jails in britain? Then they will be interrogated by other prisoners, and, we could pay the prisoners for information. All nice sounding we just tell the prisoners, officially, that we will reward any information they overhear the militants 'discussing'....

When they detain someone they look for evidence too. When you detain someone you won't waste your time, so it needs to be based on something.

The terrorists need to be found or stopped somehow. To find them they should infiltrate their ranks as they have infiltrated Western ranks. They should use police in afghanistan to go undercover, but that has already been thought of, duh, so what else can they do?

How about they all use finger printing at bomb scenes? They are typically left in bags on the side of the road, so they could finger print the remains of the bags to find out who did it, but I bet they are looking for a prevention rather than a cure...

Okay, maybe they should make it necessary for a license to be produced to buy fertiliser? Or maybe they should ban fertiliser in the region - that will stop the crude bombs of which manure is an ingredient...

If they were to subliminally message out instructions to hand in their counterparts, then gain some reward, that would go a long way.

If they were to infiltrate their network properly, they would need some cool devices. They could use a chemical sniffer and show where manure is found inside the house, then investigate. The chemical sniffer should be based on gamma radiation, that penetrates walls and steel, and then rebounds from receiver to receiver. This will show up on a google maps program or something similar, as to where the bombs are. It might take a while to produce, but it will save a lot of money on troops on the ground.

I would say that the best way to blow their cover is to take away their cover - get them exposed by the same people that hide them. No doubt there is a network providing cover and money to them...

Getting all the leaders of iran, syria, turkey, suadi arabia - all of them - all holy men, to come together for a day of peace where they order the militants to stop doing what they are doing, this would have some effect? If all their respectable people of islam were to denounce them, there would be some effect? The people would most likely be torn between the terrorists and the holy men.

Or of course we could face the fact that even ordinary people that are religious would plant bombs. In other parts of the same world people set themselves ablaze to protest, so why not here?

I think what is needed is an ad campaign for the region. This campaign should show two people on either side of a board, one with a medical kit, the other with a weapon, and all the back ground that goes with that. That should also be stamped with an islamic seal. This is no good fight, nor should it even exist. The people should be trying to heal the wounded, and they are wounded because of sharia law.

How do we convince these people that sharia law is not the way of the righteous? There are fruits that come with temperance. In libya they are maybe voting in a sharia government, but that was voted for. Now I must ask you...

What is wrong with sharia law? It oppresses some people, but it leads to less deaths by not fighting it. I think for the rest of the terms in these places they follow the laws in Saudi and be done with it. Nobody is going to fight if it is force fed to them. The region is faced with two options - keep fighting these terrorists, or give in to them and follow sharia law. What will change for these people? They will be happier, and there is no force in the west that can put it into working order there. On the flip side, if they see it working there, then they will see it as a victory and try to shovel it down someone else's throat too. This means they cannot allow it there to tyrannise the people further.

What i am going to suggest now is a way to usurp the militants. If they were to use international phone lines, each person in the west could phone one person in the near east and then ask them how they are doing for ten minutes. This will break down the image of the west being tyrannical and then open the door for them to come forwards in healthy debate as to why the militants must be stopped. Al jazeera should educate the people of what the militants want, and what it means. They could be paid and endorsed by the supreme ruler and all those people, so it would go ahead i hope.

What else they could do is attach to a jeep some chemical sniffing device. The smell of manure, which i pretty powerful and used in making shift bombs, is easy to pick up. This will lead them, each night, to patrol the streets looking for smells from the neighbourhood. At any area they get the smell, in lower class neighbourhoods, as upper class people

seldomn deign to the level of bomb making let alone self sacrifice, they could make a lot of headway.

To stop the bombings all together they would need to set them off as soon as they are made. This would kill the militant as they make it, but better that...

They could send a detonate signal throughout the region in the cities, an over powering one that makes the bombs go off as soon as they are ready, and they could do this with a radio broadcaster. The device should send a signal that either detonates the bomb, or stops it, but I am sure it is easier to stop it. All timers have a battery, so targeting the battery inside the bomb is the way to do it.

I guess the best way to get rid of the terrorists is to target them personally through a 'family tax.' Whenever a person is caught doing this or does this through suicide bombing, the family should be taxed of the person who did it. This means, that, the person will actually bring harm to their family - the people they are ultimately fighting for.

Another shrewd move would be to supply more jobs from the states and the west to the area. They see poverty because there is unemployment, and I know the world is in a crisis, but if the region could supply tax cuts for offshore businesses, then they could open there and supply more jobs. Of course this means more targets, so they need to be able to give nearly everyone a job! But...

They should look into where these suicide bombers are from. If they come from the rural areas, then they need to intensify efforts there, but I doubt they come from there. It would be a long trek to the city and then they would be leaving their parents to fend for themselves.

Of course anothe observation, be it true, is the 'westernising' of terrorism. This would be where there are less actual suicide attacks as people become fearful of death and more selfish. I say selfish because in the west bombers would think about their own well being first, of course, and how much they are being paid. Of course the secret handling of money from hand to hand is impossible to regulate, and I am sure there is a monetary benefit now days.

If there was a penalty for bombings, where the amount of people to die in a week would equal a day of fasting, to greive for them of course, then the people would become furious and get involved, or, think twice before bombing targets. Get the people involved, it is passive sharia law, surely? Why not fast for a day at the loss of life?

Maybe what they ought to try is to show them that islam is not based on sharia law? Islam is based on the teachings of the prophets, and mohammed was a prophet. He laid out the initial plan for sharia t be adopted by the country. Instead of blamiong the west for the lack of sharia law, they should blame local authorities, as it is within their power to bring the 'ideal' that is sharia law into being.

If the standard of living was better, the people would have less to complain about. By providing jobs in this area, they could give the people the better standard of living they would like. People only do these things when they are unhappy, and they look at sharia law as bringing happiness. If they could be satisfied with more jobs, then they might stop this violence, having something to live for.

Then there is light for the west, hope of a better tomorrow with less terrorists! If the Koran says that there should be no law from religion, and sharia law is religious - that is supposed to stem from god, then it should be ignored?

I would say that is true, although proving it is gods law doesn't hold as much weight as it was law decreed by a prophet - a 'wise' man that happened to be a prophet. So, it could very well be law all the same...

If there is to be a stop to this militant islam, then we should try remind people that god's law dwells in the ten commandments, and mohammed should not try to change them. I have said now and again that gods might change their minds, but the laws laid forth in exodus are more simple and better. Is it a good idea to contain all the 'ideas' of muhammed as if they were a part of holy scripture?

Finding bombs

If we were to gain a neural response to the bomb's triggers, like a response the thought of the awareness of a bomb. We can do this with an ammeter, a radio receiver, and a digital tachometer, we could find the signals for bombs and close in on them. If anyone is saying they want to place a bomb in their minds, we can go straight for them and then capture them or investigate. We could also use an amp meter, radio receiver and suppression laser to find the culprits. With a whole police force and army with these 'machines', we could get rid of all the bombers overnight.

Or we could add a breathalyser to it, and go straight for the bombs themselves. Gamma rays penetrate all sorts of things, and have mass, so will be able to pick up the scent of manure and direct this information to the next radio receiver in line with it. This calls for minimal radiation of course. Maybe there is need too for an electro magnet?

I say we give up, turn tail, and run like hell! If they want to rule that bad, and are supported by the people, you would have to go on a killing spree of every man woman and child to clear this up.

Kurdistan

About them kurds... they don't have a hell of a lot going for them. Logically they should all settle in the same area if they want peace and thier own government. Of course Isreal was bought for the jews out of the joint unity with the west, so who can be kind to the kurds? If nobody will be so kind to them, what shall they do?

I suggest that they all buy land close to one another and suceed legally from the country, then they will have thier own state. Of course they might be taken over through war again, so they need international recognition. Forming ties with the neighbours will only be heard if they have something to export to them, but trying to succeed with a lot of wealth would see them fighting a long and hard battle, so, the best way to see themselves through would be to take some useless land and occupy it.

This is a very hard time to get loans to build things for infrastructure, as the world is in a recession or whatever, so, they should just all buy cows or lambs from thier present country and settle in the areas where it is easy to graze. This backward lifestyle is necessary, as it will attract investment - I mean the whole 'country' will be a high priority in the eyes of the world, being new pastures to exploit through outsourcing, so development will come.

I am sure that is the best plan for them. Find some place central to all kurds that is just grazing land and settle there, then wait.

This is definately a topic regaurding the ways of the world's liberation at the moment. Why do they need thier won state though? They are quite happy living where they are. If they were to need to figght for the country given to them like has happened in Palestine, then they would be much unhappier.

When people look for a nation of thier own, they should all just occupy the same province. They should all go to the most heavily occupied place for the kurds, and live together, knogint that they can have thier regional government.

What do you think they hope to achieve through having thier own nation? Different laws? That is all there really is to hope for, so, in what way will thier laws be different? They probably won't be! What is the point of having a kurdish state?

The kurds are like palestine at the moment, except they are not all in the same region. If the west was to follow suite as they did with isreal, they should do for the kurds and buy them some land in iraq, where most of the kurds find themselves, a rather central place. But where wil this money come from? Where in the world will the kurds find a loyal donor like isreal did? I conclude that there are many countries in the same way as as 'kurdistan', but this is one terrible time to be looking for handouts!

Well I hear the west is pulling money out of africa, so there is some money. Of course they could buy the land for the kurds and charge them payments like a loan... just a thought. They should do the same with Israel, who did nothing for them. Bugger this 'outpost', as that is obvious as to what it is... charge them too. This could be done with the same scheme as a used car salesman or something, instead I hear the west is paying Israel lots of money - cut that out too! Their arsenals are big enough right now to thwart an attack by the arabs, time to put it in perspective. America is wasting so much money on 'outpost Israel' that they could save a lot of it - I hear it is in the region of seven million dollars a day or something, from ngwo, a conspiracy website, yes, but a lot of fact finding from there.

Or here is an even better idea - loan the money from the monetary fund and pay it back themselves! That will clear a lot of the hot air. To get a loan you need a good reason or a cause, something that promotes more money growing. I am sure they could afford a small state in Northern Iraq or whatever and live there, bringing in the natural bankers and oil producers as they will. That will boost the economy off to some dizzy beginnings for a emerging economy, and then they can pay the loan back. But who will be their president? I guess the person who loans the money will be the first president, then they will hold elections. So what is in this? Well there are incentives like direct salary for presidents as well as a good old swindle for them buying the land. They will find it easy to do this, as there is accountability for them, and they should get it from various sources - this is like a charity, a get well event, but it is much bigger and more profitable for the banking sector.

First off what we need is for everyone to stop shooting. Once that has happened, which I suppose would be for the Kurds to hand their 'rebels' over, as a token of faith that this can be resolved, only to spend short times in jail due to it being a political thing, they can start their proper goal. The goal is of course to bring into being a Kurdish state.

They can have the Kurdish state if they were to get Turkey to buy all their homes and move to northern Iraq. If they were to be able to buy homes in this area, they could succeed, which in the present political climate of change in this region, would lead to them being not unlike the Jews of Israel, or people, whatever.

They could do this because everyone wants peace. If they could, with America and the west having such a say in what goes on there until the end of the year, form this state, they would be removing possible resources from Iraq. This was done in Jerusalem, and should be done here too. Why this will lead to further terrorism, and with any hope of decapitating terrorism, they need more states with intelligence helping out. This gesture from the west would bring the whole region into a short time of gratitude, and they could all get involved in fighting this threat.

Would Turkey even have such an army if it were not for the Kurds? Give them what they want, and with their ties to militant arms suppliers, they could usurp terrorism a great deal. Two birds with one stone. Now they just have to fly this past Iraq, and then they will be able to get a good night's sleep. Think of all the militants they will flush out? Think of the new developments that Iraq already owns there, the ownership status will stay, and they will keep their businesses going. This means they lose some dirt in the desert or

something.

Now if there are developed resources there, the kurds could buy them from Iraq. Maybe what is needed is a contingency plan? They could form a state, and their first act as a state would be to buy the land through a loan from the imf or something. They will turn a profit due to all the businesses in the area, so there would be no drawbacks.

[A] They will gobble up their resources and economy. With less things done for these areas, they will look at a smaller budget going in and coming out. The kurds should show they can buy the land if they are granted the land.

[B] The other kurds could follow up like in zionism. They don't fight there though, there is no way they could usurp these powers. These people should show the west that they exist.

If the kurds want to have their own state, then they need to settle in an area where they can win local elections, then sucede. It is as simple as that. Problem is the state won't last very long with a militant iraq on the loose!

They need to consult the present president of the regime in iraq, and check his stance on fighting. Iraq has been fighting militants within their border, and we really don't need another isreal! I suggest that they all just settle there, buying and selling homes, then win the regional election.

On the other hand, if they already have a majority there, they can sucede right now! Then all the people can leave and go to kurdistan. There is the problem of resources, but if they leave the resources to Iraq - they just capture two or three citieis and form city states - then there won;t be a problem. They can leave all the valuable land in tact with iraq, and have all the racism come to an end by getting all their peers out of iraq into kurdistan.

Surely the united nations supports domething like that?

The kurds need their own state so that they will be able to live somewhere in peace. If the real country they lived in supported them there would be no bombings, so, they need to have this so there is no longer a 'fight.'

If the kurds were to say, based on logic used elsewhere, that they need to live there in the north of iraq where they hold the majority, then there would be eveness for them, a degree of 'fairness.' How do we make this a priority of the west? We could observe that kurds will hold their bombings for three months for this to take place. Then it would be the priority of turkey to get involved, and with them they bring the eu, and with the eu comes the whole west.

Well, we should observe the ways that other parties exited their countries borders, taken from history, of which I am not a big fan but here goes...

The only way that 'kurdistan can become' is through a balance of militant and political efforts. If they were to bring the fight inside iraq, they would be crushed by the americans

there. So what they need to do is declare their independence and get ready to fight for it. With the peacekeepers there, there won't be any fight. They are there so use them.

I observed from the break up of the soviet union that they all destabilised the whole 'thing' until they had their independence. This caused a huge political rift in the soviet union and now they are free. They should observe that and then secede. They should make a circus of it - iraq is putting pressure on assad to leave, they could put pressure on the region to recognise them.

If the parties ruling the northern iraq territory were to 'make a mess', the land would become ungovernable. Instead of being able to get rid of the party politically, it will fall to a vote as is the practice in this lovely country affiliated with america at the moment and then they will be able to bumble about and gain their independence.

But I ask you, what does the blacks of america want? Don't they also want their independence? What about the aborigines of australia? How about the blacks in south america? Everyone wants to have a land to call their own, but the difference is that this is based on religious grounds. After a while they will all rear people that are a lot like each other 'skin wise,' so what is the big deal? I mean, can't they all just live together? Why all this fighting? It is not like it is apartheid or something, is it? What good will it do, practically? I challenge anyone to tell me what good it will practically do?

Having your own country in this case is based on religion. I propose they take the northern iraq and worship in a way they please. I am not aware of any special pigment or other that will make them different. What is different about them? What is the difference, should they cut the whole of iraq into like four sections for all the religious difference? They might want their own set of laws, but I propose that all of these people inside iraq use sharia law. This will make them more like iran, where they can include the major minorities and get a code of laws that make them happy.

The violence is unnecessary. You cannot win a country by blowing things up. If you have a military you occupy the region you want. If they had a military they would be terrorists, as they would have bought their arms illegally. I say go for the show of force anyway, there is no way that iraq would get into a fight like that, as there are groups all over the area of iraq that would also fight. All the different types of muslims would fight proudly to get their own laws - they do already.

Same goes for afghanistan. It is being pulled into the west politically... what it needs to stop the violence is sharia law. Of course on the flip side, the militants could go live in iran or saudi... they can be perfectly happy there. Take all that manure for bombs and stick it in the ground, live a happy life, and so forth.

More racism

I find that the whole white world is racist. It is never blacks being racist to whites - do you ever hear stories of black people being racist to whites in africa? There you go then!

Racism comes about because of differences in appearance, and by appearance I mean colour, accent, smell, and many other factors. Now think about it for a moment, don't you feel more comfortable with a black person in a business suite? There you go...

To stop racism we need to condition people to being more accepting of other race groups. Traditionally it is the whites with all the money, then the poor whites look around and see whites with lots of money being judgemental of other races, then they copy them saying they too are white, so get to act that way.

I am aware that in our city of johburg here in south africa blacks and whites get along better. This is because the black people earn more money, so are more respected. This is due to affirmative action, and it brings people together.

The evidence of sharia

I have heard it said that islam is a religion of war. I have heard it said on this forum though that the history of the wars fought by the saracens and the crusaders leant towards proving that the westerners were the 'bad guys.' This means though that islam has been routed in war for a long time. Not letting this up everyone that enters into islam at whatever point has been subjected to war based on thier religion. This makes me ask if maybe people could be more peace orientated if they were to come into the world without a war on the horizon.

How is islam different to christianity? They both worship a God... there are no more crusades. If the people of militant islam were to see life without fighting christians, then maybe they could all get along without this passage into the 'madness.'

Islam demands more from people, and doesn't let people act freely. We have, through much diliberation, found a code backed by all free nations that find human rights to be something that we all want to become the real ideal. When these laws clash with islamic ones, the islamists want to fight. There needs to be an end to this fighting, so, the islamists muct either win and have their laws, or the western rights should be the order of the day. This is not only in the near east, but in northern africa aswell.

So, what should happen? I think that all the people that want to use sharia law should be shown that this is like slavery in that it does notallow freedom. They see it as righteous because Mohammed dealt them out, and we see it as oppressive. Now, to show that it is either rightoues or oppressive, we need to think logically. But how can the doctrine of a mortal man be the ways of a god? He had no evidence of being a 'holy agent', so should be

dismissed along with its doctrine. Our laws - the western ones - are also founded on the laws of god, but they are also logical. So we have two sets of laws, one logical, the other not. Maybe the islamists could show me how logical they are, because I cannot see it that way yet, and, when logic clashes with something illogical, then there should be a case for the logical ones being the right ones.

So why fight for laws? To live in a new society? Kill all the others that wish to take away your society ideals? Kill people for the sake of what you want? This sounds like a renaissance, but, it is not. They are not fighting for their gods because their gods do not need fighting for, do they? Since when does one god say to kill another god's people? If this is the way of god, to kill for him, then what sort of god is this? Let me introduce to you a god that is logical and fights for the freedoms of others! This god is much better, and anyone saying differently is just not comfortable with it yet. But then again, is it the interpretation of that god that leads to fighting? If a verse leads to fighting, then that verse must be stricken from a loving gods book. Interpret your god so that there is logic, as any fool can twist the words of god and say there must be a fight, but it takes a good man to find it right to love their neighbour and brothers. This is the way of god, our god... your god?

The real problem with islam is not islam itself, it is with those acting violently based on what they read was okay back then and thinking it would be okay with allah now too. But I wish to show you that even gods change their minds from time to time. Let me show you.

Hitler was a bad man and never changed, even with the allies at his door he killed himself and his family. Nero, the roman emperor ruined the state and then got killed, also no change. Let's look at peoples? How about them militants? They never change and always kill people, always for their code of killing the aliens. What have they done that is good? Should they donate their weapons money, or bomb money, for food for the homeless? Isn't that a far more appealing attitude to have? Let's get to why no change is bad...

No change is bad, because it shows pride. People that do not change are never listening, your eyes change when you walk in the sun and then bask in the shade? All change is good - people are fighting for change everywhere in the world at the moment. Have you heard of people changing? They ask if so and so has changed... why? Hopefully they always mean for the better, people get hard and change for the worse, as they see new things they change their attitude and perspective, as someone coming into puberty if you like. Change is the way that people grow, and they always change for the better under normal circumstances, as in not being subjected to poverty or drug activity. Think of your childhood personally, did you change? Are you more mature now?

But how does god change? God has seen all the future and all that, so they must be in a good light to tell you now what is right? How can killing be right? How can striking be right? Have you heard of pacifists? They were once children striking too! Now what was supposed to be heard then, and what is supposed to be heard now are two different things. When someone changes they mature, as I am sure that allah has, as he is surrounded with

love up in heaven, so why tell you to kill people? It must have been what was supposed to be heard, but, where did that get anyone? The crusades were upon the Arabs and then they were killed and defeated for a good measure. Is this what god wants to see? Who else likes seeing blood spilled in their name? Hitler and Nero come to mind! There must be a better place to go to, or do you envision a battle ground where woman and children are shot down for god. What does this prove? What does this win? What does this result in?

All those questions mean that this is not the way of god. Maybe some battle mongering mohammed liked it? Yes he did. How can you trust this guy? Did he perform any miracles? He was gifted in killing, but really what do you take his word for? Okay, I come to you like he did, and I say that the way to heaven is to love and respect and nourish each other. Simple. What you will feel while doing this is great joy and a secret kind of magic that god has stowed in us, which has chemical names, but you still feel it. It is not like eating chocolate cake or drinking wine, it is pure. Embrace it. As for bombings, try to stop them, as they remove these gifts from others.

The whole islamic world wants to live in peace, but they are prepared to fight for it. If they were not fighting they would be living in peace. If they want to fight for laws, there are two ways to do it, majority vote or superior logic in laws, and lacking superior logic, it would be populism. It just so happens that the islamic world wants the death penalty, but two wrongs do not make a right. It is no secret that woman must be subservient to men, yet they are the mothers of men. Those must be the two most important hall marks of why there is fighting - so what if you do not have a islamic president, that is the will of the people.

Or, if there ever was to be an end to this, they should employ more police and judges for the induction of sharia law. This would be like the mormons in america, where they live alone and practice alone. Nobody wants to fight, morale must be low already. People go to different churches don't they? This is possible, for you and your family, but forcing your laws onto others must be met with the same ideals of you having your freedom.

Islam is supposed to be the serving religion - that is how it got it's name - the one who submits to god. This also happens to remark on the way life was lived in these places with monarchies - that they all had to submit to each other too. They submit by living peacefully. The militants do not submit to the holy men and people they claim to protect - they are not muslims.

I find bad christianity is to think of another religion as wrong! If you were to say to another person they are wrong because of their religion then they would be 'abused.' Abuse is wrong, abuse is unchristian, so, being a christian is unchristian. If you were to judge someone be aware lest they judge you too. Everybody does wrong things, these other religions should be respected and called equal, and in calling something else equal there would be balance and true respect.

If you want to respect other gods you should also fast! This reminds me of quite diplomacy. Is this Jesus dealing with problems?

<http://www.faithclipart.com/image/white-horse-rider-in-color.html>

As you can see Jesus charges out to meet the enemy, charging problems. Matters are dealt with directly by Jesus, no quite diplomacy like some soft people practice, making them more like the devil, soothing the emotions and getting his foot in the door. That is what a lot of christians do, get in the door, say it is okay but not for them... uninterested. Seeing as how you cannot be a christian and act quietly or softly, then also that you cannot half accept another god, Jesus in fact as many believe, then you must accept the near east as your partner and fight for them. I am not talking about aid, I am talking about being together with them, meeting the problems head on.

Your first sentence is very relative to what happens today. But you still consider history and sins of the fathers to be held over the new generation.

South korea

What we need here is peace. Kim should get rid of the people ordering the attacks and live in the land of no sanctions This will bring people in looking for more peace. Get rid of the arms and become a happy country. None of their neighbours will attack them because then they face sanctions. If they were to abandon the things that bring them sanctions there would be a better life for all. What do these things that bring sanctions bring? They only make the people poor and hungry.

What we need to do is invite the north to the international market place. If they just dropped the sanctions, who would suffer? What are they doing to invoke sanctions? They should drop sanctions for three months and see what happens, maybe it will have a positive effect?

Let's come up with a plan to bring North korea back into the 'loop?'

I suggest that the north tries to respect people more, as this is pivotal in leading a strong nation today. With their antiquated army, and no real source for buying new arms, they are running out of time before the ruler is removed. I must urge kim to decide on the future of his country now! With the armies stacked against him, he should negotiate a peace deal, but not just peace, trade aswell. The country is going down the tubes economically, and a trade deal will bring riches in instantly, more taxation and that.

Now, why fight the wars of your fathers? The way to forgiveness is two fold, they must give a deal where they both forgive each other, and i guarantee that everyone wants to get

along. If they were to respect the south and their own people, what would they lose? On the other hand what will they gain? That is something to think about...

I would hope that this can be resolved quickly, this alienation of north korea. I suppose the people are so used to the way things are that there is no hope, though secretly they would love to come out of this rut. The country will collapse eventually if this is carried on, and all it takes is the UN to ban all nukes, basically nobody may have them. If they are kept, what do they use them for? Bombing cities. This is a backwards endeavour, as it kills innocent people. All nukes should be dismantled - they are no good for winning a war. In fact they make it impossible to use the roads for troops who need to run through the area.

Nukes are a pathetic weapon. They need to be launched, take millions to keep up to grade, and kill only civilians. The russians have recently come out with a bomb based on dynamite that are not nukes but very similar in potency. This is what should be stockpiled, if anything. If you really are going to bomb a city... why? Killing the people with guns is done by soldiers or drones. If they want to stockpile these things it leads to paranoia.

I suggest that all nukes be scrapped, and anyone building nukes exposed by satellites. Then there will be none of this unfairness and close mindedness by the west as to who may have nukes based on some notion of understanding or having totally figured out the 'enemy', as clearly they have not, nor the paranoia of having these weapons. What, again, are you going to use them for?

The north should scrap these ideas as soon as everybody else scraps them. There is no point having them, get rid of them. We are at war, they are not being used. They just sit there and create paranoia and are useless.

Once north korea has gotten rid of these dreams, then they will no longer feel small compared to other world powers. Is it a case of you got one, i got one too? They should lead the charge by scrapping their nukes. Then they should hold a committee where they place sanctions of their own on people with nukes. This would be enforced by south america and asia, major trading partners, as well as africa. With all the focus on importing food for these fat little westerners to eat enough, this won't last long.

After that, all sanctions can be lifted. Surely this is what everybody wants? It lies in the hands of the 'axis' to get rid of these weapons. Then there will be more trade, more investment, and more jobs.

To mend the differences between north and south there should be a pledge. This pledge should see the likes of other world powers fire ten to one back at the offender of the peace treaty. Then there should be another treaty where the airspace and waters are decided upon to avoid this ten to one onslaught. If there were clear borders, then there would be less hostility.

But, I suggest that all disputed waters and airways become neutral territory. In the last areas there should be a danger zone of a few miles where they should not go, but only

precautionary. Then they have time to explain themselves as to why they are there and potentially apologise for being so close to the border.

To get real peace in the region there needs to be a visit from the south. If the north does not wish to instigate real peace they should at least allow the south to come to them and start a peace process. There are still some in the military high up that regard the south as an enemy and order them to fire on the south at any given time. These people are just looking for excuses to fire on the south! This comes from intent to harm people - power corrupts. These people should be removed by an intermediate body like China who could look in on the matter and decide who should remain in the military by mutual agreement, as what is good for one is good for another. There might be some people that think the other side is inherently evil, and these people must be identified first. This can be done with a written test where calligraphy decipherers are called in to decode the intent of the essays they write about the other side.

Then there could also be a show of unity. There should be a great big North Korean flag in the bases of the south and vice versa. This will bring them closer together, and obviously defacing the flag could see people leave the installation.

How about an arranged marriage between north and south? If people believe in the old days of war, then they should believe in the old days of peace made this way. Various cabinet people could marry their daughters off into this deal either way you look at it - maybe they could even start a website for this purpose?

The graviton

I suspect that there is no graviton, and instead of things being made to submit to gravity, it is in fact the cold air on top of the object that pulls it down. So, I do not believe in a graviton, but rather the cold air bringing the object down, as cold air is attracted to the earth's surface. But what causes this to happen? If cold air is attracted to the earth, as in space near planets there is also gravity, then what causes the cold air to become attracted to the body of matter?

I suspect that this is caused by the mass of the air itself. When mass is given to air it is in the form of water vapour. Why doesn't it rain on the moon? Well there is not enough gravity there I would suppose, or, not enough gravity caused by an ozone layer, as that amount of 'water vapour' keeps everything falling a lot harder.

Time travel

The first thing we need is heat, and then we need energy. That is fundamental in time travel.

Or of course we could observe that nothing has come back in time to us, so it never will... hey?

Okay, but seeing as how this is fun, let's look at it this way... Time travels slower in certain parts of the universe, so going slower than that and then all the way to reversing it would take a lot of energy. We would need to heat two chambers, one for the people, and one for the antimatter shell perimeter of the chamber. In the outer chamber we would need to reverse gravity and emit antimatter.

Ira

Now they sound like the mob. To hunt the mob you need to find the mob. You can find them by using intelligence people to look for them. Send in some British with Irish accents, give them the birth certificates and see what happens!

Of course that might take a while. The best way to get rid of this criminal body is to subject them to alienation from the community. Show the community how they do their work, blowing things up, show them that there is a personal reward for them in the good deeds they can do for others, then show them hardship until they are thrown into the light... place a curfew on the region, then say why there is a curfew, then show them where to sign up for putting this curfew to an end. Get people interested...

Or maybe cracking down on the whole of society is not the way to go? How about they get the pope to denounce these people, or someone similar? That will put the holy people inside the organisation onto the back foot, and all it takes is a few to crack under the pressure - the same reason they joined is the same reason they are 'rats'. Get a lengthy speech for the pope together, let him tell them why they are wrong...

Iran

I think this jump Iran idea is really paranoid. They have shock troops because they want to defend themselves. Same as everybody else. Looking to history underlines the points of a previous regime, regimes change. If you look at the people that currently live in Iran you will see a very westernised bunch of people, same for the leaders. They dress like the west, they subscribe to the west, except they don't want nuclear weapons. If they wanted nuclear weapons they would buy them from North Korea, who would stand to make a small fortune in this arms race.

Stop dividing the west from the near east like this. It is just paranoia.

Well I think geo politics needs to be 'updated'. It is not an age old long gone regime of other people running these states, it is westernised Arabs that have become more intent on their own survival. The days of the Arab riding a camel into battle for their country are over for most, and certainly, the most that vote for the leaders vote for leaders of the same

ilk. How up to date is everybody with the tabloids of iran anyway? That will shine a light on what the people expect the leaders to do, and do it they shall. Not because they want to impress everybody, but because their terminal life readings will not allow them to launch nukes and live, nor do anything to upset the league.

They are not deluded. Even ghadaffi knew he had nowhere to go so decided to live out his days to the last as a free man.

When you see an arab what do you think of? Stereotypes! Are all germans offended at mention of the war? I remember when i met a german for the first time all i could think of was not to mention the war, or ask about it, because i was scared it would offend them. Of course if you came from germany you would think this was funny, this is thinking like a child.

When you meet someone from japan, you would bow a lot and talk about honour and all that jazz the first time. That is also thinking like a child, and no doubt, being teens from other parts of the world at one point or another, we all thought this unless we were eastern.

When you meet a gangster from downtown, you might think it wise to talk about respect and that sort of thing. This is thoroughly thinking like a child, they would just take you as a fool and treat you bad. All these things are stereotypes.

The media is to blame for stereo types. Maybe a long time ago there was something insane about war with the moslems or turks or whatever, and that mentality has been relayed through the media from generation to generation, getting so bad it has become geo politics, a science devoted to media imagery! As I have just shown you these people are all more westernised than you thought they were as a child, more human and bleeding like us when they are cut. Of course, this is al nonsense. Only a psychologist could tell you what you need to hear, and that is that these people have emotions not unlike our own.

With that in mind, do you think these people will launch nukes because they are so passionate about their god? So passionate about seeing the fall of the west? Such passion is not of today, only brainwashing through their media is to blame. I therefore charge the media with portraying a picture of the west as we see it, not as their geo politics or steroe types predict us to be.

Please don't think they are crazy, they are not. they are just brainwashed sometimes. There is no such thing as an adult that likes to kill others except in the west. How many columbine massacres have you heard of from there? Oh the bombings sound similar, but actually wanting to hurt the people close to you is much worse, and they would say inherently western? Would that offend you, or, the other memebers of this forum?

The best way to stop iran from going to war is to let them have nukes. The president won't ride the bloody things over to isreal as they plummet into a big explosion! It will just make them feel better.

Of course nukes have nothing to do with a countries defensive ability - they are an offensive weapon. These things can be identified via satellite and then there can be an invasion by israel for fear of these 'passionate people.'

There is a lack of trust where the wrong person has been elected. Bush for one, assad for another. Governments trust each other in the west, and eastern governments trust each other. African nations do not trust each other, it seems the wrong person has been elected there too, as they like war heroes, and war heroes are the wrong sort of people to put your trust in. They regard militancy as being important. The states that borrow and lend money get it, so there is nothing wrong there either.

Basically, only where the leaders are militant is there a problem, and that is only in africa. The only other leader would be assad, evidently. Your imperfect world looks brighter to me than you.

But at the moment everybody seems to want one, and that if used can only be a bad thing. Why don't they sell civilians automatic weapons? If they were done away with then there would be no problem.

I don't think anyone will attack iran. The left overs of the iraq war show that war is costly nowadays, and the threat is not really there. If you consult the history of the past regimes, none of them rushed to get atom bombs as they were shown how effective they were - they could have smuggled stuff in in those days. If they didn't want one then, why now?

Why would iran be whipping up support for the military? They would be planning a war, but when it comes to invading there would be slim chances of that going through, so it would be a defensive war. Nobody wants to fight a defensive war!

What is power? Power is the right to say what happens. It is the ability to see your will become. What is the will of iran? Is it really the destruction of israel? They already have their own code of laws, they already have all the freedom they feel comfortable with. If they were wanting to destroy israel, they would suffer for their own removal from office. That is what power is, office. If they use their office to remove them from office, then what is their iq?

They would no doubt want to do what all presidents want to do, and that is stay in power for as long as possible. If they want to attack israel they would already have suicide bombers, or, as the day goes into today, plain bombers. I hear nothing of this, so there is no war on israel festering for the west. Seeing as how they have no plans to bomb or nuke anyone, why are they an enemy? They are trying to bring peace to the region in their own way - supporting syria is only a step to stop the fall into anarchy. But, on this point they are wrong. Syria should not be supported, no matter how much anarchy there is going to be. There is apparently no military action planned, so why are they an enemy?

We don't need to disarm, though it would be good.

If we each had people in each other's base with pass keys to the nukes, they would never

be launched. Instead of disarming, just mingle. This will mean that the un will control all the nukes, there will be nukes, all states will listen to the un, and that they will never be launched.

Actually, with nukes in every country, the brunt bearing down on the other states will make war nearly impossible. Instead of disarming, why not send your nukes everywhere?! This will need some 'mingling' too though...

The only problem is corruption. If they were to say control al the nukes from a single 'lab' in the un, then it would be possible to have world peace.

More tax breaks? If it were to be the state not collecting money owed to it, that monaey dissapears. If the state collects taxes, it renumerates. If it doesn't collect taxes owed to it, then it will be seeing that money dissapear - poof it is gone! This is because the taxes not collected will be reinvested into the market, and if ever there was a hundred dollars bacoming one dollar, it is the stock market. Taxes not collected will simply exit the renumeration system, and dematerialise in the company trying to buy itself out of a problem it is in.

What has happened is that the stock crash has drained all the coffers of all the countries. They need to make more money to circulate now, not hope it can steadily increase naturally.

What they need for sanctions is things that affect the leader individually, like seizing his personal cash. That will get results. 'Attacking' the people will not make much of a difference.

Is nuclear technology what seperates so many different countries? Why can't every country have nukes? I suppose it is because of misunderstandings. Think what assad would do with a nuke today? Would he launch it? What about Gadaffi, would he have launched it? The thing is that we never know who will come to power, and these things are dangerous! If we were to observe a counter person to mitt romney - a clerical muslim - coming to power, would they use them?

So what is the difference? This is not something you can write into a book about culture, as there is no difference between them that can be written down, only content. With that in mind there is no way to put it into a law, and without it being put into law, it is illegal.

For this reason we would need to get rid of all nukes, as if all they do is kill civilians in cities, what good are they to the west?

The best way to deal with iran is to make it fall down from the inside out. If they were to take it to the people, they could do this. They need to show the people that nukes are bad, and that thier state wants them, and then there will be protests. Hopefully, the protests will turn into something that threatens iran from within, and then the country will do as the people wish.

There remains some element of goodness inside iran. If the people were to show up and

unbalance the state, then they would have to have a new election. In the new election, seeing as how people get sanctioned and the leaders at present don't care, the new party must promise not to chase nukes in their campaign, and the people seeing the lifting of sanctions, will respond.

Why not sanction the leaders? If the people suffer, and they do nothing, then sanction the leaders instead! This could be done by using the global police standard interpol. If interpol wants to see all police banding together, they could easily see some force coming through within iran. Then they must think up some legal stuff to irritate and infuriate the leaders, or just take money away from them.

Well, I would hope they were to get rid of all the nukes. There are alternatives to the nukes made in russia. What do you need nukes for? If you look at assad, he won't care if they nuke his cities! Any evil ghadaffi style person won't care! So we have the 'allies' sitting on nukes they don't want, and people who do want nukes simply should not have them.

Actually, I was all for everyone having nukes. The big draw back now, is when a mad man gets their hands on one. Would assad nukes homs? Think of that... these rebels he kills he would surely do away with quickly - madmen and nukes don't mix.

If there are nukes, they can be used, making nukes bad. If the nukes were to fall into the wrong hands, big problems. With hackers today they could nuke cities and that stuff, but hey, the 'allies' still have them. Thier existance creates problems.

More jobs

The best thing for the youth to do nowadays, is to invest in a business. They should go to their parents and get them to loan money based on their retirement or other source to start their own business. This should be carefully drawn out with them and then the business opened. What is even better is if the parents leave their own jobs to be the kids bosses, leaving an opening in the previous business. The best way to find a niche in the market is by state or bank intervention - just find an undersupply and work on it with the bank.

Of course by lowering the retirement age you may make it easier for people to get jobs too. Lowering the retirement age to say thirty eight would see the jobs be more plentiful as people retire and get their pension. They could also reduce pension rates depending on how many years they have been working for. This means the adults will rely on their kids to bring home the bacon from a younger age, say when the parent retires they will be able to see their young 'kids' working instead of them, or, start their own business as owners of it, once again with the kids doing all the work.

This will lead to a new society - one that sticks with each other. As soon as the older

people have a steady guaranteed cashflow the banks can loan them the money and then they can open these businesses they have researched.

Well, then they can turn to cousins, second cousins, distant cousins. There must be enough money to cut into that unemployment figure and get people working for the ones with the capital...

Of course they might be able to go to a bank and then sell them their idea. This is standard procedure for a small business loan. They go to an interview and then they pitch.

Or, they could sell their time to businesses. If they were to collect forms stating how they think of their product, and where it actually goes - that it goes mainly to so and such a suburb - there must be money for that research. Idle hands!

Maybe they could try to sell organs or blood? That would raise enough money, within a family of parents, kids, aunts and cousins for a 'family' business. Better yet how about a community business?

What we need to do is find something the state has that the banks want. The best thing that the state can sell the banks, who apparently have money, is their currency. They could sell money in bulk to the banks and then get a lot of revenue! If they were to sell a bond to the banks, their debt will increase, but they can just sell more and more, as they make the money. If they were to sell a billion dollars at the rate of eighty percent, then the bank makes quite a bit of money.

Look at it this way... if the state has taxes coming in, they can pay back the 'loan' in due time. This means a secure loan from the state to the banks, as the state will always be collecting taxes.

Aid

To generate the funds needed for aid, there should be a definite agreement as to who is to blame. Since the people live off the land, and the land has seen them fail, nobody is responsible. All they need is water, not money.

Instead of sending aid, there should be more of people moving towards the mountains, as that is where the rain falls for their cattle. If we were to erect tall poles in the middle of nowhere, they too would entice the clouds to those regions.

I must also ask, what of people living in squatter camps? They are also starving, but the powers that be do not feed them, do they? If there is help for one side, then there should be help for the other side too!

How much charity do we need? We have childrens homes, free hospitals, free schools and famine to deal with, at the very least. Let's see if we can calculate if money is being stolen?

The church collects, in America alone, five dollars by five million people a week. This means they collect about twenty five million a week, and about one hundred million a month, more or less, but let's be pessimistic for a while? So they get these five dollars for a packet of porridge, which might feed five people a day per packet, for thirty days. This means, more or less, that from the church of america, they collect enough from donations to feed twenty million people a month. Is that a lot or a little? Then there are other things that need attention too, like the childrens homes, the free education, maybe some free hospitals and things like that. This twenty five million is not going so far now!

If we were to comb the whole first world, we would find charities enough to feed the people, as it more or less ecens out due to exchange rates.

What big section is missing? Why the middle east! If they were to collect money too, from donations, they could also feed some people. What does the middle east do with their 'tithes?' Where does it go? If we could bring this demogaphic into the fold, maybe we could lessen the burden on the first world...

After consulting my google, I have found that there is more than enough money in the world to pay for all these things coming from the church. A billion from italy a year and a half a billion from Sweden alone should do the trick to feed the hungry. What does the church do with it's money?

I suggest that the church be taxed fifty percent of it's income to pay for the feeding of hungry people and other charities. These coffers have more than enough money to pay for all these things, but they sit on the money. If they collect it from the people it should go back out to the people. If they were to pool with that the churches of islam, in the near east and north africa, they would control half of the worlds churches. Now, if there was to be a church distribution of funds similar to the IMF, then they could feed all the people of the world. In fact they might run out of porridge!

Mohammed

I have already started trying to discredit mohammed as a man with a will of his own and acting in no way like that of which god wanted to see the people living in reality.

Let's recap what I have found so far, most notably that the koran says that religion and law should in no way interfere with each other? Well, here we have a religious figure and not a law maker making laws.

They should take it to the supreme court! Where does it say that laws are written by warriors of god? If 'allah wanted to have his vision' enacted, then he would have summoned the king and it would be so. In the old testament Daniel is sent to the kings chambers to decipher a dream - here we can see god wanted the king to do something about it. Why didn't Allah send the king to do these things? Is it good to send it to a man on the street?

What is sharia's message? I have often wondered that myself. They say that this brings a happy home, well, at least Mohammed says so. The way i look at it, the mother is suited to bear and raise children, so that is what they should do. Am I a prophet now? Really!

So the woman stay away from men except thier husbands, and stay out of the affairs of the husband unless it is a family business. Nothing wrong there. Then there are laws for banks - I am sure that banks can survivie without charging interest to the people from loans they get - there is still the market.

Other than that, nothing to see here, nothing for or against sharia law. What is the big deal? Women in the west enjoy being part of the peership of men. In the near east they will vote how they vote. The war on terror wouldn't exist without sharia law, so, accept it and watch the terror subside, as there will be no radical claims to rewards based on blowing people up.

Hilbert's sixteenth problem.

The first part of the problem is $[n^2 - 3n + 4] / 2$.

This can be cleared up to present $[0.5n + 2]$.

The second part can be written as $[Py = t]$, $[Qx = t]$. This would mean P times y equals t and Q times x = t, which means that $Py = Qx$.

Happy ending problem

$O = N$ times N of n times minus $4n$. This means that N must be equal to a negative number to get times by minus $4n$ into the square root of n . Can intergers be negative? Well in a polygon they can go back on thier original structure to produce a negative number of degrees.

This means that N must be times by minus four equalling O . So $O = n$ times minus four, and they are equal to each other!

K sets

In a k set you will find recurring shapes, especially triangles, that are the sum of one of the other triangles or the sum of four triangles, or any triangle that contains more triangles. This means that if you find one shape you may multiply it by the amount of dots you have, leaving as many shapes within that object as you figure out with simpler geometry.

Hadwinger conjecture

It should be instead that $k = n$ times by amount of points in the shape.

Real quadratic fields

The answer is H.

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Class_number_of_real_quadratic_fields

X equals N greater than zero, and x equals m greater than zero, so, x equals m minus n .

Goldbach's conjecture

This theory states that every even number is equal to two primes added together. Basically it can be written like this; [even number] = prime [a] plus prime [b].

Maybe we could write this as $[n] = \text{half } [n] = [a + b = d] [a - c = e]$. Then we could write that $[d + e] = n$.

Waring's problem

"In number theory, Waring's problem, proposed in 1770 by Edward Waring, asks whether for every natural number k there exists an associated positive integer s such that every natural number is the sum of at most s k th powers of natural numbers (for example, every number is the sum of at most 4 squares, or 9 cubes, or 19 fourth powers, etc.). " - Wiki.

Maybe it should be that every number is no more than half itself times two plus one?

Collatz conjecture

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Collatz_conjecture

"Take any natural number n . If n is even, divide it by 2 to get $n / 2$, if n is odd

multiply it by 3 and add 1 to obtain $3n + 1$. Repeat the process (which has been called "Half Or Triple Plus One", or HOTPO[4]) indefinitely. The conjecture is that no matter what number you start with, you will always eventually reach 1. The property has also been called oneness." - Wiki.

This reminds me of binary. The problem rests with the odd numbers. If you were to take $[3n + 1]$, you may as well take $-3n - 1$ and reverse the answer from positive to negative to get a positive answer. This would mean $[n \text{ minus one}]$ divided by three, or, $n + 1 \times 3$, or $4n$. The answer would be $4n$, or multiplying n by four.

The new formula should be [odd times by four] eternally will just get greater and greater.

Subsequently if you were to combine the conjecture so that any odd number multiplied by four is to be divided by 2, then you would have a case!

Gilbreath's conjecture

$d_n = 1$

and

$d[k \ 0] [n \ 1]$

Erdos conjecture

If the answer is infinity, and $n / 1 + \text{something}$ divided by n equals this, then you could reverse it and say that $1 \times n$ and something times $n = \text{zero}$. This is utter rubbish, unless n equals zero.

Norbornane chemical

This compound is so stable because it is flexible. The delocalization of the sigma bonds makes it like as if a man made structure was supported from the outer sides of the building instead of a pole running through the middle, or something to that degree.

Another analogy is like a rope of many smaller strands on the outside, or, even more likely, as strong as if they were intertwined, but more like a few on the outside giving the compound its strength.

Water reactions

The reason water reacts at a hundred percent is the simple structure of water. Of course the simpler a structure is the quicker it will react. If you were to take one atom or whatever, it will react at a hundred percent, but now we are working with two. The answer must be that water is completely soluble.

Maybe in an increased time frame we will see the water slack off in conductivity or whatever they are talking about because of the difference in atomic structure.

Or, maybe it is because of the two hydrogen atoms that conduct the real current or reaction around the oxygen atoms? The oxygen atoms are flammable, and so are the hydrogen atoms, so maybe it is because they both conduct heat so well?

Alkane stereochemistry

Seeing as how the compound is always rotating, it will fluctuate between its stability equally on each rotation.

The compound will become unstable at its maximum on each rotation, but to keep it from rotating you need to jam pack it with more compounds around itself. This will lead to a more stable compound - jam packing it with more hydrogen atoms.

Alpha effect

So the molecule will donate two electrons to another one. This leaves the prior molecule electron less, but it still has its protons. This might lead to proton decay in the prior, but proton stimulation in the other - if the absence of electrons leads to proton decay, then the added electrons leads to increased 'activity'.

The reason this happens is that the other molecule has weaker protons. The protons push the electrons away, so there must be a push - pull basis for the 'movement'.

The protons are stronger or bigger in the ones that lone the electrons, pushing them away from that molecule.

Catalytic processes - explanation

Catalysts are things that create a reaction to form more heat. They can be liquid, solid or gas. If you were to initiate a reaction with a catalyst, then the reaction must be where the result of the catalyst 'grows' - it creates something that grows, like a virus.

If you were to strike a match, then it would consume the catalyst then grow in volume, consuming more of the catalyst.

The ignition of the catalyst is where the catalyst results in a new compound, like a butterfly from a worm, so to speak.

The catalyst could be observed to be the result of 'plasma' being produced by interaction. The interaction is the result of two plasma producing objects being brought together. If the result is plasma the objects could be said to be insoluble to such a degree that they cannot be brought together without this reaction of a spark or something.

Enzyme kinetics

"Better-than perfect enzymes: Why do some enzymes exhibit faster-than-diffusion kinetics?" - Wiki.

Well the diffusion will spread and preempt the diffusion by reacting as if they were a fire. In a fire you can see that the next thing catches fire before the other thing is finished burning. This chain reaction can be expressed as $[+ x]$ to the reaction, where $[x]$ is the unnatural addition of things to the reaction.

The origin of monochirality

The origin of this is when like compounds are brought together through attrition. If they are 'like', they will rise to the same height in air and water, exhibiting the same compounds as each other.

They stay together through being left in that proximity, and then form a bond.

If this is an autoamplification of life, it still has an origin. If it is that which I suggested in the beginning, then that is the origin.

Protein folding

"Is it possible to predict the secondary, tertiary and quaternary structure of a polypeptide sequence based solely on the sequence and environmental information? Inverse protein-folding problem: Is it possible to design a polypeptide sequence which will adopt a given structure under certain environmental conditions?" - Wiki

This reminds me of vectors. Take the strand as if it were a vector - one dimensional, then add dimensions. The added dimensions come from a folding sequence, but the vectors would be subject to the folding.

If you were to take the vectors and fold them, they will attain height and width. How are they formed? Well, if you were to view a polypeptide, they have similar starting points and ending points, obviously attracted to each other. If the space does not permit them to stretch out, they will join sub starting point and sub ending points together and fold.

Abiogenesis

Easily, this is proven by mixing amino acids with something to give it reactions. The more it reacts, the more life it exhibits. If it reacts for a while, then stops reacting, then has this reaction reenacted, then it will start to form differently based on the reactions it exhibits, like evolution, the more need there is for something the more the acid will try to contain things that it needs for the reaction in the future, like conditioning a muscle.

Physical chemistry problems

<http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Superconductivity> - can the temperature be brought up to room temperature?

<http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Feynmanium> - is it possible to have an element that travels faster than the speed of light?

<http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Photons> - How photons can be converted to energy.

The temperature can be brought up to room temperature by increasing the speed of it's workings. If it exhibits any heat, then it can be amplified accordingly.

Travelling faster than the speed of light is easy when it does not have mass nor be

carbon based. Or, the protons could be doubled, moving at half the speed of light. This would put a square on every calculation, leaving the answer to be the greater than light speed travel.

Photons can be converted into energy by increasing the spin, by adding electrons to the compound that will chase it from place to place, if the displacement is equal and constant for each electron. So, it will travel at an equal pace from electron to electron, trying to avoid them.

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Hypervalent_molecule

"What is the nature of bonding in hypervalent molecules?" - wiki.

Increased pull from the nucleons. The nucleon will be bigger, bonding to another nucleon because of excess proton or electron charges in other compounds, 'shoving' them together.

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Concret...an_concretions

The process that creates the septarian concretions is where the rock erodes from within due to water getting inside the rock and becoming part of the rock, like sticking an object into a balloon and filling the rest with air.

Cold fusion

I guess by heating the water you excite the particles and then they give way to more coming out from going in.

If you were to heat the water with [x] energy, you would excite the water's particles, leading to [x] + ? amounts of energy coming out in the water. The water will conduct the heat so that at the outer rims it is so hot, and gradually this heat goes to the inside of the water as well. Then the water will continue to convey the heat into all the water, and water is a conductor. This means the water will continue to heat for a while after it is switched off from the power source.

When you see people throw a toaster into a bath tub, do you get more energy out? I think it does. Water is a natural conductor of electricity, and I suppose an amplifier too.

Higgs mechanism

In the standard model, the fermion equation of the higgs mechanism is simple. All the values are given by adding those funny symbols to each other, but the few that don't have a 'friend' are A Du G and Yu. This means that A is equal to $D/u + Gu + Yu$, meaning that it is $D/u + [G + Y]u$. You may actually find the photon generates the gluon, so you would not add them together! Instead you would judge the spin of the gluon by the power generated by the photon - the closer the thing in the greater the gluon would travel and generate the gluon with enough power to spin it away, generating mass.

Magnetic monopoles

Magnetic monopoles are particles that carry only one 'pole' in the magnet. This is possible if the particle is cut in half.

Proton decay

Matter and anti matter should be the same amounts, but they are not - there is more matter, there is matter.

The reason for this is that anti matter has the same 'figures', and matter splits and stuff to create more matter of varying figures. This is an educated guess of course! They say that after some tests where anti matter collided with matter there was matter left over fractionally [one percent] higher than the anti matter. I suppose this is because of the fact there might not have been a big bang of course, leaving that there just was matter...

The proton decay is dependant on a spin to make it move. This takes up energy, and energy once spent inside an atom is gone somewhere else, yes? That is why protons decay. Or you could say it is like a heart, beating, decaying...

When it comes to how stable they are, they need their electron and neutron to remain stable. After that they will be stable until the energy is 'used up'.

The half life of a proton is about as many rotations or 'pulses' as is standard - measure them. You may accelerate them for observation by heating it, as heat makes energy, but this may prolong the life span. If you were to accelerate the 'proton's pulse' you may be better suited to finding this out.

Super symmetry

Super symmetry, once understood or disproven, will unlock a lot of new theories about the universe.

On the standard model, doubling the number of particles would result in having two of the same. We are trying to find the super partners of each thing. As we are looking for things with 'half a spin different', we should add things into each atom to find the super partner, as I am aware that adding things into a atom will change the spin. Is it possible to have two electrons as super partners? Well adding protons, neutrons or electrons will change the spin eve so slightly, maybe even adding new types of atoms will give the symmetry they we need...

Would it be possible to change the symmetry by less than half a spin? I really think so, so there would be your super partners.

This must exist.

Generations of matter

It is my guess that quarks are like protons, which would mean they would also run out of energy. If this is true, then they should cese to exist eventually. I gather this from the lepton having electrons and neutron type stuff.

"Neutrinos of all generations stream throughout the universe but rarely interact with normal matter. It is hoped that a comprehensive understanding of the relationship between the generations of the leptons may eventually explain the ratio of masses of the fundamental particles, and shed further light on the nature of mass generally, from a quantum perspective." - wiki.

The neutrinos basically are there to bring half of the other towards it. It is like a support of half neutralising the other. If you have a typical rope, it can hold more than it's mass, so, maybe the neutrino can support twice it's 'weight'? Regardless this is balance anyway...

They all have elecro magnetic weak forces. The relationship is one of generations [obviously] and the generations reflect the wieght each particle has to remian stable. As you can see, the second generation is the biggest or heaviest, and then that holds the atom together. The reason the second generation is the heaviest is that it is 'waste' being ejected out of the first generation, and the cream of the crop rises to the third generation. It is like attrition.

Extra dimensions

I have been trying to crack thi for ages. If the third dimension has an inner dimension, so the fourth can have one, and so on.

To acc fourth dimension we need to investigate intergers. For every set of intergers that is possible, there is another dimension.

With the discovery of atoms, we can see there are things inside the atom. What is inside them? Atomic scale I would say is the fourth dimension, then quark scale should be the fifth dimension. With the inclusion of computers over the naked eye for investigating the microscope, we can discover a lot more. There might be a limit, in fact I am sure of it. There is nothing bigger than a star naturally, so there must be nothing smaller than the smallest thing, if nature holds true.

Theory of everything

They say finding a familiar format for general relativity and quantum mechanics is the hardest part...

This can be sorted out by saying [half Rab] minus G. Then for q.m. you divide that by two to find the relationship, seeing as how there are two spheres to the greater side, and halved values to the lesser side.

Electroweak symmetry breaking

I would say the reason they break is because the current cannot be maintained by the 'circuit'. Maybe there is a point where the electric signal makes a mass of matter? Maybe it is 'proton decay'?

Neutrinos

Neutrino's are part of the lepton family. They have a spin of half, so they must come in twos to have a full spin, if this is required. It is said that they generate mass, so must have mass themselves, but that was proven another way by flavour oscillation. I suspect that neutrinos gather mass from the gravitons, as gravitons are responsible for mass. That is why things move in space, because everything has gravitons, or, collect atoms around them because they are three dimensional in shape.

Pions

The transition from gluons and quarks into pions and nucleons is made by the addition of $t\bar{t}$ to a positive or negative. They make the transition by attracting negative or positive quarks to the 'debris', and then pairing off to find a place in the atom.

The major driving force is that there are already atoms in the world, so they are attracted to and repelled from these and then 'adjust.' The neutrals find a unlike positive or negative, and they become positive or negative by cell division, as the cells divide into smaller parts when they come into contact with each other. If you were to observe a stream, the water will flow because there are positive and negatives in it, allowing movement. If you were to observe anything, for fluidity there must be neutrals and positives and negatives, other wise there is no movement, as the pushing and pulling allows for movement, albeit in the same direction, or so it may seem. What you see there is a great ripple of opposing forces, that is why the 'ponds move.'

In solid things, they do not move, so there must be an absence of positive and negative forces, although substance is made of the same carbon, it actually is being held together on the lesser level - the carbon world level.

If you were to heat a brick enough, it will behave in the same way as a stream, and a gas, well that just floats all over the place, also mingling with the other push and pull forces. If you were to remove the junior carbon level, then the smaller level of pions can move around, or at some level they can.

Pions are formed by quarks getting stuck on the gluons, as the gluon has many little things that point out from it, like tentacles, or the real word might be bonds. The quark can be positive or negative, so they would attract each other onto a gluon where they can form. Of course this only happens when something grows older, yes? That could be due to the fact that they always split when things are moved, and movement is nearly constant throughout the universe. The way i see it, you get carbons, then you get cells, then atoms, then pions and then quarks and gluons. If you were to grab the quark, you could attach it to any gluon, making unstable atoms. What that will do, I have no idea, but maybe it is worth a try?

Protons and neutrons

I think this has something to do with the electrons. If the electrons were to revolve around the nucleus, and the protons were to stay in one place, it could be the

polarisation of the electron with the neutron that makes them come closer together, then bind.

Why would they bind? Well if the two are part of the same atom, and they are non moving, being moved together wouldn't matter to them. If there was a diversive force between the two, which there evidently is not, then they would repel one another.

They attract one another because they are exactly opposite in charge. As we all know a positive attracts a negative, but in this case, they create intermediary atoms of something and then possibly 'gel' by the 'fungus' growing between them, connecting them [as one is B⁺ and the other B⁻].

Neutron stars

Because a neutron star can create a black hole, we would think that the suck force would be emphasised by the core and rest of the star.

Think of stretching an elastic. Then think of keeping on stretching it. Now, think of it as it pulls inwards. That is the force it exhibits from being a neutron star into a black hole, as it has even more force pulling things into it.

Maybe if you thought of the universe as a single cell, or a bunch of cells, then there would be a better picture. Think of earth as a fungus or bacteria inside the cell or solar system? These cells are held together by the space of the universe compacting them. Then they would be as if to say that each cell or solar system has an electron - sun - positrons, neutrons and protons - planets fit in there somewhere - and so forth.

If a neutron star was to be made up like an atom, then why not the rest of the universe? Maybe we could predict the rest of the universes structure that way?

Austerity measures

Well, if they want to raise retirement ages, they overlook that people can retire at any time and then get paid out as if they were retired by the company or state. The state will begin paying them in a few years, so they can live off thier interest and savings until then. Conversely they need to start getting paid as they retire, and you can retire at fourty if you wish.

If you worked for a company for three years or twenty, what does it matter? If you reach an age at that point where you retire then you will be paid out by the company or state even if you were in that position for only a year, yes? Now what is to stop people from retiring at a younger age then? What is the sense in this, you

get paid for how long you worked for that company!

Financing arms deals.

There is still a lot of room for improving the money used by the war machine of the world that could wipe all evil doing from everywhere.

Basically we would need to Liquidate all arms dealers and sell those weapons to the state. Then they could reopen and have all thier debts to the banks cleared from the reserve - they borrow money to make weapons and then they liquidate. The banks do not write that off as a loss but rather sieze all the assets and make a profit on selling them to the state at an auction level prices. The dealer makes money, the banks make money, the banks make money, everyone stays employed, the weapons are supplied.

Hold on there, I mean liquidate them then buy the assets back, rename the company and get back to work. This is not illegal, but some people might say others doing it are doing something illegal, until they do it themselves.

Financing christmas

We need more money to buy more toys for children in need. Christams should be a time for everyone to enjoy some presents, so, the church could help here.

If the church was to go to the super market and buy non perishable goods in bulk, they could pay for them as if they were not in bulk and take the 'savings' and buy toys for the kids.

Or they could go to the cell phone store and grab a super discounted gross of reworked cell phones, or older models that no longer sell, yes?

Maybe they could suggest that instead of collection they bring some old teddies? That might make some people happy, especially parents of younger children.

Or, they could try to get appliances like radios by placing an order by the factory, going around the middle man and distributor they could save a lot of money and gather a few more elctronic items for the kids!

Anyone got any ideas?

More health care

Health care should be a right, factored into the taxes. This means less money is paid by everyone instead of leaving people unable to afford the treatments when they need them. This should take taxes up by about half a percent, yes?

If everyone is covered then the quality of life is better for the majority, which is important. Pitching this to the throngs of voters out there that will gather and let their voices be heard will sweep away the votes offered by the middle and upper classes, conversely costing them the most as well. Pitching this to the public will get you a lot of votes.

Think of the people in the poorer areas... they will be so thankful for the party that gives it to them. They will easily cover this with the money coming in, say fifty million people with one currency paid each, and say about one hundred thousand people going to hospital each month - two percent of the people - will leave fifty million coming in and spending, say a hundred currency on each treatment, will leave you with forty million currency over - economic growth, yes? This is actually a way to make money, charging the people about half a percent of their income.

I think universal health care is a great idea, or maybe we could sync the two? There could be a medical aid provided for the poor with petty costs that could cover them easily too!

Tea party

I have had it with this tea party people messing around. I want to put them in their place and anoint the more progressive Dems more power in the house, regardless of how they have fared thus far.

The first order of business is floor crossings. If someone holds a seat they may cross the floor and give power to the great Dems. How do I make them cross the floor? Well if we were to point out that the national elections were in favour of Obama, that McCain is too old to run again, and that the people will side with the Dems again in the next elections as they will fail again, then that is incentive. Now if you were to point out that they will see how much of a big mess they will make over the next year, about six months before twenty twelve elections, they will vote for the person who grants the most jobs. The focus of the GOP is the war, or it should be. The people should remember that the GOP have voted for continuing the war but for economic reform, but economic reform can only come through the trillion coming back that is being wasted on the war. That money circulates on a closed circuit and could easily provide another hundred million jobs in the US is redirected back there, yes?

The way back to work is with the Dems. Now that the people vote in people and tell them what to do, they will cross the floor to escape the hatred from the people,

clinging onto the power. Values mean nothing to these people, they want to be in a position to direct power over the country and make a good place for themselves to keep power. Anything good that comes along will be scrutinized and then voted on, but who will vote against a good motion?

Well, when it comes to the motions they come from the pres, then are voted on. If the people in the senate vote in a stupid ballot, who is to know it is stupid? I remember that they wanted to freeze stuff a while ago, and that would have been a disaster, with costs mounting and no money coming in a deficit every day growing!

Now, the Gop in the house will cede to the will of the people. They have news updates all day long so any experimenting they want to do is out of the question. They will all be held accountable for it, and, this means they will try to invest in things that cannot fail, meaning that they will be spreading money to the big firms who might fail. Giving a company money means they can survive a bit longer, but buying a new business means more jobs. Stuff billions on one company with few employees, bonus to many small companies with millions worth of employees making a way in life.

This tea party is bad news. Wanting to overthrow the sum total of what has been built by having another tea party, well... what is that in aid of?

The way forward is to cross the floor to a progressive party. This dem thing is going to come to fruit soon, as soon as they pull the plug on the war. They could for a fraction hire mercs in Iraq and Afghanistan to keep a lid on the situation, as they will think twice before bombing their own people? Not? Well then they will answer to those that hide them, also country people they are supposed to be fighting for. Chop chop.

Now the other option for gaining the house again is to call on all people that are GOP elated and ask grill them now on what they exactly want to do, with the same done to dems. They could lay it all on the line, now. Then the people will be able to say to their "voice of the people" what it is they want. Of course they know better and now that the votes are in they will be able to do as they please. The people will not forget this, and the people will make life hell for them again. Remember what happened in the bush era? Never has there been such a hated US president - imagine these charlatans throwing dice in the office? They will have to compensate for their votes, or, vote with the dems to keep support, as, they can have it made public.

Bloody tea party! Quite rude. Separatist dogs!

World peace

The problem with this being the path to world peace is that some people need things, so fight for them. Of course if you were to reach for domestic peace then this whole meditation thing is really a step in the right direction. Why not try it at schools? I could see students agreeing to remain quite or get a page of writing out for talking between lessons, maybe at the start of a lesson? This would settle kids down, then parents, then the whole of society.

But having this way to world peace means that the world will not really be fed this way. That is the problem, that is why rural people invade cities and look for food, yes? So, with 'operation surplus distribution', there would be enough for everyone, with the surplus being under estimated and then dealt out to people when it is not in good 'shape'. But, that would lead to others saying they want food for free too!

Now, if we want to get food to people that need them, then this could work and we could get out of the gutter of war and into the meditations already! If there was only a way to get this done right... maybe with the help of the shop, they could try to send them inferior grade goods, but that might not workble will still moan!

So, to fix this we need to have the goods opened for quality inspection, then they would be spoiled. Maybe they could justify opening some goods, in fact a lot of goods for quality inspection, and this would satisfy the health inspection people. Think of how many of these things go to waste? They could open them before issuing them and then let the spoiled goods go to a terminal and give goods away for free. I am sure that comes with middle class or even poor places would make them asking for the same treatment as being an insult!

This will end world hunger, and, get us on the way to world peace with all the people having faith in their state and then getting on with leading happy lives. I am sure cargo drops of spoiled goods to people in need in Africa and South America would be easy to achieve.

Getting more personal finance

The best way a person can, as an individual, get more money out of thier role in life is for them to pay thier house or their car off.

To pay your house off you could easily try to sell your house to yourself. You open a second account as a business concern and then pay yourself a few times of what the house is worth to yourself in your 'business', then liquidate your company. The compnay owns the house, then you buy it back, alleviating all the pressure onto the company, of course.

Then, if this for some reason this is not allowed, you could take out a loan from a

rival bank and make sure it comes into the smallest repayment bracket percentage possible. This will make the loan minimally expensive. You see your home loan is calculated on a very high percentage, so, you need to borrow from a lot of other banks with minimal repayments to make sure you do not end up paying off interest for the rest of your life. If you borrow a five thousand rand loan from twenty banks, that is a lot of interest gone, and interest stacks each month. Take a look at my diagram please...

Seems they only see the way to the light by throwing money at the recession. Well, if I had it my way, I would...

Buy up all the businesses themselves. If the state got involved in the market they could raise some money for themselves too, as well as stimulating the market.

The market grows a little all the time. Injecting it with state funds would allow the state to make some money off of it.

If they want to buy their way out quick sticks they could try to burn money. If they burned some money they would have less money and it would be worth more, meaning the money in the banks would be worth more. If they took more money out of circulation and bought dollars from the retailers and then burned them, they would have more money in the banks than they have physically. This will lead to a credit dependant society, yes?

Now with a credit dependant society everyone get to make more money. With the cents being taken off for transactions - cents that nobody will miss, well, think of the millions of cents each day, billions a month! This could all go to the state, and they could pump it back into society, yes?

Then the credit will lead to more credit places opening up. This means more competitive pricing, also resulting in billions of dollars saved a month for citizens. Then the state could tax credit companies a few cents more, more billions!

With a credit society there will be less crime. You cannot buy drugs on credit - I hope - so there will be no more funding for this. You cannot steal credit cards, so there will be less money going into illegal firearms, prostitution, robbery and bank robbery.

There are countless other ways to save money and make money change hands, leaving more gaps for credit to 'fill'.

This will result in actually... trillions of dollars saved each month, easily! All for a few loose cents. Burn that money! Credit society here we come!

More ai

It is better because it uses a faster interface. Instead of the actual motherboard calculating or communicating in ons and offs, it will construct sentences it has been programmed to acknowledge as true, or, decide if they are true or not because of what it has learned before.

The computer could be set up to have hardware that is made out of plastic components that will all run at the same time. Imagine the whole computer on all the time, but running at a comfortable speed it so it do;t burn out? This means that it will run the whole operation through a set path, and not have to use up time channeling it through the 'right' path. This will make the operation use less calcuatlions to see where it should go.

Well if you give a computer a vocabulary, and it learns how all these words works, then it would eventually come up with suggestions if programmed to. If it were to be a learning storing computer, it would learn, then progress with suggestions.

We think in words and when taught correctly, scientific language, being maths, physics and chemistry. The sci unit will store all the known scientific laws like a library, because I thought my 'computer' would be useful to nasa science wing or other students and computer people.

Well I just find this strange because I have consulted other programmers and they said it would work. Guess I have to convince you!

How do you teach a child to talk? First you work with visual recognition, then with word familiarity. Once the child has learned the words you may teach it things using only words. I guess this is where you say that there is no visual representations, nor human like recognition...

So I guess that the only reconition it has is word based. How does it learn the core words? Well if it were to have them hard wired into it, that fire burns wood, then it could be taught the sentence as if it were a dictionary. Actually having a dictionary installed into it would work better.

Then you would have to get the recognition going. To have recognition you would think you need binary, but, making a few, and I do mean a few, hard coded phrases to work with would be to your advntage. If you were to program the computer to 'take in new word', then ask how it enacts with the phrase it has hard wired, it would learn in a virtual world.

So you create the virtual world. It will learn how a baby learns.

Okay, how about hard wiring 'goals' instead of words? This could mean that the computer needs to know the answer of the question or something?

What I mean is that the computer needs to respond with it's word transistors, and the goal is to get the programmer to say that it 'has done well'. Then the computer gets to remember this in it's memory, eventually stringing things together, so it may not know what it really means, but instead just learns what the words mean.

For example, you could tell the computer that fire is hot. This is the first time a computer has heard of something being something else, as if you ask what a fire is it will say that it is dictionary defined. This means that the coputer knows this, even if it doesn't know what a fie looks like.

So the computer is working with "The fire is hot," and will spew information onto the screen trying to 'understand' this, or, getting the approval of the programmer. This is very basic so the computer will slowly venture what it thinks the words should mean, and eventually the computer will understand that fires are hot, then that they burn things, then that fire is actually a destroyer of forests.

What sort of processing will the underlying layer do? On off switches will only add up to processing things or putting them off, and it is complicated. To turn words and values off and on would be more vast, and probably quicker, making a proper thinking computer. All you said it needs is goals, like curiosity, if I remember correctly...

If it were to put processing on and off, why not words? They would be meaningless until it has an over layer processing them. I say over layer, you say under layer. I say tomato, you say tomahto.

Let's try this again? If the computer uses 'words numbers and symbols' like a calculator, it tells you what you want to know. If you want to have a conversation with a computer, then you need it to have a 'personality.'

This is possible maybe with my latest idea, a brain. If we were to insulate a lot of energy inside a conductor, eventually it will repeat itself, and then form a conscious, following the path of least resistance each time, it will 'remember' these things it does. Placing this insulation inside a silicon brain would lead to the machine remembering things it is programmed to remember, or will just remember things as they happen over and over again.

That is how we study to remember things, we repeat them until they focus in our brains. A baby comes into the world with a knowledge of what happens in the womb, and slowly learns that doing something twice gets the same results. But then I am no expert on this sort of thing, but rather profess my theory that you can create a silicon brain with an unlimited lifespan, and then place that into a computer. If we were to teach it too we would need it to have receptors. These receptors could be sattelites, and things like that.

Well this way we are not programming the computer, nor hardwiring it, to perform usual computing, but rather to have a memory inside it's silicon brain. When the

first brains evolved, they were just 'moosh', a spec of moosh, yes? After it repeats itself over and over again a muscle remembers certain things, so the silicon brain will remember things it feels through it's receptors, maybe a camera or chemical sniffer, and how about a thermometer? WE could do some base programming to allow this creation to sense, then observe if it actually evolves like i hope.

If you were to take a lot of energy circulating inside a 'conductor', like made of metals, or a metal and silicone shaped brain, then it will remember things the same way a muscle, like a tricep or even our own brains would. The reason we live with such a advenced 'psyche' is the way our brains are shaped, as they have nothing inside them, so, shape the silicone and metal brain so that electricity can go into it, like our nervous system.

All we need then is to find a way to communicate with our synthetic brain - either direct 'noise' in the form of cpu communications, or even inserting 'sensory organs' into the whole thing.

korea problems...

If a neighbour is having a military drill, how is it acceptable to shell civilians?
Whoever ordered the attack initially should be thrown into court!

Now, when it comes to North Korea and South Korea becoming friends again, or even having the South walk out into the world with the North, hand in hand, they should try to bridge this gap. They should not see a border when they look at each other, they should see a neighbour, someone to trade with, someone to celebrate.

When they shell civilians it just goes to show that they are trying to, well, what are they trying to do? They will not defend themselves by killing civilians. They should have targetted the military exercise if they had any fears, yes?

Now, what should happen is that the north declares thier nukes and demands to have the sanctions lifted. In fact every nation should be allowed nukes, why not? If someone is pointing nukes at you, would you fire first?

There is a backbone of cowardice and fear in the ranks of the North Koreans. The people at the top see themselves losing something, and this leads to fear or hostility of some sort, yes? What else could make them so pent up and angry? They must have a reason to fear or be hostile, so, we must find what it is.

The mostl likely thing they could do is fire the people not venturing over to South Korea over the holiday. If they would refuse to do that then they are fighting feeling stupid, fighting embarrassment at having someone hold out a friendly hand towards you while you attack them with insults and bad feelings. What is the point

of saying that because of history we cannot move forwards. If we were to forget about it, then there could be trade and merriment. What is the point of having nukes, is the South going to invade you? China? The world is not as backwards as some may feel, all you have to do is look around. Even those with nukes lack political enemies, unless their enemies are about 'history' or blood testaments or some nonsense. What is the point of even having a heritage if it brings you to be unhappy and kill others?

So, I suggest the brass go to the local museum and see if they can find there a reason to bring harm to a neighbouring country. Did killing your grandfather bring you to a point where you need to kill another to make up for it? Did what has happened influence what should happen? Where is the apparent danger? There is no danger!

North Korea has made a fine job of its insulation, and they can be proud of that, but, think what lies beyond? What will happen if they open their doors to talks to iron this out? Where is the problem? It is all in your minds, yes?

Women in afghanistan

The rights of women in Afghanistan should be dealt with swiftly. The women should appoint a woman's club where they apprehend the men that beat them and beat them back. If they went around in groups of four or five they could beat the men that beat them, one at a time, maybe even all in one night. If there is legal battles then the law, which is progressive, will make them break even and warn them of further beatings. Either way the beatings will stop, yes?

How else can we have peace in the middle east?

What we need here is peace. What is to be gained by war? What does war bring you? What does violence bring? What does racism bring?

Conversely what does understanding bring? Money and merriment! Wealth physically and spiritually. So...

Is it worth it to fight for your fathers? What will that give you? What will that give your fathers? If it is decreed to fight against each other in the afterlife, there will be plenty of time for that later. For now why not try to submit to another human being? They are not devine, but, they are alive. Is your cat or dog to be loved and cherished more than another of your species? What does that say? Palestine should... move on, forwards, upwards, and into the better world it can be, yes?

Wars in africa

The wars in africa are about resources, but the leaders have made it a holy war. The people need to see they are not fighting for a better way of liiving, but rather for gold and land. The leaders do not even go to Mosque, do they? They say that is because it is unsafe, so, why not employ all the people that are out fighting to protect them on their way to and during thier prayers? This would put into perspective thier priorities, yes?

If they can afford to send people to fight for them, what are they fighting for? Land and resources. What good is land and resources if all your country men are dead? How can greed make for a better way of life? How do farmers not swop some chickens for some 'fertile land' in the form of nutrients? If the missionaries were to do more good, they would ship in nutrients for them so they can all live peacefully.

But, what can happen now? If there needs to be a way for the farmers to have fertile lands, then they should let thier cattle mingle with another. What is the harm in this? There are plenty of people milling around, appoint sheppards. What farmers in America did was to brand all thier cattle, so why not let them mingle and brand them? This will sort that out.

Then there are the holy wars. These can be sorted out by showing the people the priorities of the leaders, that it is not God they fight for, but rather greed, as I have pointed out. What the heck are they going to do with undeveloped resources? There is enough of that back home for everyone, or, until resources become artificial, yes? What are they sending thier people out to fight for?

Having ethnic origin would come down to racism and so forth? But what is racism but another problem to be overcome?

Now, if there is to be a problem with where you come from, that defines a person. If this is a personal problem it is a generalisation of sorts.

This 'racism' is apparent by people not wanting to forgive the sayings of thier fathers, like a jewish type of treatment, yes? Now if there was to be a foreigner in your home, what do? Do you love the guy living across the street? Do you live the guy living down the block? Do you love the guy on the other side o the world but not the guy that has a few sayings said about them? This is like a derby soccer match, they just started with a friendly competition and ended up with some people getting out of hand, and that saying came to be held as truth. Everywhere you look you will see this sort of thing between countries and regions, but for it to escalate into war? Why? If you don't like someone then you need to just ignore them, or try to like them. Think if you could like everyone in your community, would you be happy? Is it bad to place out your hand in friendship and have it smote? What if you held you hand out thrice and got one new friend, wouldn't that be worth it?

People you will never see again will not matter to you, but the ones that take you to heart will be a treasure, yes?

So, if you want to hold something against someone because of local norms, think before you do it. Ask your friends why they hate the other people. They might say that is because it is easy to dislike them, everybody does anyway! Then maybe it will become clear that there is nothing of substance to hate others for, nothing they are born with. They have an accent, they have a different look maybe, but otherwise they are also people.

More Economic.

.....[initial loan]----->[interest]
.....v
[Many smaller loans].....v
.....v.....v
[minimal repayments]----->[pay off interest]----->[minimal repayments]

This can be done for your car too, as I understand you won't be paying more later, but less throughout. This is the easiest way out of debt. Simply manage your finances like this and you will be under much less pressure!

Better farming

The best way to make your crops grow a nice healthy life is to get hold of nutrients for the soil.

Otherwise you would be well pressed to irrigate them well. This would be easy with enough water, but what about subsistence farmers? They need water too!

Now, if they need to get hold of water they could try to capture all thier bath water for use in the field. This could be done by emptying the bath water into a container and then using it for your field. Or, they could even pay one dollar to kids for carrying them thier water from thier families and then they could irrigate the whole farm they have! Yeah!

Now, if they want to get land they just need to up shack and move further outwards, maybe for a half a day's walk, and then set up a 'farm'.

Stocks

Okay, then let's talk E Toro?

You can make currency trading a snap if you observe the movements of the market. Stocks dictate where a currency will go in value, as they import goods with that money, but sometimes they use their own currency. The thing is it all goes into the GDP of that country - the exporter.

You should be on the lookout for Japan as an exporter and therefore high gainer at times. Maybe the Yuan will interest you also, and, seeign as how the Yuan is less sensitive than the Yen, it is up to you to make up your mind... oh yes!

Now, if you hear of a car export, or get a time when the shipping will ship out to a new land, that is when the currency becomes stronger, gaining 'pips' - little things of worth. If you pretend to be a dealer you could infiltrate this child prostitution ring and get to the nitty gritty. Yeah!

Basically, if I know my stuff, the best time to buy Yuan is when a lot of nations renew their leadership through elections, and then they will want to buy a whole fleet of new cars, but that is usually German, so the Mark you should also watch, less the Euro.

Another mark for you to watch out for is the end of the year and the beginning, when people buy tools en masse, but, what is the largest export from anywhere? I would say electronics from the east, so watch these markets around christmas, or restocking in the new year.

When is the best time to make pip profit potential? Well that has to be the time everyone is selling, but not right at the beginning. To deal yourself in, look for when everyone is selling, but not the first bloc, the last one! Catching the sheep before they get a chance to sell is pivotal - remember this is not the stocks, this is the currency.

Look to the end of the year when people go on holiday. Where is the best place to go on holiday? Typically you should buy South African rands before the end of the year, or, at the end of the year you could buy Euro's, or, to be more exact, british pounds. This is because all the students that want to see the world, when the third world ends exams for seniors at the end of the year, they will be buying a lot of these. Then they will also take their parent's savings for them and convert them to these, basically, and they will be in less supply.

Remember the British pound is inflated for some reason, the people there usually only import. Then Remember Japan, who imports and exports. Then there is China who mainly exports. You should find a happy medium between these three major currencies, yes?

If you want to buy pounds, buy them at the end of the trading day and sell them the next day. If you want to buy Yen, buy them at the end of the day and sell them in the morning when Japan is buying foreign currency to import. If you want to

play with the Yuan, buy them when then are placing imports orders, or, at the beginning of thier day, then sell them at the end of the day when the west is placing orders for Chinese goods.

When a new lin of products is released, buy the currency of that region. This would usually be China, Japan and Euroes. The types of things to look out for are revolutionary electronics, or gizmoes.

Or, they could observe the stocks of the anti retovirals - they are always increasing in needs. This means the pharmaceutical companies will always gain. These are zero risk investments, and, will use currency of those places. Finding countries that supply more will mean they will be supplying it cheaper due to competition, meaning that they will supply more. Buy those currencies!

The best time to buy a currency is when it is about to go up. This could be identified by stock trends. If a company buys another company, easily found in financial mails everywhere then the currency will go down for the buying companies country and up for the purchased companies country.

This means that i will gain pips if you buy as I stated.

War on terror

The war of terror is based on belief, belief in a better tommorow. What will violence bring for tommorow? Death surely.

If there was a way to bring home food for your family and live correctly, then maybe that would include hard work? I am sure that fighting - rebelling - is the way this has gone. Think of those who do not rebel... are they the rebels against the beliefs? Or, are the militias the rebels? They fight for a better tommorow, but who are they fighting? America got invov in two world wars with Germany, and a war with Vietnam based on doing what is right. Are they doing what is right now by fighting to defend themselves?

When people fight they fight for something. Fighting for the annihilation of a country far away... what bearing does that country have on yours?

When you kill someone or blow yourself up you make people think that Moslems are all like that. This does not make people want to embrce you, politically nor religiously. The best way is to petition and not be bad news for the rest of your people.

When you rebel against Karzai, remember he is linked to the mosque - he is responsible for the places to worship, and he wishes that you would not worship with him. He knows what is best for the country, and apparently he has decided that the west is good for the rest of the country and strives for ties with them.

What would one of the militia do for an audience with him? They would surely be in awe of such an important person talking to them. I once saw this guy wearing an anti Bush shirt and then being shocked to meet him! He was in awe, he was a hated man. Think now of how much you want to be like Karzai, but do not find your way so easily, and therefore act like animals in search of power, or, a voice. Why not blog?

Then there is the thought of asking your brothers in arms what they are fighting for? It is thought of as cowardly to have doubt, to have blind faith is thought of as being savoury. Hitler had blind faith from his men and women. Ceasar had blind faith from his militia. Is it that all militias must remain blindly faithful to thier leaders?

Seeing as how they are either faithful or thinking, it comes to the fore that people might actually see that there is another way to get what you wanted.

When you joined it was probably based on wanting to make a difference. What difference has been made so far? How long will it take to make a difference, and, how many innocent people will die in making that difference? I tell you now none of his generation will see any difference - they cannot destroy the west! There would be no markets, there would be no money, there would be no medicine. Think of all the people the west cares for while this is ongoing with aid?

You will not see a difference and it is only in your afterlife that you will be able to be happy, so why not start today? Remember if you were doubting then it is not the blind faith you once had, so, will not endure, and you will become hard like the leaders, and then you will be unhappy. SURELY there is a indicator of enrichment during life?

I ask one thing of all militias, look to your leader. Does he have a plan for the betterment of the country and world, or plan to kill more people. Some of them don't even know why they are fighting any more!

What will tomorrow bring? Tomorrow will surely be a better day as the grass is always greener on the other side. When it comes to obeying your father, what example did he set? He surely did not beat people up to convert them to Islam if they were of another faith, but rather tried to show mercy and beat you if you did not worship, yes? Did he assault other adults though? Beating a religion into people seems to be the way it works over there.

Now, when it comes to having people die for thier country, they are wasting thier time. The country will not miss them, and the country will not profit. The ones who stand to profit are actually thier 'rebel' leaders who make the demands. It is not for thier country they fight, nor thier people, but rather the leaders that they answer to. These are the only men that stand to gain from all of this.

More stocks!

do you spot a good bargain? Well you could look for a company with a lot of capital, then you will see it dip into the stocks and the capital to get off the ground. This means that, with the capital, it will float for a long time, or, gain. This is a no lose situation. Buy stocks in a company that will not go down because it has too much capital. Don't look for companies desperate to sell stocks to get off the ground, the risk is high, and, even though the returns could be great, it is a risk.

Go for zero risk - you will make gains.

Getting the risk down is very important. If you had invested in Capitec bank in twenty ten you would have made a lot of money back. This is a bank in Africa by the way.

So, how would you know when a stock is worth something? The best way to tell when a company is good to buy into would be when they advertise a lot after they are established. This period is where they will be in money and eager to expand further. The fact that they are advertising means that they see people have forgotten about them because they are already a household name, yes? Then there is a good way for them to set the future up so they will make more money by re advertising.

There is a difference between advertising and re advertising. In South Africa there are competitive cell phone companies that often start advertising again and again - there should be something similar where you live? When a company re advertises it will surely be to grab some more market share.

Then you could also look for liquidations or closures. When this happens buy into their competition to grab as much of the market share that you can. Look to developing countries, say it is a domestic clothing label. This would mean that the poor - the majority of the people there - will be buying cheap clothes for themselves. They will surely be buying more of those clothes in the future. The best thing is to look out for bad investments of those competitors, and then see them go down, releasing the entire market for the competition, which will then for a few days or weeks, maybe months, be the sole seller of that type of item.

Then there is the monopolies and their competition, especially emerging competition. Looking to all markets through the financial news will reveal these - there is certainly one of these each month! This will mean the monopoly loses market share as the fledgeling will grow, so, of course, invest in the new one!

I find the optimal stock is one that uses very little to provide a luxury service, like this recent December twenty ten Galaxy tab sales proclamation of so much. I even see shack dwellers spoiling themselves with these, and becoming a part of their lives.

This is low cost production mixed with high cost sales. The only thing you must be mindful of here is severe competition. When a new product is launched in a third world country, how will you know what will sell well?

The best way to find optimal performers is to observe the spending culture of the region. This means taking the first month into account. If you wait you will be more informed about what is going on there, or...

Visiting the country on holiday will be a good way to assess the situation for yourself. Take a trip with a tourist guide into the poorer areas and see if the majority is buying high tech good names. Send a friend maybe, but get this information factored into your investment. If you see poor people walking around with the big brands, then invest in the big brands. If you see the poor people investing in the small brands, forget about it, because this keeps it all level. Of course you want to be in on the performer, or, for lack of a better term, 'monopoly market'.

To trade stocks with great authority you could try to look for more patterns. If you were to try to forecast by seeing whom has lost big recently it would be nice to see who would recover. If you were to invest in something that has gone down and hope it recovers, how can you be sure?

Basically the best way to do this would be to ignore brand power, as that is something that is common knowledge, or, typical 'safe investments' that everyone would want to be a part of. However, if you want to buy into something that everyone else will buy, will hope for a fall at some point and see the stocks surge higher yet. The best way to do this is by observing monopoly markets, or, maybe some other type of observance, like... the fact that the brand was cleared out en masse, yes?

If there is a way to know this, ask around. All brokers will be able to point out their investors, and that would mean that they would keep a reference of other investors.

Now, you need to know who just wanted to get out of the market. Look to trading commodities. Look to oil. These owners like to spoil themselves with new jets and stuff, so oil must be a very topsy turvey market!

This means that if you see oil go down, buy into it. It will go down every now and then, by huge margins, as the owners pull money out for investments elsewhere, like Dubai and China. When they do this one goes down and the other goes up. So...

Buy developments in China at the moment. They have just imported a whole lot of gold, meaning their currency will get stronger, as there is more gold in the country. This is easy to understand, but the developments are, well, China is rapidly

developing or maximise its output. Another area to buy into based on commodities would be Qatar, who is a main exporter of energy in the form of oil. Which company to buy into though would be defined by whoever has lost a lot previously, as the owners sell stocks to cash in on growth.

Or you could buy into real estate development in China and Dubai. Buying Chinese Yuan is not a good idea when they import though, so, you would want to, when they import something big, buy into their developments, which are always busy, and will be devalued because of that import.

When you buy into stocks of a developing company, when do you know when is the right time to sell? You might see demand and decide to sell, but won't there be more demand if you hold on for longer? This would be when you are not sure if your co owners are going to sell, and you decide to sell.

When this happens, if you do it right, compile a whole company, or as much of it as you can. If you own a company, for example, you could sell all your stocks in it immediately, especially if it has established itself as a high performer in the broker circles, and you will know about that by as many phone calls that you get. You'd sell everything you have in it, making a decent profit, then buy back as much as you can as soon as possible. The market will see the stocks devalued and then you own what you did, or maybe less with more cash in hand, and then you will be much better off.

Another trick is to look for your own companies expansion into new markets. This will require new facilities and advertising, and this means that the stock will decline. Buy more of it then, as it will be cheaper in this new infant stage at a lower price. Then you could see it gain from advertising and then you could make a pretty gain indeed.

When you trade stocks you want to make a profit, so obviously you buy low and sell high, yes?

Now, with this in mind, how do you know what is low? Comparing it to what you paid for it will determine what is high, yes? So we need to know what is low to buy...

Low would be when they are undervalued. This could be when they are said to be being bought, but identifying some company with great potential would be easier if you knew what to look for.

The thing you should be on the lookout for would be great potential. If a company is a new product for a region, like a computer shop in a backward town, then the growth is huge. Always look for new options and ideas that are coming out of the remote areas. Here in South Africa we have homelands for natives, so opening a computer shop in one of these areas is a good idea, yes?

Another trick to look out for is to buy before everybody gets interested, but how

do you do this?

Basically I would suggest that you always get involved in companies and who they bank with. If they have a lot of bank accounts that means they are planning to grow bigger, as spreading their money this way and that means they will be dividing it between accounts. This means they have a big financial team, or that they have demarcated areas for the future, a definite sign of free growth - being organised, yes?

Now, when a company wants to expand they will be taking loans. If you are to look around you will see the net worth of that company go up, not from stock prices, but from capital - real worth. This means they will be going into debt soon, so don't buy shares from them... yeah!

How about if a company goes down in net worth? That is usually because they have found the time to settle their debts, and that means they won't be going into debt soon. Buy these shares!

If you want to make money quickly trading stocks maybe it is wise to start by trading small at first - a lot of minor hits is as good as one big risk!

Now, for you to maximise your returns, you need to buy into things that keep going up and down. Ask your broker to identify unstable companies, ones that always for some reason recover. After he has compiled a list for you, you need to sort through it and identify those that will recover. You can do this by identifying those that are undervalued.

When something is undervalued it is worth less than its total assets. If a company is worth less than its total assets, it is bound to recover or shut down, which would mean you make the liquidation returns back - asset worth. As a shareholder you have a say in what happens to that company, so buy as much of these companies as you can!

More jobs

When a country sees off a good deal of people they need to employ more people. This is possible without tax cuts, as this tax fuels the state, and taking money away from the state will see taxes go up again to provide services.

Of course they could lower taxes by reducing service costs - pay less tax less! Look at it this way - if they were to reduce salaries by half of what they reduce pay by, they still make all the money back through the six tax points, yes? This means they will be circulating more currency, as the currency hasn't lost anything. So designing a tax cut paired with a pay cut is a good idea, especially for the state.

Then, to provide better services, they could employ more people. Look at the

paying more, but making all of it back through the six tax points? Look at all the jobs they will provide. Say they double their employees, cut the pay, cut the taxes, and then get all that money back anyways.

Now, not only services should be focused on for growth, they could also open up federal businesses. Encouraging the federal reserve to open up businesses, which is not not allowed I hope, would see them getting the best planning crew in the business, facilitating a huge infrastructure to support the new businesses. Federal growth and investment is a good idea. I don't see why this entity is not allowed to trade along with everyone else.

The best thing to offer people today is luxury. Delivery services for shopping and maybe cheap massage delivery is a good idea, but what other luxurious services can be offered for people in the money from people that are not?

How about doing surveys for brokers? The people could go door to door and collect information on what the people want to buy, and what they are willing to buy. Then they could sell their surveys to the brokers for market knowledge.

How about people build houses? The housing market in the world is very fragile, so, they should buy cheap land on the outskirts of town and then develop it into housing or facilities. This can be financed by getting a contract from a entity and then taking that to the bank as collateral or whatever you call it, maybe surety? Then they could develop places, and places that are cheap and new could be developed.

Then they could also, as people with very little money, get a contract to fix up an old warehouse in the city centre or on the docks. This would mean that they get their capital up front and can develop. This is like those people that go from house to house fixing them up and selling them again, except that the people don't actually live in the 'house' while they are fixing it up.

The best way to create jobs is to identify a need. In every country there is a need for some or other service, and the more you deliver that service the more needs arise from the 'prior step' in the chain. This means that commerce should be developed and then the need will arise for services or products from there, or, start with the materials.

Any investor would be wise to satisfy the commercial side of the spectrum, as that is the fastest growing one. Also nobody likes to get their hands dirty nowadays!

So, if you are an investor looking for long term performers, look just below the commercial side of things in the 'pyramid'. Or, if that seems satisfied, aim for the tier just under that. Don't supply a need for consumers, supply a need for business!

Another great way to make more jobs for yourself without capital is to first raise capital. You can raise capital by selling your time, selling your natural skills, and so forth. Let's say you know someone messed around with cars while they were younger - they have a skill

and can train you. There is a four person business right off the top, and you will beat mainstream prices as you will be ready to work for much less, yes?

While you still have a roof over your heads from your parents you should ask them for advice. I know it is not nice to talk work with your folks, but maybe your dad can train you with the basics of what he does, or your mom, and then you can do it for a friend for theirs, and there you go with a reference.

But mechanics is not the only way to make a job for yourself. How about teaching grade one or two out of your house? It could be listed as a private school and then there are no uniforms so children will love it. I am sure that most of school leavers can teach grade one or two, and schools are swelling. Think of halving the price for schools and having less children in your living room? This will raise capital, build experience and gain references, yes?

Now, how about those that have a diploma or degree? Nowhere to go? Why not offer your services for free - become a consultant. This will raise capital, especially in the line of accounting or second opinions. All these do is raise references and capital, and then you will be on your way to working a steady job.

Remember, the more jobs in one sector created the more in the next sector and previous sector will be in demand.

Think of our country south africa. It has fifty million people in it. All those people could have jobs so long as they compliment or create demand for other sectors. Say another cell phone company crops up. That means there is now a need for more cell phone sim card production, a need for more sales outlets, a need for more plastics manufacture and countless other reasons for other sectors. Think of a company that makes fridges - they will need more metals [demand] and plastics [demand] and then the customer may try them out if they have a contract with them, usually something cheap though to break into the market.

Why not recycle? All that glass and plastic you consume through out the week you could beat the unmanaged recycle people to and do it yourself! Think of crushing glass in a big drum and melting it into something you can shape, or plastics too - that is worth far more than recycling.

All these things create capital, capital you need to start your own business.

Then there is catering. Offer in your neighbourhood to cook dinners for those you know, and learn from your mother or something. This will also raise capital for yourself.

How about starting a chain reaction? Someone recycles metals, sells it to a friend that processes the metal, sells it to a agent middle man that can sell it to various other friends, then those friends make metal products with a little help on how to use it they may pick up as an internship at a metal processing place? Then they

could sell these metal things to people that buy electronic parts, and this will make fridges. See how many jobs were just created? Think like that, keep your connections from school and see who is in. Break the business down so it keeps everyone responsible for their own goods, and away you go!

Another easy way to make links in the chain is to observe the market place. Go to a few stores and see what they are over stocked with, and what they sell out of fast. Observing this will reveal what is in demand!

But that is obvious even if nobody does it. What you need to do is look for fewer supplies of products. Take bread - there are seldom many bread producers, and if you can capture a niche market then you could easily bake some, yes? Nice home made bread, maybe you could sell it through an unofficial outlet so that they will buy small quantities of good whole some bread, yes?

Now, for those with qualifications, look at those products again. Start a company for a thousand odd currency and make a group of people that can fill the holes of the business - accounts, quality, and so forth. Then with your company of skilled people you all go to the bank and ask for a loan for your company, or, get some fuddy banker guy to come to your make shift meeting place. Impress them with some market research from magazines and the internet - an internet cafe if you don't have such things - and then off you go.

Failing this you are left with your team and no capital. This means you can assess your value based on skills through a bank and then sell shares in your company on the stock exchange. This will bring in your make it or break it capital. List the company as a public company so if it falls nobody gets bankrupt, and if it sails, well, then you work there, or, get valuable references and experience.

Other ways to 'sell your time' would be to sell your time to yourself! This means that you work for yourself, as a job is selling your time to someone else, so why not yourself?

The problem is getting more money into the hands of you and other less employed that is stuck away in a bank. Basically if you were to have someone stick money into a bank it can be lent to other people while they don't know about it. This means the whole concept of banking is nearly fraud, but seeing as how all those fat cats have to stick their money somewhere while they don't use it, there is plenty of money to be had - all you need is a good idea or to get them to say yes.

Now, a great way to get a business going is out of your house. A bakery, a vegetable grower, a mechanic... all of these have some worth. The thing is if all people provide a service then they will compliment each other, now you need to get some of that capital out of the bank to circulate in your business. All the money it seems is tied up with the middle and upper classes, right?

Well here is the thing. Super markets cater for so many people but employ so few. Get rid of supermarkets and you will see the country grow quickly. Outlawing

supermarkets will see there be more people employed - guaranteed!

But you cannot get rid of the supermarkets. I suggest then that you offer a shop out of your house, buying and selling for close proximity to your customers. As soon as that is off the ground there will be more businesses opening up next door, like a hair dresser, or something that women would use, like a person selling perfumes and deodorants, someone selling small tools for the guys, and then the next neighbour could sell something else.

This will see the end of big business though, for the 'sake of convenience'. What would that mean for the country? Well, there would be more people employed and they would bring in the same money with less going to waste. If the state could come up with a way to create these opportunities they will harm the supermarkets, but, who needs them?

If the job seeker could hang around with someone skilled, or, better still, if the skilled people could make videos on how to do their jobs, they could sell them to the people and then the people could write a test on it. This will be not a diploma nor a degree but a certificate that would help with practical application and get them a loan from a bank.

Bidding and building

To get the capital out of the banks and circulating in the economy there needs to be more incentives for banks. If they were to invest in their own ventures - which is not illegal - then there would be more circulating and there would be more money for all those businesses, and of course, more expansion.

The problem is laziness and playing it safe. There is money from rich people, rich people just sit on their money. If they were to invest in businesses, then there would be more than enough to go around. I am not exploring rich people to invest, I am exploring banks to invest with their money into the respective country, or even another.

The reason things are going so badly in Dubai and China. People see above average gains, all the money goes there to circulate. Imagine buying an inflated share for more than it is worth and sitting and waiting to sell it. All investors are keeping their eyes peeled for this trend, and now it is so pitiful that nobody wants to look elsewhere. The same comes from trading blue chip stocks - they create a bottleneck in the way money moves. If they were to move down the pyramid for the smaller businesses, then they would see the opportunities open up. Trading at the apex of the pyramid means you will make smaller gains in longer time frames, trading at a solid base will make the small business thrive and then make you great returns too, as that is where it 'fluctuates'.

Now, to get this ball rolling they need to grab a small business and invest in it. Lots of thousand dollar 'bids' outweigh a few ten thousand dollar 'bids' - are you bidding or building? Are you looking to skim a few cents off of Coca-Cola, or, are you looking

to build a new business?

Back to the nitty gritty. If you want to buy into a smaller business, well, just look at this other thing I heard of called Capitec Bank, and compare that to Barclays or something. You will see the evidence that playing in the minors will reap vast rewards!

If you want to buy into a small business, do not look on the stock market. Set up a survey, hire a scout to look for great home businesses making biscuits rather - imagine you could buy the whole business and expand for the price you are paying for some Micro Soft stocks. The more money they pump into a computer means the less money being pumped into the lifestyle of the computer user, yes?

Now, if you were to trade lots of small companies, you could set up a 'chain', as I previously explained in another thread. Raw materials to retail. Yes. That is easy and possible. Buying up and developing mines will see us through until the time when we can make metals from sand and mortar from plastics and sand. Then we won't need to mine nothing! Until then, why not buy up land and develop it, then sell it. This is like home renovations, you know those people that go from house to house developing. That should make the banks set on a safe bet, surely?

To get this bloody capital out of the banks we need to trick these banking mogul demigouges into investing in the private sector and raw materials, or, at the other end, create a demand for the mines - then they will come!

And to create even more jobs we could try to form a committee that investigates the state's doing. This should be too big to allow for corruption, so I suggest that they employ many people to do it.

Every area has state's people, so 'police' every area. This could hack a lot of jobs off the unemployment list and lead to less corruption.

The problem with this having less corruption is of course that the wheels will turn less quickly, but that corruption is seen as being so bad, the people can have what they want.

Okay, well, stick your finger in their eye! Corruptionists!

To generate even more jobs they could easily promote things. Remember where all the money is - it is invisible, it is imaginary. To get this imaginary currency out onto the streets there needs to be more money. Printing more will result in inflation, but, then they can just chop a few zeroes off the currency every now and again, making a strong currency with many millionaires on any level. But this is not about that, this is about making jobs!

Now, for the jobs to come there needs to be more capital. To get more capital there needs

to be more loans, and for more of these imaginary loans to come through there needs to be less cash on the streets - way out there, so, cutting a zero off of your currency will bring all the money back into the bank. This will result in most of the money being back in the bank, with the bank able to lend it out, because they are still working with the currency as it was, getting it all back.

Another way out would be to lower prices, deflation. This will do the same. Anything that deflates the system will result in more money for all, so, I suggest that there be less monopolies. Think of Microsoft - the greatest monopoly on earth. It is bad for everyone! If everyone started reducing their stake in Microsoft they would enrich their competition, resulting in something like the hardware price wars. All they need to do is take apart their source code and then repackage it under a different label, yes? This will help planet earth no end!

How about Barclays breaking? This will also help the world no end because all the money split up competitively. Simply invest in different banks. What is in it for you? Well, think of aiming lower down the pyramid - lots of people fighting for a few companies at the top, or a lot of competitive businesses at the bottom of the pyramid...

But the best way to secure more capital for everyone is to chop a zero off the currency. The banks sit with this money! Less money is outside of the bank! The people have more of a chance of getting some more of this 'imaginary money' to invest in business. Lately there has been too few notes for each person, but they cannot just go and give money away... or could they?

Take a look at my diagram...

[money in bank]----->[money grows slower than population]

...or turn it around! Yes!

Now when it comes to printing more money they need to give you gold for each note you bring back... why? Okay, why not the land value of all the unused land in the country, why not all the government buildings, why not bullets from the army, or... why not diamonds and rubies too? These things are also used for jewelry at best, so why the impetus on gold? You cannot gold, you cannot drink gold, you cannot relax on gold, you cannot drive gold.

I suggest the banks go out and buy a fleet of Mercedes Benz - they can use that as assets and then create money based on their devaluing worth, or even oil.

How about they go and then gather the figures from taxes? If they were to take all the revenue from taxation, they could generate a new budget based not on what they have in the reserve, but what the people will pay them. This will boost the budget by hundreds of billions at least, guaranteed money from the people for the people. This could also mean that the state could open more state funded businesses, or even delve into the markets, allowing for immediate recovery.

Of course this means that they will no doubt eventually get more people than dollars, but, with regulated deflation measures, this could be after a very long time, long after they cut a zero or two off the currency.

To create more jobs we need to capitalise on needs and make a way to get capital. Maybe encouraging all the rich people to open their own businesses would be a good idea?

How about we take what we do have - valuable man power - and we add what we need - more capital - and find a way to blend the two?

To get the people more capital we could make legislation to make the banks give out more small business loans. The criteria could be that they are young and have much time to repay the loan, or that they are educated. What they really need is a job to get capital to get a job, so, they need a job to get a job, basically.

To whittle this down we could just offer incentives to people trying to make their own way from where they are. Maybe if there was a scheme where managers at places could profit quickly from opening their own business for the first month there would be more business people with a little money starting businesses?

How about they go ahead and form a off shoot company? They have connections from their work so could make a way in life for their business. Maybe if they all - the people in the work place - were to form one business between them they would have more confidence in their business? If they were to use their evenings to use simple accounting to check what is going on, well, then they could shift out from their usual jobs to becoming bosses and opening doors for new people to take over their day job.

Maybe if they were to identify where there is a need, and there is always a need for something in any area. Maybe the banks could set up a program where they identify with their experts what is needed and where it is needed, then advertise the loan by word of mouth or other means to the people as to whom would be up to taking a conditional loan and start up a business for that need?

To create more jobs the real issue is capital. Now I have talked at length of how to create capital, or borrow some, but how about some thought for sectors in America and South Africa as to new services or underdeveloped sectors to exploit? New or 'unraped' frontiers if you will?

This is not simple job creation. I have sucked my thumb dry with regards to that, so, let's move on with things?

Now, how about they, in South Africa, were to develop the sectors of processing? They have all the materials that are being exported, so why not develop the processing side of it? How about the magnets get together and open a new facility for processing metals?

How about they go the whole hog and create facilities for creating metals and then processing? How about they go ahead and compress sand and melt it down into sludge to make building materials? This would make the market for RDP housing ripe and succulent for the state and the private person.

In the states, they could try to create this too. You see the whole issue of building would mean more land purchasing and that would mean capital for the state and then facilities for the people, infrastructure of course.

Now with that going on they could easily produce silicon for computers and metal for machines. This means more jobs and more money. Think of a car coming out with a light weight alternative to metal for the production of cars and all that? Think of new plastics? Plastics at present are made out of cheap stuff, unused petroleum and stuff like that. What is cheaper than petrol? Nearly every other liquid on the planet! How about they try to take cheese and make it processed, then hard and mouldy, scratch the mould off it? Think of how cheap that would be to produce? Thinking like that will get everything going cheaper for a while, yes?

Now, with all this new operating expenses going around, the actual operating of the business will require nothing really, so it is all down to capital! Set up the facility so that it is made properly, then just coin it!

Now, to buy a new plot of land you need to have about a million rand or dollars. Then to build the facility you need about two million. To equip it properly you need about another million. That is outlay of maximum four million currency.

Operating expenses will be about say forty workers with respective wage demands. Then they produce the materials! It is that simple. I am sure two mechanics or engineers can cope with the maintenance, so quickly factor that in. That should come to, in south africa, like forty times three thousand rand, making about one and a half million a month with the engineers and senior staff, maybe two million with admins.

Then you, for example, pay for cheese, and that comes to about ten rand per 'loaf' of cheese, and then you produce like a hundreds rand worth of petrol. Running a four day working week, at like one loaf of cheese an hour to be solidified and dried, yet maintaining basic versatility means like ninety rand revenue, times by a eight hour day, means ninety times by eight making seven hundred and twenty rand revenue a day, per machine. Of course they won't take longer than ten minutes to treat the cheese, so that means about six times that, making four thousand rand per machine per day. Times that by twenty and you got eighty thousand rand coming in a month, per machine. A machine like this will only cost like two thousand rand to buy or make or whatever, then you see one thousand machines in your hectare size plot, makes eighty million a month. Then you say downtime is about twenty percent of the time, making it seventy million a month. This more than trebles your money in one month of operating.

How about grafting building materials out of sand? You heat and compress the sand or charcoal until it produces your building materials or oil and then you sell that, making

much higher profits, guaranteed.

To make more jobs we need to exploit areas that are unexploited. Maybe if they wanted to serve others in new ways, providing a luxury or essential service to people that have money. Let's look at jobs that require no capital to start up?

How about going to the bush to collect bush to burn for fires? These bushes could be sold to paper manufacturers, or, even made into paper by the people that collect them. This was done in old Egypt, and they can beat the prices of the retail paper providers, so they could easily make a new way for themselves. I am sure that with a quick course in this, or even a friendly community worker on the scene, they will be able to do this.

Then there is also the prospect of building thatch from the bush. This will allow them to sell their wares to other squatters or even people that use thatch a lot.

How about they go around and then try to learn to read from students in the area? If they can learn to read from the students then they can uplift the whole community.

What if they were to make rubber from the trees? They could then make themselves shoes to wear and then be a bit better off...

What if they went to the dump and collected all the old mattresses? They could dismember it and sell the parts to steel processors, yes?

Other ways to raise capital, which is needed for a new business, is to do it the long way. The long way is to get a study loan and then study something like marketing through distance learning. After three years they will be able to get a loan to start their own small business. This is a make it or break it period of course, so let us instead of looking for things that will sell, look at how to create a venture that will survive?

If the owner was to pay only commission to the staff, then there would be more room for error, and also they will not be in debt if they do not make it. A typical business will receive ten thousand rand to start up, so there is money for most things to be bought and people paid off that first month.

This may sound risky, but if everyone was to start a business out of their garage then they would compliment each other as soon as even one of them is used.

But, the tragic point is that the supermarkets use some sort of monopolising thing to make sure they sell all the products they can. Imagine if they may not stock all these things? That would open the door for other businesses to sell goods. This could feature in the 'monopoly category' for that super store. If you will observe the link between the drop in the employment rate and the rise of goods sold in the supermarkets, then you will see that if they were to sell less there would be more

stores, yes?

This could be written into law by some people that are responsible for the employment rates, and they could put a limit onto the amount of goods sold, making way for more stores to sell less types of goods, and inevitably create more jobs.

Ok, so we need capital and to identify a need. If you were to take into account the distances travelled to get to shops, then a great deal of people could start selling bread or some other luxurious staple to their neighbours. I suggest that for one person to afford one loaf of bread they need to sell three, and that is a lot more money coming in if they sell those three to their neighbours and one in every, say, ten people sell this sort of thing. With that in mind the shops could raise capital and continuously, every three months, see a new business starting, yes?

If the world was to take all the money they pay in welfare and buy buildings with it and equipment, they could employ these people in normal jobs that pay them back.

[welfare]----->[welfare spent]

or

[welfare funds]---->[used as capital]--->[gains and repayment to owner]

As you can see the second option is much more comely.

More jobs are needed everywhere. If we were to plough into resources there will be the upliftment of poverty. The reason there is so much poverty is that the west has processed all the resources of the third world where the poverty is. The solution is for more people to have money to process things and buy products and starting businesses.

As I have said before there are too few making too much. Buying in bulk could be the answer, so what we need is a new middle man, buying in bulk and selling to hawkers and such. This could be a state sponsored thing where they make very little money after covering operating expenses. There is a lot of money to be made for the country, and much more in taxes. Is this worth investigating further? It will create more jobs!

Another way to create jobs will be to create capital through not doing campaigns, which cost money. They could just provide the jobs and be invited to speak about them, or put a symbol on it.

This could be where campaigns go corporate. Corporations could be the next step from what the parties are now, yes? If the money used for campaigns were used to create jobs, to allow for capital for new ventures, there will be far more to

go around.

Another place they could cut costs would be on import taxation - the more you grab from overseas the more there is to go around, yes?

How about the state also holds fund raisers for the poor? They could organise the rich people to get involved in equal opportunities at the bank instead of hanging onto a unstable stock market?

Maybe what we need is a clearance sale? The prospective sales people come around and gather goods instead of throwing them away and then sell them to the customers? That will give vendors a nice start up acceleration and allow them to do better business, but the thing remains, people need tools to start up their own businesses, so...

Lap tops. Decreasing the tax on laptops will lead to a computer revolution the way cell phones did for the third world - everyone will have one. I know most phones cost a tenth of a lap top, so, get all the obsolete stock from all over and have a clearance sale on that, yes?

Other tools could be paid over ph! Take your jig saw and offer that over credit and terms and then see the country take shape, all of them! That is the trick, 'terms for tools'. am sure they could make a lot of money off of this, and the breadwinner of any family can study the instructions with their child teaching them to read or whatever and then there is hope.

But, if that doesn't work, maybe they should all work it out with the ruling party? Instead of going the long fruitless way of 'not making jobs', the ruling party could buy tools for the poor?

Or, maybe there needs to be some other things done? The bank buys the tools and adjusts terms to buy them from the bank. One month goes by, no payment, second hand tool sold to someone else who will also have an opportunity. Okay it is a depreciating asset, but the bulk the bank can buy in tools means they will make it easily with the fourth month looming in, yes?

How about gardeners? A lot of people that are poor work for money, but if they instead worked off a tool they got from their boss they could run a daily job too. Or they could mix it, say three months for a tool and a little bit of money too?

Okay, the going rate for the tools they need is about three months salary one a week. This means a lot of saving! Maybe there is a faster way???

How about if the prospective mechanic or hair dresser or whatever is to buy second hand tools from the place they want to and then that will cut costs? Or if the person was to look around in the family for money? They could go to their retired parents, or the others they plan to make a business with? But...

I think the schools should all buy tools and lend them to the children's parents. This will mean the school will own the tools for their purposes and may allow parents to pay them off? They are not going anywhere because their children are at the school, and all schools can afford a few extra tools to lie around. The first ten tools get paid off, the next ten tools are bought, and so forth. But maybe there is an even quicker way?

If the army was to sell their used tools to the people, then budget the state every three months for new tools, Eskom and Telkom too, this would 'maintenance' and the people would benefit?

Or, if a charity was set up to fight unemployment, and they bought the goods for the people, would that do? No, I don't think that is perfect either...

Okay, how about if the companies lent their tools out at night for return in the daytime?

Or, maybe they could go to dumps and recycle metals for capital?

Or, legally, they could impose a tariff on development. As high as the GDP has risen they could demand that in tools. This, like black economic empowerment or equal hiring or whatever you call it overseas, could be to get the poverty level lifted. As soon as people do not need to travel to the city for their needs, they will create a micro economy per hectare, yes? Now if there was a legal way to go about this, it is illegal to have a man unemployed when they could be working. It harms the tax rate and harms economic growth. Now that we are speaking laws drop the charity or welfare and move it over to 'empowerment', raise the tax rate by one percent - it is only the rich that suffer anyways, and that isn't very much - and then use that for 'welfare' in the form of 'empowerment.'

Maybe there is a way to get more money from selling land to development? It is the heritage of the people of the country, and it is just being sat on. Sell it, watch it develop, watch development overtake population growth, and so forth...

How about if they were to raise insurance standards? This will cut into insurance profits a bit, but say that insurance will not cover tools more than one year old on a premises. Insurance pays up front for the tools to be replaced, and companies from there on out need to replace them.

I have really come to the end of my bright ideas for tools for the people, and in tools I mean anything that can make a business grow from a backyard. Any ideas? I will be back as soon as I conjure another idea...

To get more tools to the people that need them to make their own business, the people could try to run the business at night and work a night shift without removing the tools from the facility. This means the independent investor could loan the facility at night, easily paying low prices for themselves and making more money for the facility.

Or, they could try to work without the tools! They could try to make more tools out of metals and plastics themselves - a dodgy sort of undertaking but an effort all the same.

A hammer could be made out of scrap metal and a piece of wood, or an axe, or a spade. These could be sharpened at a very low cost to form the tool.

They could turn to independant hardware specialists in the computer field and build thier own computers, once explained by thier kids that do computer science, yes?

They could try to build blow driers out of a simple scheme that uses a fan - sharpened out of scrap metal - attached to a wirling thing like a egg beater?

They could make a fridge out of a simple motor and a shaped piece of metal, actually a few of them. Hey if you don't have a fridge a mcguyver fridge isn't that bad, don't think they can with a television though, but that they can buy with all the money they make out of selling thier goods to the neighbours, yes?

The people without jobs could try to make radios out of a simple scheme drawn up for them by a volunteer 'radiographer' as to the parts they will need, and in between all that they could buy these cheap parts and plastic moulds, or contract the moulding out to facilities, and if there is a high demand for this sort of thing then it will take off, yes?

They could construct a drill out of a motor and a few scrap metal, or by now - buying - cheap metal to make them out of, and if they cannot afford the electric motor, then, if they are very strong, they could use the egg beater approach.

The people in need of tools for thier own businesses could contract nearly everything in the closet for thier jobs at very low prices. Each person will think of transport costs and such when considering where to get thier stuff done. I know there is a waiting list at most mechanics, and they would charge much higher rates due to hidden costs, and including the hectic bonuses the bosses of the facilities take home, the world can afford this.

Now, the best thing about this is that money is circulating all over the show. The quicker money moves the faster it grows, so the gdp will grow too, or is that economic growth, I am not sure. The state should be encouraging this, yes?

Let's look at more ways to raise capital?

If there is money being funnelled into this or that, we need to more comely to invest in small businesses. I say this because of the investment pyramid - everyone is trading at the

top of the pyramid where stocks are sparse to come by, while they could be investing at the bottom where gains are easy to come by, as there are more options, and it is more flexible to suit the investor.

Now, to get the investors to invest at the bottom, there should be a blue chip tax - the more a stock costs the more of it gets taxed. This will lead people away from the sure bets down to the bottom so they can make more money and create more jobs. Or...

The owners of Coca Cola, Microsoft and the rest of them could invest themselves. If they were to take an interest in the small businesses then they would help them and make money. The problem is that they are not interested. So then they could go the way of making their own banks to lend micro loans out and get interest back on them, yes?

I think this is a legal matter though. This is monopolising without expansion. Where one shop comes to a corner they drive all the other shops out of business. Isn't this bad for everybody? This is free trade though. I suggest that the state buys up all the blue chip stock and dismantles it. This will lead to more jobs and healthy competition. Wherever you find one big business you find it gobbling up the smaller ones.

But how do we do this legally and making everyone prosper?

They could make a new monopoly law. They should tax the big players as if they were private people - they increase the tax on them making more money for the state through taxation, or, making more obs for people which leads to more money through taxation.

I know the big players couldn't survive without these tax normalities, but if one person gets taxed more because they earn more they should tax big companies more because they earn more.

This stock recession is great! If Mercedes was to go out of business there would be a demand for new cars. The state should not bail anyone out, as they will only make money disappear! If they were to put money in to relieving taxes then they will actually be losing money they could be making through taxation and then it just vanishes to circulate no more. The companies keep what they can, of course, and then they stick it away into the bank account that is not accessible to most people. Too much money is stuck away in Switzerland and China and Dubai to keep it safe, but at what cost? That money should be freed up to circulate. When you add two and two you get four plus economic growth and taxation going to the state. When you have four that accumulates interest the money doesn't go to the state but rather to the few and remains unspent. Imagine all the money going into a big pit and staying there, that versus interest and credit refunds that could help banks elsewhere.

So the first step is to make tax dependant on how much your business makes, the next step is to get all that money out of those banks that hoard the money. We can do this with bank transactions. So, if the hoarding bank was to lend to other banks, then there would be more flow to the money. Solution? World bank buys all the

smaller banks of the East and Europe. This form of monopolising will see that everyone shops at the same store, so banks will be credit worthy and make good customers.

I say monopolies falling apart is good because they will give way to many more smaller companies, more jobs, more stocks at the right value, etc etc.

Actually let's put the cat amongst the pigeons right now! If you own a share in coke or microsoft, it is really worth what they say it is? Of course not! It is worth how much someone else is willing to make a sound investment. That is all. The real share value of these is a fraction of the current worth. I wonder if someone could run a value assesment of the assets of these companies, I mean is it unreal to imagine that there could be a competitor soon if the stocks dwindle? This collapse is quite natural, and the lost jobs can be found again in entrepreneurship. Anyone with experience is worth a risk, but it is up to the banks to find a way.

The best way a bank can find a way is to offer real values of the blue chip companies to the public. They will freak out and stick thier money into local banks, or stick them into the big boys. If they stick them into the local banks then they will see credit soar, if they stick them in with the big boys there will be more lending between banks and it will find it's way somewhere. This big banking is also a mark of the recession, another big pit for everyone to hoard thier money. Buying stocks in these banks makes them also blue chip, so they will also fall if the real worth is known about them - thier real value. This is a recession and investors panic when they open thier eyes. This ultimately means more money going to local banks and more capital to lend against, added with skills or potential, makes for a hustle and bustle of great proportions.

People will invest in low risk high gains things, like small business. If you start a small business, and there is demand, it will only go one way.

Got the capital, got the tools, got the oppurtunities, what else do people need?

If people were looking for tools still, at low costs, then they would be well versed to look for tools by working weekends for them. Businesses could stay open on the weekends and allow for people to work there, but then there is this thing called a recssion we are in...

To get over the need for money when everybody seems to have none, and the banks don't have any, the foreign banks should be called on for support. If they were to lend money from them there wouldn't be a problem, but apparently there is no trading between banks. This means there needs to be a decree by the world bank to get the money moving - to save us from the recession, of course.

But that aside there must be a way for the individual, regaurdless of support, to make a way in life.

Now, if the individual has no money there is nothing they can do that requires money.

Their only option is to make money. They have few skills so will not be able to make a way in life, so, they should offer what they have - their time. What can you sell time for? They could of course try to sell their time in advertising. The market needs that at this time, they could offer advertising by marching for advertising! A whole lot of people could get together and wave banners and stuff from a community with a leader, gaining much money from advertising in the form of a march, and I know that people would pay money for that! They could march and get into the papers and onto television, and collect their money from the leader to buy tools - only good management would allow for this to work. Then they would probably go to the tavern, but for those that do not they will have some capital.

Let's see, a decent march would require a hundred people, gaining fifty thousand rand, and that for each person would be five hundred rand a person! That is easy to do every day, but then some will not make it into the news, meaning that some of it will be wasted. Of course the news could cover all the marches in a brief bulletin, and then that is taken care of.

If people were to seek loans from the bank, they need an address first. This means they need a home. The process begins at home therefore. If they do not have a home they cannot seek a loan and will need to work for someone else.

In south africa, as i am sure in many countries, they are trying to build houses for the poor. Instead of building houses for them, they should supply them with tools! This will lead to having enough to buy your own home on credit and then feed your family too. Things go much smoother than when the state builds homes for people this way.

If the state could work out with the banks as to how to facilitate more loans, I am sure that the poor will capitalise. The loans could be set up through the police station, where they get a credit worthiness from the police. They could list all their assets and house and stuff to get a loan, and the police could give them credit worthiness. Then they go to the bank and get money for their start up of their very own business.

Then they could grab the investment in their own business. They could come back to the bank to show them receipts for insurance and then they could show that they hold true to the loan. This way everyone will be capable.

I didn't mean the loan is done at the police station, I meant that the police do an asset assurance for the bank.

Now when we have the need for a loan to get a new business going, we need to have a loan system that accommodates the poor business entrepreneurs. What does it cost distance learning to have students? Why not make that free for three years? There will be a backlog of people with skills then, and with those degrees they can get loans. It is that simple!

If you take into account how many people will apply for this once off thing, then you will see that reducing rates will compensate the university in terms of bulk money coming in.

Or...

There could be a dual loan. The first is for education and the second is to get the business off the ground, from the bank of course. This could also be done by the state and then there will be a surplus of skilled people starting thier own businesses, employing those that did not recieve this great advantage in later years.

What we need to do is find something with unlimited demand, something like sex or sport. If we could identify this 'thing', if it exists, then there will be unlimited growth potential.

Now, seeing as how that thing probably doesn't exist we need to start at the bottom. People can only buy things if they have money. I they have a lump sum they can only buy things once, then save thier money, but if they have a job, they can buy these things frequently, or at least when they need them.

I previously suggested a controlled stimulis to the economy coming from hand outs. If the state controls how much money it has, it should just hand money out. I do not mean they should hand out so much that people won't work, but rather they should hand out enough for low income families to have more without upsetting the economy.

Now it seems my stance has become raising the minimum wage to thousands of dollars a month. If this was done then everyone will be abl to consume or save thier money. This means that the economy will be saved! Guaranteed!

If one person earns millions a month, and a hundred employees earn thousands each a month, then the employees will earn milions between themselves in that month and then they will be able to spend and buy all the things they want. Giants like apple and car dealers will be able to - while at the moment they have staggering amounts of money - grow even bigger, supplying more jobs for the poor, and coining it more. If everyone still doesn't have a job, the economy will be safe and growing at a great rate. Think of one mercedes sale versus a hundred mercedes sales? Think of all the garages that will open to service this need? Think of all the electronic front loaders that will benefi? All these things are tied together, but so long as only one mercedes is sold a year instead of a hundred, that means less taxes collected, less investment, and so on.

So raising the minimum wage by three times of so, but even better about seven times, the country will benefit. The only people that won't benefit will be the super rich, or, the global minority of consumers and tax payers. Think of all those people staying in trailer parks? There will be a huge demand for houses at least! Then that market will take off, with people moving all over the place and the banks can invest in solid customers.

Okay, how about a fishery for those near rivers? All they need is two fences and a bit of 'fish food', or horny making chemicals for the fish.

We could develop this horny making chemical by observing fish and finding the reproductive system of the fish. Find what makes the women lay eggs, and find what makes the men horny. This would feed a lot of people along rivers - yes?

Now what do you need besides food? How about something to drink? If the people were given chemicals for purifying water they could build a huge plumbing system on the riverbanks and get water.

Now these are not jobs, but they will feed the family.

Back to tools. If we were to get the banks to buy tools and form a business for the tool, they could employ who they wanted to use the tool. This would mean they own the tool but not the person, or both, as they see fit.

There must be a way to get the banks to invest in tools. I know there are small business loans available. Instead of using bail outs for big companies, why don't they use that money to buy tools and spread them far and wide? The state could buy used tools and lease them to operators that would use them to support themselves.

The best way out is to get the family of the person to buy the tools for them. If this were to happen they would be fully fit to support themselves.

If the banks were to get involved in small business loans to a greater degree, they would see the country flourish. What the banks could sell the people or state in exchange for these loans is social work, where they need people. The state could easily employ these people - failing loan repayments - into paying the bank back by community service work.

There the poor saps have something to offer the banks, or...

They could employ themselves to doing the community service work at a fee. This would mean an increase in taxes, but, I am sure tht everyone can afford a meesly one percent hike in taxes...

If people were to get tools they could work. If banks bought tools and lent them out, so long for so much money, they would empower the people to work and make money also, leasing the tools for full ownership to the people.

Now, for more jobs to be created they need, and I know I am repeating myself, more capital, or expansion of present stores.

If every five blocks there was another seven eleven, like you said, they would supply more

jobs. They have the juice, but they do not see a profit. This is due to monopolies, but, maybe we need more 'big guns?' Think of all the monopolies in europe and asia, of they were all to converge on america, for example, then there would be more jobs as each block would go - and I don't mean literal blocks - seven eleven, wall mart, asian monopoly, european monopoly, and then repeat ad infinitum.

That would mean there would be enough jobs as the monopolies have entered into a new competitive market themselves. Better prices, more people employed at a higher wage, and so forth.

If we were to encourage entrepreneurship, maybe we should offer total tax exclusion from the private sector? How about they just let the taxes go as they will, and create more money for themselves, no based on taxation revenue, but rather how much they need? If that were the case then there would be much more employment oppurtunites, with money circulating in the private and public sectors rather than going back into the coffers of the state. Just an idea.

The easiest way to secure capital for the entrepreneur is to sell yourself now for capital. How can you sell yourself? You are energy. You are work force, human resources, and so forth, that if unemployed for too long it becomes painfully obvious that you are no good to the system.

The way out of this is to sell yourself. You can sell yourself to the rich by working to make them richer, so there is a possible use for even the unemployed. But how do you make it nearly essential for the investors to invest in the new businesses? Well, you give them tax breaks. You could say that for the first six months they will pay no taxes on income fom the business. This means that if it is doing well, keep it going, if not, scrap it and start over for the six months of lenience. This is the way to get them to come a knocking.

Then they could also say that the state needs to pay the people for using their enterprise. This would mean that the government pays the people for their time. This would negate taxation, but instead of doing that, let's make it better by supplying jobs first? The state could hire the entire country at once if hard pressed to tax them, so they would be paying the poor all the taxes they collect. This would mean they will still renumerate at fourty one percent, so it won't be a total loss.

As a human right this should be installed in the third world. The state pays the unemployed until they are themselves employed, then

the people pay the state taxes. This will lead to the country rushing to get everyone jobs. But how do we enforce this?

I suggest the third world goes on strike until they get this. None of this easy deals anymore - the state pays a pittance on salaries - I cannot see why they don't pay them double already. It wastes money on things like armies - what the fricking heck do we need armies for? This isn't the dark ages! If no weapons were manufactured then there would be no wars, only police should own weapons. The money they save from the army could be used on the job creation, all the unemployment they pay could be used on job creation, actually pumping the economy. Why pay someone to be unemployed? That is what they are doing already.

I think what is really needed in the line of job creation is capital creation. How do we create capital? If the banks were to own the business and employ the people that applied for the loan, there would be no money spent really. The bank would own the assets and the company, and then they would be able to direct the business in any way they see fit.

I have covered nearly every possible avenue for the business to progress, namely, tools, produce and capital creation. But there must be at least one more! I see people with needs and they are being satisfied by one company instead of specialists, and only when you specialise does the market benefit. It is easy to sit inside your house and say you are looking out for yourself, but when it comes to the monopolies of the world, they have to go. Price fixing can be accomplished through a simple advert - they set the price lower or higher and everyone follows the big guns. This means that price fixing is impossible to avert!

The best thing the state can do for the country would be to say that for every person employed they will drop the taxes on the business by like a hundredth of a percent or something. This means they will expand and open up all over the place, employing more people, and then they will take home bigger profits too. This is all I can hope for, that the greed becomes too much to control and 'net out' becomes what it is all about. These people that own the businesses are people with needs and goals like everybody else, so why go for percentage profits instead of net out? There will be more money to be had. Expansion is the way to go.

If there was to be some sort of promotional on chain store openings then there would be many more jobs created, as everybody looks to make more money. A promotional reduced chain store opening is the way to go.

To get even more jobs created maybe they should all try to operate from home? If the state was to identify good ventures, and identify needs, they could try to rectify this problem of unemployment. If they were to just try to make the whole thing

work by investing in their people - the state - and then they could see where that leaves them - high and dry... in a good way!

Maybe if the state was to open chain stores they could hire more people? They have all the money, the more circulates the more taxes they will get back, so why not?

To create more jobs there should be a commission set up by the state as to whether they will have more jobs with or without chain stores. If there is consistently a chain store on every corner, everyone will have a job. If there is one wal mart for a city, then nobody will have jobs.

Small store owners should sue! These giants are desroying the countries they find themselves in. If they were forced to open up more everywhere, they would employ everybody, but as it stands, they kill off the little guy.

Maybe the state could put a 'level cap' on th big boys? The more assets they own or whatever, the more tax they could pay? Then they could recieve fines for not expanding or whatever, until they fall apart, or hire more people.

When this natural occurance came about and they were in trouble, they were pegged up with trillions. Now that money is gone, and the same thing is nearly happening. Is everyone going to lose all they have before they go belly up? Then there will be nothing!

To create more jobs, there should be some incentive to government. I am sure if there was something that government got out of it, they would go for it!

To get the state involved, we need to set up a global fund from the people for the state. This fund could take a nomainal fee from all working people, for just one month, and set up businesses from that money, or, send it to the country that supplied the most jobs in that time?

But, if the people were taxed more, say, one percent more, then how would that affect, say, my country south africa?

Let's say the average income in south africa is two thousand rand a month. That by about twenty million people. That comes to, at one percent, meaning 800,000,000 would be raised by this sector alone by raising the taxation by one percent! What could this do for the country, even if it is a once off tax? Well, it costs about a million rand to build a factory, and lets say another square million for the land and machines. That means two million could subsidise the construction of 300,000,000 factories or business blocks in the country. This will lead to, at about ten percent say about, 300,000,000 factories all supplying jobs of two thousand rand a month, at fifty people a factory or business block, more than 40,000,000,000 or fourty billion rands gdp growth or whatever - more coming in a month for the state. So, there is something in it for the fat cats too.

Of course, job creation is not part of the priorities of the elite. This is why they should set up a body for one month, let's say in two months time, to create one billion jobs. We do not have one billion people, so, we should plan for half a percent, coming to five hundred million people, but that is still way too much! Let's say we charge a quarter a percent on this new tax, creating two hundred million jobs? Well, we only have fifty million people, and half of them have jobs, so we need thirty million jobs. This means that we can charge only a tenth of a percent of the total income, and come away with more than enough factories to sell or whatever, and they will sell! Then there is the chore of selling the factories and business blocks, making, say, double your money back, meaning the fund could make the state, for setting it up, billions of rands.

Then there is the total cost for employing thirty million people, coming to roughly, say, 90,000,000 rand, or ninety million rand. They could afford that in their sleep! Why is nothing being done, and why not set up a fund for this one month? They will make money, they will create jobs, and they will make initial capital gains as well.

To set up the fund, they need one account, and a once off tax for all citizens of a tenth of a percent. Then they need to redirect that to build infrastructure, sell it, and viola, problem solved.

Maybe I have been too ambitious? What if we were not to upset anyone, and instead look for a more traditional way to do things?

If the jobs that are out there make capital, those people can lend money. Instead of paying off a home loan, they could take out money to start their own business and be their own boss. This will make it more attractive to the current employee, and then there will be more jobs and a greater GDP. They could even form bigger businesses by banding together to compete with the establishment.

If the state was to back this idea up, there would be great yields I am sure. New legislation in banks around the world would see this become a reality, making it attractive indeed for the person to start their business.

Further employment opportunities should be made by those looking for the jobs ideally, as nobody then can tell you no. What is it you are trying to sell? How can you cut costs? What do others do to cut costs? How can you make money without cutting into your profit too much?

The best way to make money is to provide a service. Now where are people unserved? There must be another avenue for making money...

How about we first look to where the money is? The money is with the one percent! The best way to get money, therefore, is to service the one percent, but, all the one percent's money is tied up in banks or stocks. This means they could, if everything was fair, make their own reviews of the markets. They don't need to be experts in the field even, all they need to do is report on how many pockets of potatoes the supermarket sells, for example. Recording where money is spent and making that information available to the one percent is a sure fire way to get employed. I know that the one percent would love to have many magazines and papers on how and where money is being spent. Then there is the opportunity for advertising as well!

So, the best thing many unemployed could do would be to start a finance and expenditure magazine. Think of how many housewives read those magazines - now think of the lopsidedness of the market for men and what they enjoy.

That's the key, to find where the markets are lopsided between sexes. Magazines is just one point. Then think where women are short changed? Where would that be? I would say that would be where they are treated as housewives. Think of all the working women out there, why not start a gaz for women? There are countless examples where it is still an untapped market, and needing people to report from every city in the country, would this make a few more one percenters? No! The little guys will buy shares in these ventures as the one percent is not into trying new things, and then the little guys will be able to ride this wave of entertainment!

To produce more jobs for textiles there needs to be more textile being demanded. If people are not sticking stuff away they should plan for Christmas and holidays, where the native textile of that country is sure to go up.

So, investing in textiles should be done before the Christmas and April - easter - and then sold as they are nearly over.

But this doesn't create growth for the textile. The shops will be buying mass manufactured textiles, so, maybe if they were to merge together they will minimize the costs and gather the sales figures together.

How do you create a demand for textiles? Simply selling out of your factory is a good way to make a lot of money, so, advertise from your factory, and increase the price. Look at domestic sellers - they could charge more for their wares. If they upped their prices to something respectable, like twenty percent off wholesale prices, they would kill off the wholesaler - unfortunately - as they would have nothing to buy and sell, but vendors would come around for the cheaper goods to sell as hawkers.

Textiles is a huge employer of each individual country. If they can boost this sector they can easily boost the economy. This can be done by doubling or tripling import tax, or, making it much more expensive to import clothing and goods.

This will make any country thrive as it buys from within. Then they could easily make it in today's economic climate.

More clearing toxic assets

Another way to clear toxic assets is to buy them all up and then put them under administration. People still work for these businesses, so, there is still potential for them to grow and prosper, yes?

To get them right back on their feet you merely need not fire anyone, but instead, say you have three of the same kind of business - you merge them into one more powerful business.

Then there is toxic loans. These can be rectified by making the loan over a few more decades. Nobody will inherit the loan, but, there will be a thing or two going into retirement packages - maybe they could sell some of their retirement to clear the loan, or if a business you could link it to the owner if not an entity, and if an entity, then well, they could dock it off of their liquidation amounts. If they don't want to liquidate then they could make a plan for payment with selling assets, yes?

Then there is my all new idea! What if they were to sell the toxic asset off to another account at the same bank with the idea of paying it off by seeing the amount to sell from account to account reducing the amount owed? How do they do this? Well, if they were to buy the toxic asset for more than it is worth, from account to account, then back again at an inflated price, they reduce the asset to non toxic, and, they have lost no money. In fact this playing with imaginary asset worth means they could actually make money and keep a now functioning asset stake in a business or whatever that they previously did not have, boosting the value of that business artificially and keeping a stake in it.

To get rid of toxic assets you need only liquidate them, then restart the business with a new name. Everybody keeps their jobs, and everything rejuvenates. There is no way a building is not worth more than the toxic company.

When you kill the company you should keep a roster of all the people working there and all the customers and suppliers, then simply re-erect the business.

Or, for faster growth, you could simply seize the business and rename it, so no liquidation is needed. This will only take a few seconds, re-list the company as a new business, yes?

To get the company back on its feet you could buy toxic assets and do this to them. No harm done, and all that money that disappeared becomes renewed again.

Imagine a whole lot of companies that have run into debt internationally, you could make a fortune, and, get rid of the affects of the global recession.

But is there another way to clear these assets, how about bankrupt persons?

In this case they could try to allow the person to borrow more money to help them clear the debt. Every account in overdraft is adding to their total assets, but this is not the case when someone is bankrupt.

So, they could try to offer the educated positions in high earning jobs by opening it in their name and offering them the option of being th owner, thereby working for them, and working te debt off. It does mess with the value of the bank to have people in overdraft!

The great thing about this is that the person gets into a position where they work for a salary, but, own the business as a sole trader so carry all the flack for failure. The other good side is that the bank can sieze the company if it fails. This could be called a second chance position, and open doors for businesses employing the debtors and more people, also potentially granting them profit. The bank will own the success, the person will own the debt if there is any. Really these people don't have much choice if the court orders it!

To clear toxic assets brokers need to pump money into them. They still have assets, so, if it is a loan you pump money into it to get it healthy again. This could be said for Wimpy in South Africa, which died out and is now back with a bang. Don't think you are buying a brand, think more of buying a normal business that fell, has assets, and is capable of turning a profit again if allowed to and encouraged to.

If it starts to turn a profit, cool. If it doesn't you have more assets to sell off, still putting you above the line again.

'Direct to brain learning'

What we really need in the world is quick education.

To get this we need to get information into people's heads. This can be done eaily by giving people photographic memories - hey if one person can have it everyone can have it, yes?

To get 'perfect recall', or 'total recall', people need to acivate thier memories to conatin these images. This can be done by heightening the conscious of the person and then having them study. This is possible by using the ooposite of chloroform on the periodic table, maybe smelling some chemical while studying, yes?

The right chemical should be highly visually or stimulate hearing, so there is a

resounding echo inside the mind of the person, maybe flipping through a text book a few times. This will, while the subject matter is still fresh in the mind, resound it for the brain and then it will start to flow into a wave after wave - while still active, and compound the subject matter into an ongoing 'cycle'. Simply looking full on into a page and then looking at the next one in a three hundred page book or so would mean that the total text book is processed as a visual influx.

But, maybe the person should hear the material in their sleep as well? Listening to an audio tape or CD while asleep will drum this into the person as well, for added affect.

But what should the smell be? If chloroform knocks people out, then what makes people 'high'? I hear speed the drug will help people study, so, getting adrenaline working would do the same but better, yes? This means coffee, Coca Cola, energy drinks, and sweet smelling perfumes or pheromones for men and the opposite for women would stimulate learning too, yes?

Now, the people are flipping madly into cycles, listening to an echo, and getting stimulated. This should drum the memories into your mind, a la matrix!

Well sciences could be learned content wise with drumming it in, and then apply in class. All the student needs to do is sleep with this stuff playing in the background.

But what else can we do to make the learning experience easier? Well how about unlocking the 'photographic memory'? This could be done by ingesting chemicals, but which ones?

How about using trance music? I find this helps me concentrate also. If they were to be able to hum a song to themselves they could totally recall all the information that they need to. All this requires is a trance version of hickory dickory dock, or some other song that the people take to heart, like a national anthem?

How about we approach education a different way? Every person needs to work on a team, so, if every student just learns what they need for their specialty, then people will be specialised from the start. This way people can learn many many subjects a year at higher education level.

Okay so if it's understanding the things they are learning they should also learn flow diagrams and pictures for the subject. This will help with understanding the thing. To understand something better they should all learn a song explaining it in simple English.

Barring actually learning they can have it uploaded into their minds. When you dream you only remember what you think about after you wake up right away, so they could all have this information fed to them, then thinking about it. These memories place themselves right at the foreground of your mind, so they will be

able to ask if they don't understand but understand most of it we gather and take for granted.

To upload things into your mind you can read the mind of someone that is reading the things in the textbook and then copy that brainwave onto a piece of memory, then plug someone in and play them that signal going into their pulse with the right equipment - a vibrator of some sort.

This machine must be able to conduct in exactly the same manner it reads at, thereby projecting the memories into the person. Then you could play them to the person fast and get done with a years work in a day. This machine should be like a taser. If you were to copy the signal off of someone and then write it to the next person, you would work wonders.

Back to the machine. If you were to set a battery to charge off of someone's pulse while learning, then you could carry that charge over to administer onto many other people. This would see them receive exactly the same information as the person did learning. The things you need are a pulse thing from a hospital, a battery, and a stunning device using shocks to deter assailants to put into the person. Of course first you read with the hospital machine, then you store with the battery, then you administer with the taser.

This could educate everyone in the city without having to go to university. The person must just think hard while receiving the shock therapy!

Boosting the economy

If every country were to start making new towns and villages around the coast or near water sources - something that is on the decline I presume - then there would be new emerging markets for people to exploit.

Think if a new town were set up and a few retirees went to live there with the low crime rate - there would be openings for big business to pull in and service them. This will also mean a great exodus from the big cities and then there will be noticeable economic growth quickly. The length this can carry on for is not that short, so they will all reap the benefits.

If new towns were to emerge, maybe they could see that there is economic growth nearly immediately. More factories mean more jobs, more mines mean more jobs, and new service delivery centres mean even more jobs!

The small new towns could be quickly constructed and the rate at which a small town grows can be hastened by from bigger nearby cities for development.

Actually, come to think of it, all that is needed is development. That comes from a lack of being into being.

Banks should recognise development as a investment oppurtunity. If the were to have all thier centres help with this, they could stand to profit. It should be written into law that new investment oppurtunities should be supported and encouraged at all times. Just what do banks do with thier money? They waste time by buying into the stock market - that isn't going anywhere is it?

If they were to make a one percent increase in thier money in a day or onth or whatever, where does that leave them compared to investing in new forntiers wheeke maybe a few tens of percent to that outlay a month? Ridiculous!

Actually maybe I will do some forecasting for this...

New plot of land, costs say ten thousand currency for the 'shop' and fifty thousand in land for the housing. That means the state makes sixty thousand currency and has tax options in the future.

Then the construction of the housing and shop will cost say fourty thousand currency per house - let's say there are ten houses - and one hundred thousand for the 'shop', making it five hundred thousand in costs. This means a loan of [five hundred thousand] in development, or the bank could do it itself, yes?

Selling the will boost in profits, leading to at least [four million] currency in income. This is for a very simple plan - each house costing only two hundred thousand and the shop costing two million.

Of course when you add flesh to these figures you realize just how much can be made in development! Then you tax the shop and deliver services to the people, making more houses too. I doubt all the people in a community are interested in boosting housing for the poor, and if they are anyways there is always some or other entity willing to share some load off into this type of development.

Boosting car sales

In the motor industry there is a great need to sell cars. The more cars are sold the more the countries that produce them will prosper and the less they will cost, probably.

Now to boost car sales you could consider ph. That credit form is a great way to unload cars onto people and get new stock in. My prveious formula where they buy thier own cars back and reprocess them keeps the assembly lines moving, as the assembly of automobiles is a huge market segment. Basically they could buy thier own cars bac kin bulk, losing nearly nothing, then reprocess to the latest design they have. This might work well, but hopefully there is a better way to do this sort of thing?

How about they fringe around the market and make cars out of plastics? This will make cars lighter and more maneuverable and less costly of petrol. The outer cars will offer less protection, but, will less likely injure a person upon impact with an obstacle - imagine a foot trapped under a metal thing as opposed to a plastic thing that can be easily removed? Cheaper and better... why not?

Boosting the airlines

The airlines really need a boost, not now, but for January, February... and so forth. They will be making a lot of money this time of year, and if they play thier cards right they can develop for the future a way to fill thier planes more easily.

The problem is that people are not travelling as much or use alternate means. What if they were to use an electric engine... This would mean that jet fuel, something that eats away at thier costs, would be neutralised, yes?

How about if they were to double the price of immediate tickets? This means that businesses would try to always book in advance, and then the roll over would see them always making people make plans. Say an average family wants to take a trip - with this costing in mind they would surely book in advance, yes? That means they will buy the tickets not knowing if they will take the trip, and instead of cashing them in later they will see the luxury of air travel and maybe succumb to it and fly.

Nerve bomb

If we were to get into a war, wouldn;t dropping bombs on the enemy that have huge area of effects and render people stunned for an hour be the best bet? If we were to drop it on an area where there are friendly troops, then we could simply sift through the debris and collect friendlies and arrest enemies.

This should shock the nervous system, maybe with a sound or something? If a sound can stun a person, maybe a helicopter could fly overhead and stun the enemy with a loud speaker?

This would be very effective in Africa, the Near east and South America, where anti air craft weapons will not be available. Also it is easy to associate with the state, which is law abiding, or, in the event it is the 'bad' party, they could simply stun whole military installations!

Emp weapons

To make a emp non lethal and non radio active, they just need to omit the plutonium. This will make it like a normal bomb. Detonating a normal bomb over a military installation with the right modifications will make that installation unable to operate. So...

We need to knock them out! This could be done by detonating a electromagnetic device over a city or installation. This is cheap and easy to do, and will minimize casualties. The 'pulse' from this laser being detonated will divert these things, or, using a electromagnetic laser could short out a whole city if deployed a few miles away from the city, meaning no losses at all!

What do you guys think of that?

Time machine

Okay, we want to go around in time. Basically you could do this by opening dimensions and accelerating the reactions in the doorways so that the person will while walking through dimensions only a milimeter thick - a short walk - accelerate through the aging process of the universe, ending up a lot into the future.

T go back in time you would need to reverse the charge of normal reactions in the universe, leading to a going backwards in time.

Of course the best way to accelerate or reverse reactions is to just stick things in multiple wormholes, one on top of another.

If we were to view the natural reactions in reality we could just accelerte one aspect with a knock on effect to all the other reactions.

Really my time machine will work. If they were to accelerate atomic reactions you go forward, if you reverse atomic reactions in that cell they go back wards.

Powering this thing might be a problem. Maybe my fusion reactor could do it?

Simply place said people into the bloody machine and go forwards or backwards. If you go backwards there might be no way of returning though, as you will need a power source. So starting here, go forwards!

Oh hang wait a minute, you would need to have the area around the 'time machine' included in the reaction acceleration or reversals, of course. Now that is a bummer...

More striking

If at any time the country srtikes there will be no money moving to the people,

they will spend money, stocks will move, they will overstock leading to sales and then massive deflation.

Now practically if you look at a country that has as many strikes as south africa, there should be deflation here, but, with the rest of the world supplying jobs to thier people and then they move forwards without the country that is striking.

So, to have global deflation there needs to be fewer working days a month or year. Enough of these will see overstocking and sales becoming a norm and therefore lead to deflation, yes?

Let's say there is a strike in the good old U S of A? If they were to stop working then they would also overstock and then drive prices down. If they lost job posts there would definately be a reduction of demand and then prices will go down. Imported goods will also go down in price as demand has lessened, but they are not on strike, so, they will just make a loss, lose jobs - then strike - and follow it through.

On the rebound after the strikes there will be over supply of wholesale goods and many people can afford to buy these and raise capital for themselves to begin their own businesses, yes?

This means the way to end poverty is for every country to go on two week long strikes at a time. This will rob from the rich and give to the poor, but then moving into a lower tax bracket isn't comparative to not being able to feed yourself.

To end global poverty people must just strike.

Deficit hits new high

Well... double it? The less coming in the more self sufficient the west will become. Think of up ten percent for China or up another two percent or something for the west? But, as you said, where is the formula...

If America, for example, doubled thier import tax, they would kill off the African and South American food imports. These would then be sold at less cost domestically for the poorer people, and cost more for those still importing. Becoming self sufficient like this makes more jobs too.

If they were to halve export tax then there are incentives to export. Think of North Korea - how much unemployment do they have there? Not that much surely, and the capital in the west means the investment will come home to the continent. If they were to become sefl sufficient, what with bio fuels, they could have maybe zero unemployment. The country I found with the lowest amount of unemployment is Qatar, an oil producing nation of course.

To get more people into jobs there needs to be more centralising of investment. If there was to be more farms raised in America, for example, and their prices were competitive, then they could easily see off the joblessness.

But there is another way to give people jobs!

If the country was to recycle textiles - those that do not sell - they could get rid of old stock and make new ones. This is competitive, and I am sure that clothing could be recycled?

To recycle clothing you need to bleach it or something, not something that makes it harder, but rather something that makes it colourless. Then you reprocess it into new clothing.

Becoming the author

If one person pays a hundred dollars for a book, then the money moves from one to the other and the goods move to other party. Now when one person sells twenty books you can see the same product has produced a lot of money for the writer. How do you become a writer?

There is more to this than book sales. If you were to take this to state level, it is like taxes. Raising the tax rate by a flat dollar each month will mean another few hundred million dollars for the state. If the state were to take over all the buying and selling in the country, they could regulate it so everyone has something coming out of it. This is communism of course, so let's try it a different way...

Becoming the author of something that people cannot access by themselves would mean that the state would own a monopoly. The answer is to come out with a new invention, for state or personally.

Why not sell shares in the state? How about selling shares in Nasa! Selling all of these things will raise immediate capital, and that will crush the deficit. What good is owning Nasa when all you do is shoot some shuttles into space? Let the brokers get hold of it! That will bring in mounds of money, and then they could sell off the CIA...

What is the point of owning these things if they can have a duty to do - held by law - and people can own shares in them? Really they will do well to sell off these things and then the state will be just like a business. All parties should be up for sale, becoming like a business, taxable and capable of bringing in funds for the owners.

This will of course mean that the super rich will be buying the Dems and GOP and then they will rule it through a corporation. If they run their business out of the

ordinary then the UN will step in and fine them, of course. Sell the police, sell the army - these things will bring jobs to everyone and then erase the deficit. If we were to do all these things then the leading party will indeed be held by the people to deliver, or they would lose faith in them and sell those shares!

Communism vs capitalism

I think that the problem is that the west is not one hundred percent market share stuff, nor regulating them a hundred percent. The problem lies in the middle. Take a look at my diagrams please:

[business ownership half privatised]

v

[some gains by investors, not all of the market]

v

[some of the state owns a little bit here and there, leading to a bottleneck in ownership]

v

[half the money is lost, or a great deal]

Now with the market all on one side...

[business is market shared or state run]

v

[all the money is in the hands of the people or the state]

v

[total share by people or state]

As you can see the state needs to own it all or the people need to own it all. This is because the money is either in the hands of the people and invested or banked, or it is in the hands of the state that cares for its people. If they were to own all that the federal reserve becomes one with the state and the community of businesses, if it remains in the hands of the people half way it pits state against people. Of course if it goes all the way into the hands of the people it will gain in market share and then will be 'working capital'.

As Barts explained, the state will make sure that they survive. Now with all the traders investing in China it just opens the mouth of the reserve bank for more and more money. They should go all the way one way or the other.

Neutron weapons

A simpler weapon for our hunter ships would be a neutron cannon.

I guess by releasing a stream of free neutrons you could do a lot of damage. The best way to do this is through, yes, again, a laser. This laser should emit free neutrons in a stream that is generated by stripping away the protons. This can be done by surrounding the laser source of the laser with electrons, or, through a electrical current.

You see you push through like this...

----->

But instead of it going right through they will meet resistance, like trying to ride through a road block on a motorcycle and you keep going, like this...

-----#@!----[only free neutrons]----->

And if the free neutrons do any damage, or are found to have some other use, there you have it.

Positron oscillator

I have heard that this type of engine would be the best known oscillator for propulsion of any space ship.

I suppose that generating electrons at the back of the ship, then producing positrons at the same point would drive the ship forwards very fast. This will happen because they drive one another apart at high energy levels. If they drive one another apart, and they both drive forwards, then they should angle them so they will collide, like a triangle shape sort of, except that the triangle isn't 'closed'. If they were to be sent naturally into each other's path, I am sure the electrons would flow back into the ship as the positrons are driven backwards from the ship, and reenergise the ship.

Anti proton engine

It was directed by a famous scientist that each proton that comes into being with a nucleus consists of two protons and one antiproton. After you cancel out the positive and negatives though, you are left with one positive, as I suppose they annihilate after contact, and as with any positive and negative, they are attracted to each other.

First we need to produce anti protons. To produce an anti proton you should take two protons and bang them together. This is, in theory, how you produce a reaction, hopefully the anti proton will emerge.

If it doesn't, then we need to come up with a clever plan. What will our clever plan

be?

Okay, if you were to take the nucleus, it will have one anti proton for each two protons it has. If you were to take the nucleus, and cut away the protons from the gluons holding them together, then you would be left with one proton you could use. If you were to want to use the anti protons, then you would need to project them through a engine capable of working on antimatter. This is possible by splitting the antiproton into two up and one down antiquarks. I guess it might be the same as nuclear power, but let's wait and see?

Trying to end rape

As I have previously concluded, the best thing to do in a rape case is to look the rapist in the eyes, as thier deep rooted societal needs will make them realise they could be identified or caught.

Now when it comes to war, and there are rapes in wars, then they should try to be more 'offensive'. The women should walk around in groups, or even not walk around at all. That is hard when it comes to a home invader coming into your house and raping your wife while you are at the shop though.

The solution should be case specific in that the woman can stop the man. The only way this can come to be is for the woman to headbut the man on the nose so he cannot see nor breathe properly, but failing that maybe they could try to bring both hands onto one hand and then break it as well as they can, or try to scratch it so that the man cannot use it, then the other hand, two at once. That is hard to do in a terrifying case of rape...

So, the woman should just try to bring one hand up to her mouth to bite it. In the case of rape usually the man will try to hold both hands with his one hand, so, if he was to do that they could easily if he is pusihing the hands up, reach backwards to place his mid section about where her stomach is, or, if he is going down, pull her hands between ther legs to bring his body down too far. This will frustrate the man and he will try again, and her hands will be free to scratch him in the face, and then repeat until the poor guy is so scratched he gives up.

The lesson, break free, attack, break free attack... One eye less later the man will be looking for a way out of there!

More on bail outs

If there needs to be a bail out then how does one get it going? Basically the best

thing to do is to not remove taxes from the companies, but rather give them direct money in this case. If you deplete taxes the money disappears, because if you remove taxes from what is coming in then it takes the tax money away and the bail out money too!

What if the state were to get a broker and take that money and invest it? This will mean the state makes a profit and saves the ailing companies. Or, they could try to make a 'strong circle'. This will be where they freeze the market so that nobody may sell at certain times when sheeple sell their shares, they close the market until their currency is doing well again, and we all know currencies do well when people buy Portuguese products.

But back to the strong circle. It is a deal where nobody sells any local shares no matter what, and in turn they do not sell the other local shares. This is like a freeze, but those may be unfrozen at any given time for a few minutes or something. Simply freeze the market when the currency is down, and unfreeze it when it is up. If they really put their heads together, they could easily collaborate with banks to make sure that communication is given so they do as well as they can.

Going on vacation is only an inkling of a percentage point fraction of the money in circulation, over the whole country - any country! I mean when someone buys a hundred barrels of fine American wine or whatever in a minute that is where the money goes missing and gets spent.

Now, for the country to become healthy again, maybe if they were to stock up and buy their own products they could just pile it up and watch the economy recover? I mean... what is wrong with buying your own country's products en masse for being useful for the future and appreciating the currency?

How about if they all tried to liquidate every business in Portugal? If they did this the buildings would remain and then they could all buy the old equipment - every would be business owner - and then the savings will be able to go to the bank and finance more things in Portugal? The new businesses, out of necessity, will be surviving out of there being no alternative, and those businesses will prosper. The savings will grow the accounts of the banks and then they will be able to basically make money out of nothing!

Conveyor belt metals

Wouldn't it be nice if we could put on a conveyor belt and make metal? Well, if you can do it with nitrogen four, you should be able to do it with metal too!

Well, if we were to make a cold meeting point, and have some lasers meet there, we could make 'liquid metal' and eventually solid metal. This can be done by intersecting lasers into a meeting point where they collect, so we would be making metal from light. The metal will form by cooling the radiation in the laser and then taking the gamma rays and solidifying them. This can be done by using a laser that

adds volume of positrons and neutrons to one point, where the metal will be first liquid and then solid, naturally, after a set cooling time.

This will make making things like rails very cheap and easy. This could make sheets of metal for car bodies.

Racism

To get rid of racism we need to have exposure to the other race. Racism comes from thinking you are better than the other person, so we have the cause. Now we need to prevent this!

If we are exposed to people of another race enough we will be able to look at them as equals, or at least tolerate them more. I think the best cure for racism is to have more people of colour in sport, something that all people follow. Black people outperform white people in various sports, or, at least they compliment each other. If more people watch sports and there is more intergration then I suppose that there will be less racism if any at all.

Or, we could have a dinner event each week where black people cook for the white people and then they could just talk and compliment each other.

Those are two examples of exposure.

Okay well, maybe the best way to deal with racism is to ignore it? If everyone was to be of a specific race, and they all just didn't care who they were with, then it would be swell, but this isn't the case...

Now, for the people to get along better, they all need to go out of their way to make the other person feel comfortable. If they were to be phonies, both parties, then this would be great! If you don't feel like talking to someone do it anyway - watch the non-racists do it! Either there is nothing that can be done, or they should do 'too much.' They should all just overdo it... this will make the person feel very charismatic around the other and then they will think that the other likes them because they are so 'charismatic'... or who has any better ideas?

More budget

If you skim the budget you will find lots of money to be spent. Things like economic studies can be shed, as they can contract the work out, like a free lance job.

Then they could sell weapons to the arab spring people!

Then they could sell the school system, privatize education. With this lump sum they could pay off some of the debt, and then people can do what they want to send

thier children to school or not. The more uneducated people there are the more like china it becomes - yes? Duh! There will be many people veering for total bum jobs that someone has to do - for every potential scientist on one side there is a potential bum worker on the other, why educate people that will not better themselves? I mean imagine wasting all that money on school when they just going to bum around any way? On the other hand, making shcool cheap will make it an option for all!

Then they could also semi repeal haelth care. the people will still spend money on the service, but will not pay as much, and niether will the state. Everyone meets half way, yes?

Then they could also repeal social services. The families of the people could pay a minimal price the protection of thier sick people and old people. The old people could benefit from retirement, sure, but this social services is out of sorts!

In short the state comes away with a huge lump sum, and the people pay very little for the same stuff. The state will also save a lot each month and year end.

Well, to generate more money for the state, they could do away with th police and have it become a volunteer thing. The people could police themselves and report - earning much less. With the local community involved they could police the immediate area they have knowledge of and then report back, acting on thier friends and peers information. Too often police are moved around from station to station and then they are not familair with the 'lore' of the land they are in. With personalised policing they could easily gather information during the day or at night.

They could just use reservists for this, each working with each case personally.

The only problem would be corruption, but with an audit every few months, and even more police investigating each other, they couls easily do away with corruption.

Now the salary should be paid in contract form - you bring people or information in - you get paid. This means anyone could be a police person for a limited time, but then there is the problem of people not wanting to give over information because it is more lucrative to hold out on information. This means they would pay a contract for information brought in up to half a police wage currently - this would half the expenses of police!

We need to look for people that get paid and do nothing? Can anyone think of this type of thing, which is crucial but over staffed? Why the state itself!

If the state was to get rid of all the extra people they have milling around there, they could easily cut costs. Do we need to have year round campagins? If they were to rely on 'sponsorship' for the party, like radios for free or news for free,

they could save billions!

Think about it, all that ad space would be filled by the companies that need to advertise anyways. If they could advertise at a reduced rate there is more money changing hands.

I am sure by now all the press conferences are a waste of time. If people all know of the parties and what they do, they only need a little time on tv, not the preaching they do do.

But, this money will circulate again in the form of the news spending the money. And what do they do with it? Well in every organisation you have a guy at the top, one guy. If he pockets all the money and then it sits in an account somewhere, it does not circulate. This means that banks need to be encouraged to invest locally, not fighting for a pinnacle of the stock system! That means that there will be investment lower down the pyramid - picture it... everyone is fighting over a few shares at the top of investment stocks and nobody is investing in smaller companies! If this was to turn around then there would be no more problem. This lack of faith is killing the system, really.

What is needed is that faith i was talking about, coupled with 'ruralization'. Then the state will be in a better spot.

Some dream of a cashless society. Wouldn't that be nice? If all the people that needed houses and food could have that provided for them outside of the city?

How about the people that are in power invest the countries money for the people? They can borrow as much as they want and not pay it back to the reserve, so why not borrow to create this subsistence farming ideal for the people?

Just what happens when the state borrows money from the fed? They are the bloody fed! The reserve bank could see it in a much better way if all countries, simultaneously, calculated the way to increase thier monies by trillions, and keep the exchange rates the same, so nobody suffers? That would make it easy for everyone.

The only problem then is inflation, but, if it is only the state that has the money, there is no inflation! They keep it and spend it, leading to economic growth in thier respective countries, yes?

Think of al the things that capital could buy. As soon as it enters the system though, which eventually it will do, it will create inflation, but then you just drop three decimal places and watch the country not 'inflate'.

If there is inflation then people can be paid more, meaning that while things cost more, it is actually the same. What is wrong with numbers just increasing? There is no real effect on anything at all!

Now the Greeks are also trying to cut costs.

The easiest way to get out of a recession would be to sell land. If you don't want to do that then try cut costs by putting the economy on hold, simply stop all production, pass a law. That won't work! Doing the opposite should work, but then you need people to want to buy all the products. Maybe the answer lies in banking?

By nationalising all banks there will be a direct link between the banks and the reserve. This means it is great for borrowing, and the state can simply produce what it wants and then write it off. Where does the bank borrow money from? It borrows from imaginary reserves it has. The gold should represent all the money in the country, but why say that each person can go to a bank and withdraw one ounce of gold for every hundred currency they have? Simply deny them that! Say instead that they will all receive currency of another state based on gold. Simple. Leave some bastardised state with all the gold. Or, even better yet, sell all your gold to another country and base the currency on that country's gold reserves. This means that both states double their revenue. Simple.

Now, if the state was to, with my latest idea, just nationalise all banks, there will be no bottlenecks of banks that keep money to spend on the stock market, or, even worse, do not spend money. The best thing to do is to nationalise all the banks and then try to get the micro business loans out there, with the reserve called into it, limit to the amount of money the bank can have.

This means that instead of a state paying itself back and seeing the money 'disappear', it will be able to write the loan off. How does it disappear? Observe my diagrams...

[Currency total]----->[into reserve]----->[Borrowed by state]
v
[Spent by state]
v [goes back into economy to get taxed again]----->[Renummerates]

Or...

[Money lent by state]--->[Money spent by state]
^ v
^ [Debt repaid to reserve, depletes revenue]
^ v
[break even, no more money made, more people born]

As you can see this is a vicious cycle. The banks need to be nationalised so the above diagram is true, not the lower one.

Monopolies

Having monopolies is good. It stimulates the economy into starting new businesses at the right price, keeping the big companies low in their prices. More money goes into the business means taxes and more money for the state, and, of course, more jobs. Each Walmart needs to open a store in each city, then there is a competition between them and other shareholders of other companies jumping overboard to start a new venture, prices come down, they are bought out, prices would go up at this point but there is already another small business opening up.

Well that means less will be bought and then prices will fall for sales?

To get this world right what is needed is some injection of cash. I suggest everyone create a lot of money in their bank accounts and turn the other cheek, but what will happen? Let's look into it!

If everyone makes their own money they will be able to pay back the people they owe money to. The monetary workings means the state, due to the fiat standard, is not obligated to gold in the country, meaning that there doesn't need to be an asset for every bit of money in the country. This means the state can make as much as it wants! If it makes too much, what will happen though...

If the state has too much money and not enough assets it will just see the money lose value. This means those trillions owed will become more like millions owed, basically. This means that the currency will buy less, but there is more, so they will satisfy the people easily. All the money in circulation will devalue and then there will be a surplus of money, meaning there may be nothing to buy with it, and then it will devalue more. This means that there will be inflation, but the state will be able to inject everywhere it feels necessary. Call this half time! If the state shores everything up and just writes off all money owed to it or from it, just banging dollars into every bloody expense it has, there is nothing to lose.

Inflation comes naturally, it will just take a huge step in the wrong direction. People will be paid more, items will become more expensive, and debts will disappear. All they got to do is make the money up!

Now when it comes to paying back foreign investment, only the state will have control over the money. Imagine the state buying things to give away for a week or so, it will be grand.

Of course some may argue that money will have lost all value, but I assure you that it won't!

The world will just be jumping from investment and paying back of loans. The state can carefully regulate the money - there is nothing stopping them doing it, and even at the moment there is nothing different except the amount of money in

circulation - there needs to be more!

If the state makes more money, it answers to nobody. The state will be richer and be able to buy out the ailing businesses. This will provide a prolonged period where everything will get back to normal.

If the state buys up the ailing market, then it will hold shares that it may trade, but will more likely be able to bail all businesses out with. But when a tax bailout is formed it only makes this new money disappear, as what has happened the money just comes from the state and goes back to the state and is not able to be spent further, making it disappear, paying yourself always does that!

Now what they need to do is tamper with the economy. Picture a ecosystem. The amount of food is becoming sparse, so they see people die off from starvation. This is exactly what is happening now, basically. If some game ranger were to come and place a few grains and herbivores in the ecosystem for the sake of herbivores and predators, the ecosystem would become overrun with animals! Then the ecosystem would grow outwards - economic growth. What is actually happening is that there is not enough money, so people are losing jobs and having things denied to them because there are too many welfare things and not enough people earning their own money.

Look at it this way, if the state makes some money out of nothing, and builds a factory, the factory costs resources to build. If the people get that for nothing, just plonk it is there, then they will be able to use it. Do the people that built it suffer? No, neither do the people that use it nor the state. Nobody suffers! Everybody wins! Just use up the money on their own country and donate the stuff to people that need it, a structured formulative plan is all that is needed.

Modern day slavery

Let's talk modern day slavery?

This is one hard thing to prevent as when the people go into places they stay hidden away out of sight. We need to bring them into plain sight!

Bringing them into plain sight so we can take of this means we need money to support them once they are out. Without that they end up at orphanages that are already over burdened.

What could happen would be for people to go to reports of lost children and get photographs of them. Then they could compile a program that recognises the features and updates it so that they have an idea of what the child looks like now. Then send this list to the internet and allow for the parents to talk to people that will find their children with monetary rewards. This is like contracting a problem

out that cannot be dealt with by itself. I suppose a good 'agency' would send one person to each major tribe and look for these people, or each person to a city to look for the children.

Finding them in a jungle would be easier than finding them in the city, so, cruising all the porn sites would give them a good way to find out where the children are if they were made into stars. Of course if they have been hidden away they will be harder to find, so, putting out an anonymous tip off line to find them with their photographs would get a would be pervert a score with them and then a nice reward.

Cheap labour

Yes but this is not food production, energy production nor production of services. If we had to pay more for goods produced there, there would be less sales and less money going to the people of these places.

The solution would be to pay the workers more. This means more taxation for the state they live in, so there is nothing saying they cannot have a minimum wage.

What will suffer is the guy at the top, but they will suffer so slightly.

Famine in africa

It is simple. There is enough food, buying food in bulk lowers prices, states should feed the hungry.

Instead they are worried about taxing the rich too much and corruption from within. What takes up time at the house meetings?

To feed the hungry they should all gather the properties left behind, sell them for a decent amount, import food with that money and feed them. This is a basic human need, if they remain without a house then they are stupid. They can all leave for another less drought stricken place.

When the state looks around for answers from outside, they should seize food stuffs and deliver them to the people that need them urgently, a sort of social outreach that will benefit many. Or they could place a higher tax on food to feed the hungry with.

It should be a human right to be fed milk and oats as a food stuff at least. The welfare in America and the West people pay for for others, so, if it is a human thing to feed people it should be written into law to feed the hungry elsewhere, as it is a social issue, they should feed people oats and milk in the West as well as in Africa, yes? Two eating for one!

How about if they were to compress sand so that all the water was extracted from

it? This will reveal a lot of water, to irrigate crops and feed people. This could be done by machines and then the people could dig thier own 'mines' for water, not digging that much either, or simply roll over it with a plough machine to get to the water.

Then for food they could eat salts out of the ground too. These minerals have nutritional value of themselves. Maybe they could get surplus from neighbouring states as vegetables and maybe some meat too?

How about they dig for real water? There is always water wells beneath the earth.

They could also dig for resources. They could simply plough a tractor thing through the earth repeatedly until they find minerals to eat, water to drink and fossils to sell. That can be done today!

They could also move to the coast. There they can eat sea weed, fish, eact crabs, all sea food cuisine. They could set up the hospitals on the beach too. This would solve the problem immediately, just go to the rivers or beaches.

Actually in the rivers they could fish with thier hands, a much better option.

Or, africa could put together an au disaster management thing. All the nations not in trouble could help those in trouble, and likewise recieve aid when they are in trouble. This would be good for every continent or group.

This is not good governance. It is within the power of the leaders to feed the people, they can just take the food and give it to them. It is a human rights violation to not feed them.

The UN will never come under fire from the europeans for this. For this reason they will be making a law that benefits others and will not default back to them. They should impose sanctions if these things are not done.

How about they try to buy the food for them. This could come out of the election campaign and lunches they provide for the statesmen. They could raise taxes by a percentage point and easily feed the hungry, reverting back when they are fed, if that ever happens.

There should be some input from the other parties. Surely if they spend thier billions on food for the people they will get votes? They could do with some food and the party could do with some votes. Obviously this would appeal to opposition parties - the people are not stupid, they know where the food comes from, and the more votes they get the more food they can supply.

The best way to feed the people without using money would be to feed them from the donations of others. I see game reserves getting money, so why can't they feed people?

I guess getting the food together would be easier if the food grown for biofuels was used to feed the hungry. There is no way that all of that is being used as fuel, so why not donate some to the hungry - those that you do not sell?

How about they all donate nutrient supplements to the people? That will keep them healthy won't it? Those that are close to expiring will go to the hungry, as they are all stacked at the back of the isle anyway.

What about if they were to raise more money for the feeding of the poor from the rich? I am sure that the rich have more than enough of their own so that they can spare some for the poor? It is said that the rich get richer and the poor get poorer, so, if this is correct, then this is where the money leaves circulation and is not taxed.

If the state was to sell all their assets to private sources, there will be more money for the state and the people. With capital like that coming in, they will be able to invest most of it and feed the people. The people that buy the assets will have to pay tax to operate them.

Or why don't they float their assets on the market, retaining fifty one percent of the shares, making them richer now instead of keeping the corrupt in the loop, as it all falls through when there is corruption. With this in place the private people cannot steal, so, there will be forty nine percent now unavailable for corruption.

Maybe what is needed is a cure for corruption?

Corruption can be cured with state transparency. The people vote for them, it is only right that they get to see what is going on. This will not pick all wasted money due to corruption by interested councillors outside the state.

How about they try to feed the people with raw energy? They could collect all the fats cut away from livestock and feed them that?

Maybe they could try to convert sand into something edible? Maybe they could cook the sand? Sand is bio stuff, as it feeds a few plants. If it feeds plants, it must be able to feed people!

By crushing the sand you will release all the minerals inside of it. If these minerals are fed to people, as they are to plants, they should be able to keep people alive! This can be done by placing sand into a bag and placing it into a vice. Turning the vice will crush the sand and it will turn into smaller grains of sand. Then they could take the resulting liquid and drink it. This should contain 'bio fuels' if you ask me, or maybe adding water to the liquid could make a great bio drink?

Maybe if they melted the sand by placing it onto a barbecue they could make the minerals come out?

Hey it might work! Nutrients used by plants can be used by other living things!

Yes I agree that breaking up monopolies on grazing land is a good idea, but this cuts into farming. You are talking about Zimbabwe scene, are you aware?

How can you be so sure? Nutrients find thier way into soil all the time. It is when the plant dies on them that it recieves 'bio mass', or when it rains on the sand i gets stored.

Of course the heat exerted by the sun is not enough to melt it. It needs a fire and then it can be done.

how about other things in pleantiful supply that could yield food? There are surely lots of bushes, wouldn't it be lovely if that could yield food?

Combining honey for taste from petals of flowers with plants could yield great food! If they were to take the leaves of plants and then add honey for taste, they may be as healthy as any live stock walking around...

But what about water? They could take river water and purify it with chemicals.

Or they could try to use the cities fire department to deliver water to them.

They could even send them gasses that would produce water. These gasses could be from the city or elsewhere, like ice. Why don;t they, with the modern wonders of refrigeration, make water using fridges? They will form ice, and then melt to become water. All that is needed in electricity!

Well, a well would be a good idea, but the food comes from money or donors, that also comes from money. There is no heed for the sick and starving at the moment, so I am trying to feed them all now, not later.

They should use all thier resources to build more huts in the village and sell them to other people, thereby getting money to feed themselves with. They could use clay and thatch to make the huts. They could even make huge houses for the wealthy to come away on vacation to thier village. The could process the clay to make cement and beat prices all over the world too, another way to make money for themselves for food.

They should all try to settle in the city - there they will find tools to start them on thier own livelihoods. They could sell thier houses and live stock and move to the city where they will have cpital to start work for themselves. This is the best solution - there are plenty of impoverished people in the city that choose to stay there anyway as they have a better life than in the villages. If this were to happen in Afghanistan, they would have no cover to hide from the police.

Another way to make money would be to grow thier own fuels in a tanker, maybe producing algae into fuel?

How about they sell blood? There is always a need for blood in the cities, and there is plenty of blood out there on the outback to sell to the hospitals. They could even sell organs for money. But the best way for them to make money would be with a horse and cart, or simply ferrying people around with a cart on thier backs for the rich people, then return home at night to feed thier families. This works in india, there is not much of this there, as everyone has found a place in the city, well most of them. They should observe what happens for employment all over the world and have this information sent to them, then all walks of life will emerge with a living to be made.

How about they try to put sand into the microwave? What will happen then? If they were to observe sand as a little bit of earth, would it melt? Maybe it too will erupt into something edible? I think what I suggested will anger some people that think I am joking, but, if you were to take a grain of sand it has minerals inside of it. If you were to place that into a microwave and pop them, then you would have something to go into the honey with nutrients for your body, cleaning the dirt away beforehand of course. Then you need to add water to the kernels of your sand exploding, as sand grows into boulders if you didn't know, and then drink it. That will keep people alive!

If they took some bush and built a dome around it, also using bush, in a shape like a triangle, it could be used to collect precipitation by being covered in water vapour in the morning when it is cold. When you look at bush in the morning, or grass, it collects all the water from the morning dew, due to the cold nights in the desert. Building a contraption that collects this morning dew could lead to much water for all the villagers. If there were to be trough for all the dew, they could collect it into a bucket at a slanted edge of the trough. This could result in much water being collected for the uses of drinking and irrigation, but I suggest that we make this trough out of glass or plastic, though a wooden one will do.

Of course they could go around each morning collecting water from trees, by swatting them and placing a bucket underneath.

If they were to erect poles with buckets at the bottom, the water would collect on the poles in the night and then funnel into the buckets like a tap of some sort.

As for food, they could use the water to grow lettuce, as I am aware that takes very short to mature, as well as tomatoes, which are a fruit so will make the person feel very healthy. Then they can grow grass too for thier livestock.

Actually this reminds me of something I previously thought of. If they were to go into the congo and get hold of some of that rich soil, they could replenish thier own soils so that they could begin farming immediately.

The hungry should eat the rye on the side of the road. I have been to a homeland before and know full well that there is a lot of plants growing on the road side. These are edible, so eat them. Mix them into your food.

I have heard of a new food that comes from wood. it is cheaper to buy paper than

food, so buy a pig to feed someone as a meal! This has biomass and was a living thing - think of peanut butter, it has been dead for a long time, but still came from a plant.

Paper is nutritious because it is from a tree. If the tree is from the same species as wheat, and they are both nutritious, then it must be good for you.

All that is good yes but they need something to eat tonight, not when we are ready to feed them.

For food they could, tonight, and tomorrow - where aid is not available - try to get a freezer out there. The ice they can eat, and is nutritious, and then they can let it melt for water.

Why I suggested paper is because I was not aware of the levels of aid coming in. When you need to eat now you need to eat now.

All they need for this freezer is electricity. This can be charged from a power converter - those things they use to protect during blackouts.

I would say that the best way to feed the people without aid would be to bring blood over from the west laden with healthy nutrients and stick it into them. The local cities could help with this, as they won't be fed but they will stay alive.

Maybe we could speed up the growth of pips and seeds into fruit? This could be done by, well, if sunlight and water makes food grow, then subject them to excess water and sunlight. We could do this using radiation, as the energy will penetrate the soft surface and get through to the insides of the fruit. A little radiation of course. Water wise we take the actual fruit itself and submerge it in water. This will yield a baby apple into a bigger apple in no time, not a season. But it might not taste that good because it is not having time to naturally grow, but the filling aspect will still be there.

Sunlight has gamma rays, so I figure that they will be able to do this with powerful radiation would yield a quick crop.

I would think that these people need a long term plan and of course an immediate one or there will be no people left to feed. I would like to discuss a short term one, again.

If we were to have the people sue the president for this happening, then, as it is their responsibility, they could get them to feed them right now, as they can. I am sure they can afford to personally regarding the country they are in.

Actually, they should demand that they are fed, or, out of the personal account of the president and all the cabinet they are fed. That will motivate them to feed them now!

If we want to feed all the people in these areas in the future we need a plan for the economy, but to feed people immediately we need a plan now!

To get the food to the people they should all work for the shops for free. They could deliver food stuffs all around the place and get paid in food. Maybe that will work?

Why don't the charities grow their own food? They get enough, then it costs nearly nothing to keep pigs and corn...

They should find fertile land and make a farm there, or two, or a thousand...

The capital can come from the donations they have over from the world's contribution. Buy cheap fertile land in Europe, use the best stuff, sell some excess and make a tidy profit...

How about the west pays Africa in food for its people? They are always spending money on Africa, doing things that seem to just help like a hundred people find work. I am sure that if they were to invest in food, and ship it there, the governments would supply the people with food, get reelected, and so forth.

Building one skyscraper is expensive, and gets chowed up in the rigours of Africa. Think about it, another defaulting business? What good does that do anyone? Imagine the climate in Africa for those of you that don't live here, it is rife with corruption and all that, and the business doesn't make the positive impact it displays on commercials.

The business world here in Africa is terribly wanting. If they build a new skyscraper business, who do they trade with? They buy up all the competition, monopolise the market or whatever, and then more people starve. They need to get reelected, give them food.

How about they all make a community fund? If they all sell their goats and stuff, they can put that money into an account where it earns interest and buy porridge and healthy food with that? The community will benefit, and the community will be able to dish out its resources to the community.

How about they keep the older goats to make new goats and sell their young goats to the market as they are actually worth something? Then they can add to the capital of this community fund.

I find it strange that the state over in Somalia just lets them throw food away. I think the answer for Africa might be communism! If you think about it, comparing the life style of a Russian or Chinese to the state of Africa way back then leaves a lot to be desired from the Africans. This means the average lifestyle will go up in Africa and not down.

Why didn't communism work for Russia and China? Well, poor planning and stuff

could be averted this time around - remember practice makes perfect. I am sure there will be more than enough food that way for people.

Communism might also curb the fighting in africa. If there is a way to curb fighting, it is to give the people what they want, but, this way everyone restarts from a new vantage and things are forgotten. The main reason they are fighting is because of farm lands and water, but if they all share the calves and grain, [and get paid for it!] the easier they will find themselves living.

If the people want to be fed they should form a party that pledges to feed the hungry. With everyone voting for this party, it will take hold in the rural areas - as they have no services to deliver - and feed them accordingly. Everyone from there will vote for it, and with the money that is allocated to the party, they could buy the best foods for all the hungry people, as they have nothing else to spend money on - no other responsibilities.

The debt ceiling

It has been decided that America will raise the debt ceiling. This means they will degrade their credit rating. If this happens they will survive a bit longer, but debt on top of debt will result in not being able to pay those either, and then there will be something like a rolling stone gathering moss, or a snowball descending the slopes getting bigger.

I suggest that they take their credited money - or money owed to them - and write that off along with their own debt. They say, for example, if Nigeria owes them one hundred million dollars, they simply take that and write it off and write off their own debt up to a hundred million dollars. This is tidy, and I cannot see any problem with doing this simple exercise, do you?

America is owed a hell of a lot of money. Israel, Haiti, Japan and many African countries owe money to them.

What I am saying is that they can cancel their debts and eventually it won't be all this empty space, but rather much less debt.

For every dollar lent or owed there is a corresponding dollar coming back. Each dollar lent is worth a dollar, basically, and they can cancel off one side if they cancel off the other. Eventually they will only owe money to themselves and the fed, which they can also write off.

Voila, no more debt. If they owe money to themselves they can just ignore it, yes? I mean what is the point of owing money to yourself? They don't develop that much do they?

Social mathematics

Sounds like structured determinism and physics mixed together.

I am sure we can apply this to non living things too, and predict the future, because if all living things have a 'fate', then definitely non living things would too based on the actions of the living, only reacting, yes?

I would like to see an example please, unless this is under construction too!

What about environment? The environments we live in dictate our influences from outside, like having to walk uphill sometimes, maybe around a wall?

I think there are a lot of other factors to think about, and in the end you will need a computer to show the answer, which is a product that you can sell, yes?

How about including the weather? That will play a part in how well you dress, what you wear, what trigger colours affect the people around you, and so forth...

Beauty plays a big part in happiness, and happiness affects moods, and moods affect outcomes of socialising. What if they had an itch while talking? This is mindblowingly enormous!

But the most important thing is not that it is the most attractive action, but that the person takes the path of least resistance, like water down a mountain forming into multiple streams, not randomly going left and right.

But if I am not mistaken, things can be simplified into your concepts on your site. Those you have been working with for years so I am sure they are the biggest.

Would you say if you have an emotional pull towards something, along with a physical pull, but have a financial pull away from it, the amount of factors should balance out and then if there are many versus a few you can see which way it goes?

How about a drug addict? All the 'pulls' are there except for finance, resulting that they cannot afford the drug? Then they steal or rob, and that is added to your equation? Then they go to jail, resulting in a 'societal presence' being added?

I really think you should add a resistance from those around them, laws enforced by people, societal norms if you will. That and finance will have greater influence on the outcomes of the actions or flow of motion would they not?

I would like to find the path of least resistance myself. I am sure you are onto something.

I have been trying to explain magic for a while myself, do you believe in magic? If you were to cast a spell, then there is magic. Everything magic, the way you place your rake up

against a wall is even magic, depending on which way you put it there, because magic is not unnatural, it is rather scientific, not 'cultural.'

So back to your social mathematics. If you were to add great influence or minor influence you would affect your actions, but that might not be necessary, what do you think? As I showed you in my example, it doesn't matter on the weather if you are a drug addict, but rather that you have a 'box' full of influences outweighing your other influences, usually financial, yes?

I think that I understand that I need to see your workings in action to get an example, and then I will substitute the real meanings for variables.

Magic is about, well, I practice nature magic. Nature has no conscious so will all blend together as one thing ultimately. There is no higher sense of being, which I attribute in human beings to being a heightened sense of fear, which causes us to ask questions, and then we ask what is a human. This higher sense can only come from stimulus, which means that the brain accepts all stimulus currently. I have no doubt if over a few eons there will be cats and dogs asking what they are, if there is a need for a heightened sense of fear, or, if they are afraid. Monkeys were afraid, being omnivores they had no natural weapons to fight with, like teeth and claws, making them scared, and over a long time this constant fear resulted in a need to feel safe and asking more questions, and that was coded into their DNA. Well that is my view anyway.

Now, nature will always react in the same way to anything as it has no conscious - it is reactive. There is no such thing as being pro active, as every action is merely a reaction to stimulus.

I play with wasps and hornets and try to summon them. I can do this by symbolism, if I were to hold a ball in my hand and run around the garden, they would react as if in NFL, trying to tackle me. I can also summon birds to me by dancing for them.

That is content. The science, which is what I believe you have on your hands whether you like it or not, is based on determinism. If you have ever heard of determinism, then I am sure you will agree.

Now when you do anything in the universe it affects the rest of the universe, through reactions. Seeing as how you only react to stimuli, and take the path of least resistance, you may determine the outcome, as you do with your social mathematics. If you were to sprinkle two handfuls of corn to chickens, that will not only affect how much corn they get but rather the fact that you fed them two handfuls would influence on your own life. These numbers are common in witchcraft, as maths affects the whole world, as a litre of milk is actually a thousand milliliters. But to say that these are human terms is still 'art', and language is art, and arts are scientific too, as everything reacts, yes?

Now when you think that you are practising an art, you are actually observing either a visual communication or a sonic communication usually. If you were to observe music, there is a science to it. Ever reading, which I proved on another website, is said out in

syllabells in your mind, meaning rhymes are attractive to us, and even the amount of syllabells in a word make you attracted to it or not. Look at a woman, they will reflect the beauty of beauty science, and I am sure you have heard of that. So if one art is a science, then all arts are sciences.

So, as in my example, if you throw the chickens two handfulls, you also make them hear two handfulls, affecting them emotionally and mentally, as well as yourself - maybe you actually think you are under feeding them in broad terms, maybe you like to feed them more in broad terms, or maybe you think they should be fed that amount to keep them healthy and not sleepy like too much eating does to them as something more close up - less broad, more specialised.

So, seeing as how arts are scientific, and you want your 'idea' to be as accurate as possible, it is also a science! So is magic a science.

Magic can make people fall in love. How? Well the person casting the spell causes electrons to flow to the other person and envelope them and changes thier nervous system. All magic is based on electrons, as the mind causes the desired reactions in your own body, and then travels to the other person, but this is very weak for some people. The spell simply influences the nervous system of the other, and acts like stimulis coming in, and then the body reacts. Love spells affect people and are commonly used in the world of magic.

But how does a financial spell work? Spells to get you more money go to people that can influence your finances. This could be like sent to everyone, as everyone is connected to each other via esp, a huge web that covers the whole universe. These people, all of them, hear this spell, which is cultural, which is art, which is scientific, and then there are reactions.

I am really interested in hearing more of your social maths.

I finthing on choice to be confusing. As I have learned through determinism, there is never a choice. If you say there can be a choice between two or three or more things, then where does it end?

For example I wanted to study Social Sciences or Physics. To do physics I would need to go back to grade twelve, so immediately I want to study social sciences. Then after a week I decided it would be better to do things I enjoy. Which is the path of least resistance? if determinism is to be believed, there is never a choice, but I find myself having two different ideas at two different points in time...

If everything is a reaction, as I believe it, then what am I reacting to? Shock? Resisting one thing led me to choose the other until I decided that it was worth it, so, if that is normal, then maybe you should enter a 'time' variable into your 'factor list.'

Every outcome is the path of least resistance, because, if you want to chop your hand off, and that is the path of least resistance, you will, due to other factors. If you observe someone taking a 'hard path', that too is the path of least resistance, because of thier own minds state of being, because of thier history of stimuli leading to such a person.

Magic explained

I believe in magic. I believe when we have science enough in the future we can replicate any spell with science. I would like to first discuss crystal balls.

The common understanding of crystal balls is that people see things in them. I recently found out that they in fact are used in healing, as they are crystals that remove heat from the body by trapping it inside them. Being an earth element, the absord the hot air, and earth it so they must be good conductors.

With that in mind, what about water? Maybe bathing a lot will help you with the common cold? If you were to observe that bodies get hot when they are sick, this makes sense, yes?

Now, that is pretty advanced for us, yet far back. This has been done before, and I suppose we may have stumbled upon it eventually.

If we were to observe something like a love spell though, I know that you need a candle and an item of the person's. You are supposed to sing to the person with the candle lit and the flame will conduct the energy over to the other person through atoms as if it were exciting them along the way, like esp. This 'vibration' will cause them to think of you, and maybe if the song is good enough - rhymes enough - they will fall in love with you? This is because the rhymes will make a 'laugh' in the nervous system, and then they will like the feeling, as everyone likes to laugh. Think of how much you laugh at a clever song? Song is supposed to be the most powerful form of known witchcraft, so bear with me please.

If you were to sing to someone face to face, I suppose thier sub conscious would pick it up and become mesmerised with the person. Think of how people sing the songs they like when they are down? This is a reaction to feeling down of course. The fire though, how will we replicate that? Well, it was common in the days of witch craft to burn a candle between the two people when courting over dinner - another misunderstood lost art that is carried over by man kind?

So, you got your flame. Flames make people see, bombarding the senses, and it is beautiful, so, this is another assault on the nervous system! Good. It all makes sense. Using the candle from far away may carry the visuals over with esp? Possibly.

Now, the secrets of love spells are revealed! If you were to observe singing in

church, maybe god told the people to do that when he spoke to them long ago as a way for them to make him love them? Possible? Sensible!

So, modern scientific witch craft calls for a light source and a song. This should work on women, but for the women they might have to show some leg! Oh well. But at least there is the possibility of it working, why not give it a go? But I still cannot place my finger on why you need a candle!

So, the candle... If there was to be a candle it gives off warmth too. When people feel in love they feel warm in thier hearts. If there was a way to carry the song over to the person then the fire must warm thier hearts when they think of them, yes? Maybe you chould do this with a bon fire?! Think of ancients praying for rain - they made a pretty big fire indeed! Maybe this was to persuade the gods that they were lovable enough to let it rain? You never know!

So, the fire needs to warm thier hearts. This can also be done with exercise, so, if you were to sing to your loved one while they were exercising, well, that might be a big ask.

Then again, warming someone's heart, well, in a summer month it might be easier - like spring. all the animals come out to mate in spring - when it is warm. How often don't people snuggle under sheets and feel in love? So, you want it to be hot or really warm.

Another thing about love spells is the item of theirs. If you love someone, you hold them near, hence the item.

So love spells are not that hard to understand or replicate.

I find that throwing bones is a complicated affair. If you think about it, and all things are determined, then throwing bones would make the thrower feel something or think of something about the 'question' and then get an impressio for the message back to the 'customer.' Is there credibility to it?

If you were to think about it, the witch doctors believe it, and stay in business. What about horrorscopes? They have a loyal following, and it had to start somewhere. I believe in horrorscopes, but that the new arts have lost a lot along the way from studying the planets. The lie of the planets, especially ours, reflects the enrgies in our world and how we feel, how others feel, and what is felt between us, so, socially, there should be something to it.

Now, if we were to observe the throwing of bones, how does the witch doctor read them? Is this something special about them - the doctors - Or can anybody do it? I believe if they can we can!

Now i don't know a lot about witchdoctors, but we have information relating to the bones of the chicken. Let's say the bones fall far apart - let's say that has something to do with it? This would mean the person that is enquiring with the witch has made an impression on the universe and the bones have fallen a certain way. that is the mythical hub hub about it, but we want to know how, not why!

So, the bones have fallen far apart. If we were to observe this, we would find that the impression left on the witch by the querant has altered thier mind and they have thrown them according to how they feel about the person. This comes down to how the planets have made the querant and the witch feel, so there is something here linking them, if only mumbo jumbo. There already is a link between divinations.

If the bones fall far apart, once more, the witch likely threw them hard. This is because of a desire to see what is asked with authority, demanding with great force that they tell them something. This could be a more accurate description of how they need to fall, so, if they fall far apart, then the energies surrounding the query are aggressive - the planets are making things work quicker.

Before we can go any further with the bones, we now need to observe the lie of the planets. The moon affects our tides, so, if they were to affect things on earth, maybe all solar bodies do the same? If there was a relationship, what would it be based on? How can planets so far away affect us here on earth?

I suppose the best way to answer this is with the help of an astrologer, but i don't have one! The lie of the planets affects the pull and push of our solar system, so if a moon, our moon, can affect things here with regards to tides, and we observe one world consciousness, then maybe there is more to it? If the planets were to represent other things, as they are big and the moon is small, maybe they pull atoms away from earth or something, affecting the 'tides' of our 'energies?' But now for one world consciousness...

One world consciousness is based on energies. If you believe in esp then you will believe that innate objects can block energies or open them up on earth where we live. The energy from the sun is shared with all planets in our solar system, so, if there is a connection between the gamma rays of our solar system and the reflections on planets, as when we see we do not see the object but rather the reflecting light off of it through our eyes, then we would observe that the planets also reflect things off of them. We cannot see the planets because they are too far away, but we can see stars, and stars are accepted into the horoscope too. Of course if the sun was on the other side of the solar system, we would see totally different stars at night because we would be looking the other way at night! Just a thought...

So, the planets affect energies here on earth, but, how does that correlate to your birthday? If you were born when the solar system was set a certain way, maybe it was the lie of the planets in relation to earth and the sun at the time. How does this affect you though, you are older now, and things have changed? Well, if you were to observe the feeling of your birth, it was very traumatic. If the lie of the planets

were to repeat at a certain time, the energies we feel but are not conscious of might lead to extreme discomfort or comfort in the time we are in now. If there was a relationship, maybe it is when certain planets were in line with earth at the time. This all seems very complicated for an ancient astrologer to work out, but they all agree, yes?

So you have your energies feeling a certain way at a certain time. Maybe they are more sensitive at other times, maybe that affects the way they throw the bones. When they throw them, do they read them like a book or dice? No, I think they feel a certain way. Opening yourself up to feeling makes you more sensitive, and then you feel what the energies of the bones direct at you, like the moon and tides, like the planets and horoscopes.

So, does it work? If it works how will it work for you? The only way to find these answers is to test and re test the things we know about them and apply science of what we know to them.

have been told by a witch "to cast a luck spell you need to carve the nordic luck rune onto a green candle and burn it, then sing 777 with as much force as you can, and to do this in the direction of the mother goddess freya, facing north."

Another witch told me "to make a luck powder, you need to combine together 2 parts vertivert, 2 parts all spice, 1 part nutmeg and 1 part calamus and this will bring positive charges to your life."

So, that is the easier way to make a luck spell, or two of them, but I find the one to investigate to be the second, as it relates to my previous observations in the love spell.

So, what does rubbing herbs together mean? This will have an atomic reaction, not like nuclear, but on an atomic level. Maybe it makes you smell nice for those around you? Maybe good luck comes from wearing deodorant?! Of course using these herbs exactly in your deodorant will attract the most luck as you deal with people, they will feel more secure with you, more attracted to you, or even trust you more. .

I am reading an acceptance spell. The witch says that the spell requires a 'mojo bag', a few mustard seeds, a piece of paper, a pen, a small crystal and two sticks of incense.

Now, observing the bag full of mustard seeds, that must mean that it must be hot in the bag. This philosophically might mean your aggression towards society, which is why you don't accept things, needs to be bottled up. The incense gives off a smell that pleases you. The crystal is there to draw the heat out of your body - more stress and aggression. The pen and paper is used to write things you are determined to accept on and then light the second incense stick. Then you sing!

So, to get someone to accept things, they need to cool off - jump in the pool or sea - get all the heat out of them with the mustard seeds being there to be cooped up - leave the engine of your car running - and the incense to make you think pleasant things as you feel the ecstasy. If you think about it, writing the things on paper you want to accept is just self realization.

Now we can see how drawing the aggression and stress out of you could be achieved by having a day at the beach and getting someone else to rub you with sun tan lotion. This massage is likely to relieve stress, and the less stress you have, the better you feel about life.

But, if i were to suggest a healthier acceptance spell, maybe a jog around the block will do? Those emo junkies won't know what hit them! Bloody narcissists!

Tarot is another form of magic that was too advanced for primitive man to 'make up', so it must be a gift from somewhere else. Be it aliens or angels, it is here. The way tarot works is to place them cards in a specific order for the past, present or future. Let's just do it normally for the present, I cannot remember what the future spread is like. The way to do this is to randomly shuffle the cards. If determinism is right, and this only works if determinism is right, the cards shuffled by the person, thinking of the querant, will determine whether the future is rosy or not. It also goes into great detail about what the future holds. This can be simulated with machines too! Take a look at this site...

[FREE Tarot Readings](#)

On this site you may have your tarot read for free and quite accurately. It works on randomisers and things, but, if you look into it carefully and from your unique perspective, then you will see key words in the following information that correspond to your situation. That site is for determining the future though.

So, if this site is to be believed, then your name or representation of yourself is needed, then things work 'randomly'. we want to know how the random stuff works, yes?

If the way to see into present or future is to represent yourself with symbols, in this case letters, then it should be easy to figure out. You take your name and think about yourself, then shuffle the cards. The best way to shuffle cards is for a long time, as that gives them the most space to get away from the original 'set.' This should represent the one world consciousness coming into play, as mental energy makes you keep shuffling or stop shuffling, it should be that the planets guide your shuffling, just like in all divinations.

Instead of shuffling cards, let's use a telescope to observe planets? Who are we? Well, we would be the vantage of acquiring the telescope and looking into the cosmos. If you read about horoscope guides,

[Planets and Signs in Zodiac Astrology - Daily Stars and Planets Today](#)

You will find that each planet influences an aspect of your life, especially your birth sign.

So, if you had a telescope, which is quite expensive, we will be able to see how planets are arranged and affect us. What about that new planet, niburu? Will that affect all of us?

Looking through your telescope, you need to observe the lie of the planets. If your planet is in line with earth, take this as a good sign, as they follow a gamma ray burst through the earth onto that planet. This means, as gamma rays penetrate earth, that the energy from you will be taken partially over to that planet. If that happens, you influence the thing that influences you! This means, if all your fellow scorpions or whatever are letting off energy, they are influenced by the planet, and influence the planet. As everyone always strives to do well in life, and then again do nasty things in the ghettos, then you will see a 'karma' coming back to you in the forces that you give off.

But, how does the planet affect you, as clearly you affect it!

Well, if you were to observe the first time you receive energy it will be comforting to you for the energies to be the same in the universe as well. Comfort at your mother's arms, or the horror of killing your mother during child birth which will become negligent in the comfort of a nurse, will still be comfort from those energies you connect with.

If the planet was to have a gravitational pull, and all bodies have this, then a slight pull of your mind towards it might be like the planet pulling you towards it in line with the earth - pulling you into the earth! This means that your energies will be pulled down and you will feel heavy, so maybe it is not good to have the planets inline?

But what are these energies? If you think about it, they must be electrically based on your nervous system.

If the planets are wide and far apart, they will drag your energies all over the place, disorienting you. Hmmmm, maybe it is good to have them in a straight line? These energies affect everybody, so it will also be like a one world consciousness. Then everyone will be in a good mood, focused their energies, and so forth. It might even affect people's life forces, if there is such a thing.

So, back to the tarot. If you were to shuffle the cards, the energies of the planets will draw them into a 'sync.' this can be explained by gravity pulling them down at regular intervals to speak with you. Sound weird? Does to me!

If you were to have the planets talking to you, for some bizarre reason, how do they think? I would hazard a guess that the information is like that philosophical sector of 'informationalism' where information is carried over without being 'conscious.' Maybe someone could help me here? Oh well, never had help before!

So, information in the form of communication is derived from the planets to the cards. This happens with magnetism, atomic reactions, and other factors. This will

leave the cards representing the message.

When someone is healthy, they are warm, but when they get sick, they heat up. Many people thought this was good for you, but it is actually bad! The body needs to cool down so that the body can function. When you are sick, try to be out in the cold as much as you can - think of a headache? is it good to wear a hat then, or hold your head?

A alchemist recently told me how to heal with crystals. Crystals if you remember absorb heat. The way to do it traditionally is to place a 'pulse' of your energy into the crystal, then let it float in water. the water then becomes the healing elixer, ok?

Now, scientifically, since we know the crystal takes heat out of the water, it makes it colder. The pulse gets earthed in the crystal as the crystal is not a conductor, but when it is earthed it negates, yes? That part is therefore really old and traditional, so let's skip it?

If you were to observe all the water becoming drained of heat, maybe you should follow up with the 'signs of the times?' Just drink ice water! This will cool your insides down, as you know that bacteria loves to breed in warm places!

a new kind of 'elixir' could be hydrogen gas. Breathed in this gas would cure you of any ailment that is not lethal, yes?

I have recently downloaded the necronomicon, and there is a spell in there to rise spirits to speak to, so let's analyse that and see if we can, with science, release spirits from their eternal rest? This could answer a lot of questions, as it is said that once you die you will know everything that has happened. Most educational. But how would we support a spirit once it is here? Surely it does not need food or rest, we can just 'raise' it talk to it - think what we could do talking to jesus or something? A good idea? I thought so!

So, to call this spirit you need to make a circle on the floor to protect yourself or you might be attacked in magical belief systems. This is fairly easy to replicate, just lay some chalk on the floor. This is because the earth element, being an opposite of air, will keep the spirit away from you, I guess. If we were to rather make a metal containmen area for all the spirits we hope to 'conjure', then it would be easier, yes? This is standard in all labs, so nothing to worry about there...

Now, it says you need a plinth or alter to put an image of the spirit you wish to call. Then, you need to sing a song, a song that does not rhyme - this goes against what I believe - and then you speak and send the spirit back to wherevr it is from. The necronomicon says that all spirits come from the same place though, through a god of the undead, which is contrary to main stream belief, but we will assume they come from heaven, yes?

This spell would be first to put an image carved out of wood onto the 'alter.' This

will be where the spirit is found and appears. This could be simulated by taking a photo of the person out and putting it there, or if science were to have a say, or rather me myself and I, I would guess that you would need to draw a person with lasers. This could be done by emitting an outline of the person's face you wish to call, any random face could be used and probably get a result, and then you would need to keep this etching 'alive' all the while.

Then you need to open 'gates.' This will be simulated by ignoring the gates, as we are using science to conjure our 'phantom', so wouldn't need to sing and dance and all that.

Then you need to invoke the spirit with your voice. This can be explained as if the spirit were somewhere else and needs to hear you. Simplified further, we could emit music from a computer to sing to the spirit, maybe gathering artistic spirits, or, divine beings, if there is such a thing. This should arouse a spirit to come to our laser pointer area and converse with us, or do I need to do more work on it?

Who are we?

I myself am a parent, but after reading the thread on that disturbing children's book, I am not sure of a lot of things. Parents decide what their children do, but who are we to tell them what to do? What if our neighbours are better parents? Do we know what we are 'programming' them to do? A few of you atheists would argue that a healthy jog around the block would be better than going to church on Sundays, but what do we know?

If the wise men are right, and the wise assume humility, what is a parent that thinks they know best?

This means there is 'lawlessness' that needs curbing. How would we do it? How would we enforce it? What do we set as law? There needs to be a law, and letting your kids drop out of maths, or, giving your kids condoms could be bad for them, and therefore illegal! Some parents in the ghettos even send their kids out to steal!

The only way I can find common ground is in the society we live in. What we think is good must be programmed upon us, so as to curb this lack of common ground.

Am I overreacting?